

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ARGENTUM PHARMACEUTICALS LLC,  
Petitioner,

v.

ICOS CORPORATION,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case No. IPR2017-01762  
Patent No. 6,943,166 B1

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,943,166**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
A. Brief Overview of the '166 Patent.....	4
B. Brief Overview of the Prosecution History .....	6
C. Brief Overview of the Scope and Content of the Prior Art .....	8
D. Brief Overview of the Level of Skill in the Art.....	16
E. Background Knowledge in the Art Prior to April 30, 1999 .....	19
II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING .....	25
III. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.8 .....	25
IV. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED FOR EACH CLAIM CHALLENGED.....	28
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	28
A. “up to a maximum total dose” .....	29
B. “female arousal disorder” .....	30
C. “free drug” .....	30
VI. DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY	

A. [Ground 1] Claims 1-12 are Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over the '675  
PCT (EX1007) in view of the Sildenafil NDA (EX1008) and FDA Guideline  
(EX1009). ..... 31

VII. NO OBJECTIVE INDICIA OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS ..... 48

    A. Legal Standard..... 48

    B. The Claimed Dosing Method Does Not Produce Unexpected Results ..... 49

    C. No Long-Felt Need for the Claimed Dosing Regimen ..... 63

VIII. CONCLUSION ..... 65

IX. CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE ..... 66

X. PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15(A) AND 42.103 ..... 66

XI. APPENDIX – LIST OF EXHIBITS ..... 67

## I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 311 and § 6 of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (“AIA”), and to 37 C.F.R. Part 42, Argentum Pharmaceuticals LLC, (“Petitioner”) hereby requests *inter partes* review of United States Patent No. 6,943,166 to Pullman (“the ’166 patent,” EX1001), which issued on September 13, 2005, and is currently assigned to ICOS Corp., which is owned by Eli Lilly and Co. (collectively “Patent Owner”). *Inter partes* review of Claims 1-12 of the ’166 patent was instituted in IPR2017-00323 on June 12, 2017, based on a petition filed by Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. (“Mylan IPR”). Argentum hereby files its own Petition on the same ground instituted in the Mylan IPR and concurrently seeks to join the instituted Mylan IPR. A motion for joinder with IPR2017-00323 is being filed concurrently with this Petition. This petition is accompanied by declarations from Dr. Culley C. Carson III, M.D. (Ex. 1037) and Dr. Jackie Corbin, Ph.D. (Ex. 1038) indicating that they have reviewed the declarations of Dr. George Grass, Pharm.D., Ph.D. and Dr. Muta M. Issa, M.D., M.B.A., which are Exs. 1002, 1004 in IPR2017-00323 and in this IPR. Additionally, Drs. Carson and Corbin indicated in their declarations that they agree with the opinions and reasoning in the declarations of Drs. Grass and Issa and have adopted the same opinions and reasoning.

The ’166 patent is directed to a dosing regimen for treating sexual

dysfunction using a prior art compound now known as tadalafil, a phosphodiesterase type 5 (PDE5) inhibitor with previously reported and previously claimed utility for treating sexual dysfunction. The dosing regimen claimed in the '166 is the administration of about 1 to about 20 mg of tadalafil, where the total maximum daily dose is no larger than 20 mg. The art taught not only the compound tadalafil itself, but that (i) orally administered tadalafil was useful in treating sexual dysfunction at daily dosages as low as 0.5 mg (EX1007); and (ii) tadalafil was nearly twice as potent as sildenafil citrate (Viagra<sup>®</sup>), another inhibitor of the same PDE5 enzyme that gained FDA approval in March 1998. EX1008.

Sildenafil (25 mg, 50 mg, and 100mg) was approved, as a once-daily treatment for male erectile dysfunction, and it was known to produce only minor adverse events at the approved once-daily doses of 25 and 50 mg. As tadalafil was nearly twice as potent as sildenafil for the same PDE5 enzyme, the person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to adjust the dosing of tadalafil proportionately based on known data regarding the approved doses of sildenafil.

Dose response analyses of sildenafil for treatment of sexual dysfunction were documented in the prior art. *See, e.g.*, EX1008 at 0070. Skilled artisans routinely produced these dose-response curves to inform dosage decisions and,

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.