

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

HORIZON THERAPEUTICS, LLC,  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2017-01767

Patent 9,254,278

---

**HORIZON THERAPEUTICS, LLC'S RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR  
*INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. BACKGROUND AND STATE OF THE ART.....6

    A. Prior Art at Issue.....6

    B. Technical Background on Treatment of UCDs.....6

III. THE '278 PATENT CLAIMS.....10

IV. PAR'S DEFINITION OF ONE OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART IS OVERLY BROAD .....12

V. CLAIM INTERPRETATION .....15

VI. CLAIMS 1-15 WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF THE ASSERTED PRIOR ART .....17

    A. The Board's Finding of Unpatentability of the '215 Patent is Inapplicable .....17

    B. Ground 3 Fails .....18

        1. The Prior Art Did Not Recognize That UCD Patients Having a Plasma Ammonia Level Within the Normal Range Required an Increased Dosage of Nitrogen Scavenging Medication.....19

            (a) The Cited Prior Art Provides No Motivation to Increase the Dosage for a Patient With a Normal Plasma Ammonia Level..... 20

            (b) The Prior Art as a Whole Refutes Par's Assertion that a POSA Would Have Been Motivated to Perform the Claimed Methods..... 29

        2. The Variability in Plasma Ammonia Levels Discouraged Reliance on Normal Plasma Ammonia Levels in Making Dosage Adjustments .....35

3.	The Prior Art Does Not Suggest Reliance on Fasting Plasma Ammonia Measurements For Dosage Adjustment .....	40
4.	Par Fails to Demonstrate a Reasonable Expectation of Success .....	43
5.	Dr. Sondheimer’s Unsupported and Hindsight-Driven Testimony Should be Given No Weight.....	47
C.	Grounds 2 and 4 Fail .....	50
1.	The Prior Art Does Not Suggest Targeting a Fasting Plasma Ammonia Level at or Below Half the ULN.....	50
2.	Dr. Sondheimer’s Unsupported and Hindsight-Driven Testimony Should be Given No Weight.....	52
3.	Par Fails to Demonstrate a Reasonable Expectation of Success .....	57
D.	Ground 1 Fails .....	60
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	63

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Cases**

*Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.*,  
832 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016) ..... 56, 57

*Ashland Oil, Inc. v. Delta Resins & Refractories, Inc.*,  
776 F.2d 281 (Fed. Cir. 1985) ..... 34, 47

*Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek LLC*,  
805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....22

*DePuy Spine Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.*,  
567 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....44

*Disney Enter., Inc. v. Kappos*,  
923 F. Supp. 2d 788 (E.D. Va. 2013) .....31

*DSS Tech. Mgmt., Inc. v. Apple Inc.*,  
885 F.3d. 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2018) ..... 56, 57

*Envtl. Designs, Inc. v. Union Oil Co.*,  
713 F.2d 693 (Fed. Cir. 1983) .....15

*Hospitality Core Services LLC v. Nomadix, Inc.*,  
IPR2016-00052, Paper 8 (PTAB Apr. 27, 2016) .....15

*In re Cyclobenzaprine Extended-Release Patent Litigation*,  
676 F.3d 1063 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....44

*In re Kahn*,  
441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....47

*In re Magnum Oil Tools Int’l, Ltd.*,  
829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....26

*In re Paulsen*,  
30 F.3d 1475 (Fed. Cir. 1994) .....16

*In re Rijckaert*,  
9 F.3d 1531 (Fed. Cir. 1993) ..... 22, 23

*Institut Pasteur & Universite Pierre Et Marie Curie v. Focarino*,  
738 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2013) ..... 45, 58

*K/S HIMPP v. Hear-Wear Techs., LLC*,  
751 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....56

*KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*,  
550 U.S. 398 (2007) .....56

*Leo Pharm. Prods. Ltd. v. Rea*,  
726 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....20

*Perfect Web Techs., Inc. v. InfoUSA, Inc.*,  
587 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....57

*Tissue Transplant Tech. Ltd. et al. v. Mimedx Group, Inc.*,  
IPR2015-00320, Paper 13 (PTAB June 29, 2015) .....47

*W.L. Gore & Assocs. Inc. v. Garlock, Inc.*,  
721 F.2d 1540 (Fed. Cir. 1983) .....54

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 102(b) .....6

35 U.S.C. § 103(a) .....6

35 U.S.C. § 316(e) .....26

**Regulations**

37 C.F.R. § 42.65(a)..... 34, 47

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.