

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01799
United States Patent No. 8,199,747

DECLARATION OF WILLIAM C. EASTTOM II

**Samsung v. Uniloc, IPR2017-1799
Uniloc's Exhibit 2001**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MY BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	3
III.	LEGAL STANDARDS USED IN MY ANALYSIS	6
	A. I am Familiar with the Legal Concept of Obviousness.	6
	B. Priority Date of the ‘747 Patent.....	8
	C. The Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Technical Art (PHOSITA).....	8
	D. Broadest Reasonable Interpretation (“BRI”)	9
IV.	THE TECHNOLOGY CLAIMED IN CLAIMS 1-3, 12, AND 13 OF THE ‘747 PATENT.....	9
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION FOR THE TERM “NODE”	12
VI.	GRIFFIN	13
VII.	ZYDNEY.....	16
VIII.	ANALYSIS FOR CLAIMS 1-3, 12, AND 13.....	18
	A. Claims 1 and 13	18
	B. Claim 2 and 12.....	21
	C. Claim 3	26
IX.	A PHOSITA WOULD NOT COMBINE GRIFFIN WITH ZYDNEY AS PETITIONER SUGGESTS	27
X.	CONCLUSION	33

I, Chuck Easttom, hereby declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is William Charles Easttom II (“Chuck Easttom”). Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. (“Uniloc” or the “Patent Owner”) retained me to provide my expert opinions regarding United States Patent No. 8,199,747 (“the ’747 Patent”).

2. From 2003 to 2013, I taught professional development courses to IT professionals in programming (C, Java, C++, and C#), web development (HTML, JavaScript, CSS, and .net), networking, and network security at Collin College, Plano, TX. I continue to teach courses for Collin College as an outside vendor. From 2000 to 2003, I was Department Chair for Computer Information Systems at Remington College, in Garland, TX. I have been a software engineer at Alegis Corporation Systems Group and a programmer at Boeing Aerospace Operations.

3. The Patent Owner asked me to study Claims 1-3, 12, and 13 (the “challenged claims”) of the ’747 Patent (“Ex. 1001”) to determine whether a person having ordinary skill in the technical art most pertinent to the art of Claims 1-3, 12, and 13 at the priority date for the ’747 Patent (hereafter a “PHOSITA”) would have considered those claim obvious in light of the asserted references considered as a whole.

4. I reviewed the '747 Patent, its prosecution file wrapper, the state of the art at the time the application was filed, the references asserted by Samsung, Samsung's Petition IPR2017-1799 ("Petition"), the Declaration of Dr. Haas (Ex. 1002) in support of the Petition, the references relied upon in the Petition (*Griffin* and *Zydney*), and the Declaration of Dr. Val DiEuliis from IPR2017-01257 in support of the Patent Owner. IPR2017-01257 also involved a challenge to the '747 Patent based on *Zydney*. I also determined the scope and content of the prior art, ascertained the differences between Claims 1-3, 12, and 13 of the '747 Patent and the prior art, and determined the level of ordinary skill in the art most pertinent to the claimed technology. All the opinions I express here are my own.

5. Based on the above, and my familiarity with those having ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was filed, and my decades of experience in the field of computer science including communications systems, I concluded that none of the challenged claims would have been obvious in light of the arguments and references relied upon in the Petition.

6. The Patent Owner compensates me at my standard consulting rate of \$300 per hour. Patent Owner also reimburses my reasonable expenses necessary to this work. I have no financial interest in Patent Owner, and my

compensation is not contingent upon the results of my study or the substance of my opinions.

II. MY BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

7. I have worked in the computer industry for over 25 years. During that time, I have had extensive experience with network communications systems. I hold 42 industry certifications, which include certifications in network communications. I have authored 24 computer science books, and several of those deal with network communications topics. I am named inventor on thirteen United States patents:

- ✓ United States Patent No. 9,755,887, entitled “Managing a Network Element Operating on a Network”, issued Sept. 5, 2017, assigned to Open Invention Network LLC.
- ✓ United States Patent No. 9,754,108, entitled “Method and Apparatus of Performing Data Executable Integrity Verification”, issued Sept. 5, 2017, assigned to Open Invention Network LLC.
- ✓ United States Patent No. 9,753,957, entitled “System and Method for Document Tracking”, issued Sept. 5, 2017, assigned to Open Invention Network LLC.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.