

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.

Petitioner

v.

UNILOC LUXEMBOURG, S.A.

Patent Owner

---

IPR2017-1798

PATENT 8,724,622

---

**PATENT OWNER RESPONSE TO PETITION  
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.120**

**Table of Contents**

<b>I.</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>II.</b>	<b>THE '622 PATENT DESCRIBES INSTANT VOICE MESSAGING OVER A PACKET-SWITCHED NETWORK.</b>	<b>6</b>
A.	Effective Filing Date of the '622 Patent	6
B.	The '622 Patent Describes and Claims Instant Voice Messaging over a Packet-Switched Network.	7
C.	The Challenged Claims of the '622 Patent Recite a Method for Instant Voice Messaging over a Packet-Switched Network.	9
<b>VI.</b>	<b>PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>VII.</b>	<b>PETITIONER FAILS TO PROVE OBVIOUSNESS.</b>	<b>12</b>
A.	Petitioner fails to prove that the proposed <i>Griffin-Zydney</i> combination renders obvious “wherein the instant voice message includes an object field including a digitized audio file” (claim 3)	13
B.	Petitioner fails to prove that the proposed <i>Griffin-Zydney</i> combination renders obvious “a network interface connected to a packet-switched network” (claims 3, 24) and a “messaging system communicating with a plurality of instant voice message client systems via the network interface” (claim 24)	18
C.	Petitioner fails to prove that the proposed <i>Griffin-Zydney</i> combination renders obvious “a communication platform system maintaining connection information for each of the plurality of instant voice message client systems indicating whether there is a current connection to each of the plurality of instant voice message client systems” (claim 3)	23
D.	Petitioner fails to prove that the proposed <i>Griffin-Zydney-Low</i> combination renders obvious “connection object messages includes data representing a state of a logical connection with a given one of the plurality of instant voice message client systems” (claim 24)	25
E.	No prima facie obviousness for “wherein the instant voice messaging application includes a message database storing the instant voice message, wherein the instant voice message is	

	represented by a database record including a unique identifier” (dependent claims 14-17 and 28-31)	26
F.	<i>Clark</i> teaches away from the proposed combination	32
G.	Petitioners’ proposed combination of <i>Zydney</i> with <i>Clark</i> results in messages being deleted once they are sent to the server	34
H.	Petitioner has failed to prove sufficient motivation to combine <i>Griffin</i> and <i>Zydney</i> as proposed	36
	1. The Combination of <i>Griffin</i> and <i>Zydney</i> is Inoperable for Text-only Buddies	38
	2. The Combination of <i>Griffin</i> plus <i>Zydney</i> Would Render <i>Zydney</i> Inoperable for Its Intended Purpose.	40
	3. The Combination of <i>Griffin</i> plus <i>Zydney</i> Would Result in <i>Zydney</i> ’s Messages Being Lost.	42
	4. <i>Griffin</i> ’s and <i>Zydney</i> ’s Methods of Managing Availability are Incompatible.	43
I.	Neither <i>Griffin</i> plus <i>Zydney</i> nor <i>Clark</i> Disclose a File Manager System Storing, Deleting and Retrieving the Instant Voice Messages.	48
J.	No Motivation to Combine <i>Griffin</i> plus <i>Zydney</i> with <i>Low</i> .	51
K.	The challenged dependent claims are allowable	52
<b>VIII.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>52</b>

**List of Exhibits**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>2001</b>	Declaration of William C. Easttom II
<b>2002</b>	U.S. Pat. No. 7,372,826 ( <i>Dahod</i> )
<b>2003</b>	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No 2004/0128356 ( <i>Bernstein</i> )
<b>2004</b>	Invalidity Contentions Submitted on December 16, 2016 in the underlying consolidated case of <i>Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Samsung Electronic America's, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:16-cv-642

## I. INTRODUCTION

Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. (the “Patent Owner”) submits this Patent Owner’s Response to Petition IPR2017-1798 for Inter Partes Review (“Pet.” or “Petition”) of United States Patent No. 8,724,622 B2, System and Method for Instant VoIP Messaging, (“the ’622 Patent” or “EX1001”) filed by Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (“Petitioner”).

Petitioner argues that Claims 14-17, 19, 24-26, 28-31, and would have been rendered obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art (a “POSITA”) in 2003 in view of a user interface patent, U.S. Pat. No. 8,150,922 to Chris Michael *Griffin* et al. (“*Griffin*,” EX1005) and International Pat. App. Pub. No. WO 01/11824 A2 to Herbert *Zydney* et al. (“*Zydney*,” EX1006) in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,725,228 (“*Clark*”) (Ex. 1007), International Patent Application No. WO 02/17650A1 (“*Vaananen*”) (Ex. 1008) and/or U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0018726A1 (“*Low*”) (Ex. 1010).

The Board should deny IPR2017-1798 in its entirety because Petitioner fails to satisfy the All Elements Rule. Instead, Petitioner impermissibly attempts to fill in missing limitations, at least in part, by offering claim interpretations that are expressly proscribed by the unambiguous claim language. The user interface patent to *Griffin* does not describe or enable instant voice messaging. In addition, the references cannot and should not be combined as the Petition suggests.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.