

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

HORIZON THERAPEUTICS, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case No.: IPR2017-01769  
U.S. Patent No. 9,326,966

---

**PETITIONER'S MOTION TO ACCEPT FILING OF PETITIONER'S  
REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO THE PETITION  
ON AUGUST 16, 2018 UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b)**

*Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"*  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

*Petitioner’s Motion to Accept Filing of Petitioner’s Reply  
to Patent Owner Response to the Petition on August 16, 2018*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. Introduction.....1

II. Statement of Material Facts .....1

III. Relief Requested.....2

IV. Statement of the Reasons for Relief Requested .....2

V. Conclusion .....4

*Petitioner's Motion to Accept Filing of Petitioner's Reply  
to Patent Owner Response to the Petition on August 16, 2018*

I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. (“Par”) respectfully requests a filing date of August 16, 2018 for its Reply to the Patent Owner’s Response to the Petition in this proceeding. As laid out below, Par filed its Reply on August 16, 2018 using alternate means directed by the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“PTAB”), because the PTAB’s End to End (“E2E”) system was offline that entire day.

Par herewith submits along with this motion its Reply and accompanying exhibits that it served on Patent Owner Horizon Therapeutics, LLC (“Horizon”) on August 16, 2018 following the instructions provided in Question A2 of the PTAB E2E Frequently Asked Questions (*available at* <https://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/patent-trial-and-appeal-board/ptab-e2e-frequently-asked-questions>).

II. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS

On August 16, 2018, Par filed its Reply using alternate means directed by the PTAB, because the E2E system was offline that entire day. (EX1029, ¶ 4.) Par contacted the Board for guidance in how to proceed and confirmed that it should follow the instructions provided at Question A2 of the PTAB E2E Frequently Asked Questions (*available at* <https://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/patent-trial-and-appeal-board/ptab-e2e-frequently-asked-questions>). (*Id.*, ¶ 5.)

*Petitioner's Motion to Accept Filing of Petitioner's Reply  
to Patent Owner Response to the Petition on August 16, 2018*

Following these instructions, Par served by email its Reply and accompanying exhibits on counsel for Horizon. (*Id.*, ¶ 6; EX1030.) Par also notified the Board by email, with Horizon's counsel copied, that it had served Horizon with those documents. (EX1029, ¶ 7; EX1031.)

Par became aware on August 21, 2018 that the E2E system's functionality was restored. (EX1029, ¶ 8.) Par now concurrently submits herewith to the E2E system the current motion under 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b), Par's Reply, the exhibits to Par's Reply, Par's Updated Exhibit List, and an affidavit (EX1029) attesting to the facts outlined above.

### III. RELIEF REQUESTED

Par requests that the Board deem filed and accepted, as of August 16, 2018, Par's Reply being submitted herewith to the E2E system.

### IV. STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

Per the instructions provided at Question A2, “[i]f PTAB E2E is unavailable during normal business hours or other unscheduled outage periods, . . . documents . . . may be served on opposing counsel via email, and the Board notified of the service by email copying opposing counsel.” (*see* <https://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/patent-trial-and-appeal-board/ptab-e2e-frequently-asked-questions>.) Documents served in this manner “must be followed by a later-filed motion requesting acceptance [sic] of the submission once PTAB E2E is

*Petitioner's Motion to Accept Filing of Petitioner's Reply  
to Patent Owner Response to the Petition on August 16, 2018*

available.” (*Id.*) And “[t]he . . . document will be accorded the date on the certificate of service as the identified filing date.” (*Id.* (citing 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b).)

As set forth above, Par complied with these instructions by (1) serving Par’s Reply on Horizon on August 16, 2018 (EX1030); (2) providing the Board with notice of Par’s service of its Reply (EX1031); and (3) electronically filing the instant motion concurrently with Par’s Reply now that the E2E system’s functionality has been restored. Par, therefore, requests that the Board accept the submission of Par’s Reply and accord it a filing date of August 16, 2018, as noted on the certificate of service of Par’s Reply.

Further, because the E2E system is designed to automatically assign a filing date to a submission based on when the submission is entered into E2E, Par also moves the Board to correct the E2E system indication of filing date associated with the August 16, 2018 Reply from the filing date reflected in the E2E system to its actual filing date of August 16, 2018, which is the date on which Par complied with the Board’s requirements for filing provided in Question A2.

Please apply any fees or any credits to Deposit Account No. 013050.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.