

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

HORIZON THERAPEUTICS, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2017-01767  
Patent 9,254,278

---

**REPLY DECLARATION OF NEAL SONDHEIMER, M.D., Ph.D.**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction.....1

    A. Qualifications .....1

    B. Scope of Work.....1

II. The '859 Publication Discloses All the Elements of Claims 1-3.....2

III. Ground 3 of Par’s Petition Renders the Challenged Claims Obvious.....4

    A. A POSA Would Have Increased Drug Doses for Patients Having “Normal” Ammonia Levels.....4

    B. A POSA Would Have Used Fasting Plasma Ammonia Levels to Determine Dosing.....6

    C. Dr. Enns Exaggerates the Risk of Overdosing.....7

IV. Grounds 2 and 4 of Par’s Petition Renders the Challenged Claims Obvious. ....9

V. The Prior Art Does Not Teach Away from the Challenged Claims .....10

VI. Conclusion .....13

*Reply Declaration of Neal Sondheimer, M.D., Ph.D. (Exhibit 1028)*

I, Neal Sondheimer, do hereby declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Qualifications

1. My background and qualifications are described in Section II of my initial declaration submitted in the proceeding on July 13, 2017 (“Initial Declaration”) (EX1002, ¶¶7-13). I incorporate those qualifications by reference here. I am being compensated as set forth in my Initial Declaration (EX1002, ¶2), and I continue to have no personal or financial interest in Par or in the outcome of this proceeding.

B. Scope of Work

2. For this declaration, I was asked to review and discuss the declaration of Dr. Gregory M. Enns (“the Enns Declaration”). (EX2006.) I have also reviewed Horizon’s Patent Owner Response (Paper 22) (“Horizon’s POR”). Nothing in the Enns Declaration or Horizon’s POR alters or changes my opinions set forth in my previous Declaration.

3. This declaration is a statement of my additional opinions in this matter and the basis and reasons for those opinions. In forming the opinions expressed in this declaration, I have relied upon my education, experience, and knowledge of the subject matter discussed. In addition to my Initial Declaration and the materials identified therein, I have also reviewed, considered, or relied upon documents and other materials, which are cited in the table below:

*Reply Declaration of Neal Sondheimer, M.D., Ph.D. (Exhibit 1028)*

<i>Exhibit No.</i>	<i>Description</i>
<b>2012</b>	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2012/0022157, filed August 27, 2009, published January 26, 2012
<b>2013</b>	Tuchman & Batshaw, <i>Management of Inherited Disorders of Ureagenesis</i> , 12 <i>The Endocrinologist</i> 99–109 (2002). (“Tuchman”).
<b>2015</b>	Broomfield & Grunewald, <i>How to Use Serum Ammonia</i> , 97 <i>Arch. Dis. Child Educ. Pract. Ed.</i> 72-77 (2011)
<b>2018</b>	Kasumov et al., <i>New Secondary Metabolites of Phenylbutyrate in Humans and Rats</i> , 32 <i>Drug Metabolism and Disposition</i> 10-19 (2004)
<b>2019</b>	Häberle et al., <i>Suggested Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Urea Cycle Disorders</i> , 7 <i>Orphanet J. Rare Diseases</i> 1-30 (2012)
<b>2025</b>	Colloquium, <i>Consensus Statement from a Conference for the Management of Patients with Urea Cycle Disorders</i> , 138 <i>J. Pediatrics</i> S1-S5 (2001)
<b>2031</b>	Cheson et al., <i>Novel Therapeutic Agents for the Treatment of Myelodysplastic Syndromes</i> , 27 <i>Seminars in Oncology</i> 560-77 (John W. Yarbrow, et al. eds., 2000)
<b>2032</b>	Scaglia et al., <i>Effect of Alternative Pathway Therapy on Branched Chain Amino Acid Metabolism in Urea Cycle Disorder Patients</i> , 81 <i>Molecular Genetics and Metabolism, Supplement 1</i> S79-S85 (2004)
<b>2046</b>	Transcript of the Deposition of Dr. Neal Sondheimer, taken April 19, 2018

II. THE '859 PUBLICATION DISCLOSES ALL THE ELEMENTS OF CLAIMS 1-3.

4. Horizon claims that “a [person of ordinary skill in the art (“POSA”)] would not understand Example 3 to disclose that patient 1006 received an amount of GPB sufficient to produce a fasting plasma ammonia level that is necessarily less than half the ULN.” (Paper 22 at 60.) Horizon states this is because “a POSA

**Reply Declaration of Neal Sondheimer, M.D., Ph.D. (Exhibit 1028)**

would not assume [the fasting plasma ammonia level] was necessarily the lowest,” and therefore, lower than  $8.30\mu\text{mol/L}$ . (*Id.* at 62.) I disagree.

5. Example 3 of the '859 Publication discloses that Subject 1006 had a maximum plasma concentration, i.e.,  $C_{\text{max}}$ , for the plasma ammonia level of  $13\mu\text{mol/L}$ . (EX1004, [0201].) The '859 Publication reports that the ULN for the study sites in Example 3 was  $26\text{-}35\mu\text{mol/L}$ . (*Id.*) One-half ULN would be in the range of  $13\text{-}17.5\mu\text{mol/L}$ . The maximum plasma ammonia level of Subject 1006, therefore, was the same as the lowest end of the one-half ULN range, i.e.,  $13\mu\text{mol/L}$ . As a result of Subject 1006's maximum plasma ammonia level being  $13\mu\text{mol/L}$ , at every other time point, Subject 1006 had to have had a plasma ammonia level lower than one-half ULN.

6. Further, the time-normalized area under the curve (“TN-AUC”) provides an AUC value that is normalized based on values obtained from measurements taken at various points in a 24-hour period. A POSA, therefore, would have easily understood that the only way Subject 1006's TN-AUC can be  $8.30\mu\text{mol/L}$  with a  $C_{\text{max}}$  of  $13\mu\text{mol/L}$ , is if there were plasma ammonia levels that were measured to be lower than  $8.30\mu\text{mol/L}$ .

7. Given that the fasting plasma ammonia level would have been one of the lowest measurements in the day and Subject 1006 had a maximum plasma ammonia level at one-half the lowest end of the ULN range, i.e.,  $13\mu\text{mol/L}$ , the

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.