

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORPORATION, GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S., INC.,
AND MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

DANIEL L. FLAMM,
Patent Owner.

PTAB Case No. IPR2017-00282

Patent No. RE40,264 E

**DECLARATION OF DR. JOHN BRAVMAN IN SUPPORT OF PETITION
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE40,264
(Claims 56-63 and 70-71)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY	1
A. Qualifications	1
1. Education	1
2. Career	2
3. Publications	4
4. Curriculum Vitae.....	5
B. Compensation	5
C. Materials Reviewed	5
D. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	7
II. OVERVIEW REGARDING TECHNOLOGY	9
A. Priority Date	9
1. The Challenged Independent Claims	10
2. The Disclosure of Application No. 08/567,224, Filed on December 4, 1995	11
B. State of the Art from the Perspective of a Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art at the Time of the Alleged Invention	15
C. Background and General Description of the '264 Patent	19
D. Claim Construction	23
III. OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART	24
A. Standard for Invalidity.....	24
B. Background on Kadomura	26
1. General overview of Kadomura.....	26
2. Summary of Kadomura.....	34
C. Background on Matsumura	35
1. General overview of Matsumura	35
2. Summary of Matsumura	38

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
D. Reasons to combine Kadomura with Matsumura	38
E. Background on Muller	42
1. General overview of Muller.....	42
2. Summary of Muller.....	46
F. Reasons to combine Matsumura with Muller	46
G. Reasons to combine Muller with Kadomura and Matsumura	51
H. Background on Wang.....	53
1. General overview of Wang	53
2. Summary of Wang	54
I. Reasons to combine Wang with Kadomura and Matsumura.....	54
J. Reasons to combine Wang with Muller and Matsumura.....	56
K. Background on Kikuchi	59
1. General overview of Kikuchi.....	59
2. Summary of Kikuchi	63
L. Reasons to combine Kikuchi with Kadomura and Matsumura	63
M. Reasons to combine Kikuchi with Muller and Matsumura.....	66
IV. KADOMURA, MATSUMURA, MULLER, KIKUCHI, AND WANG RENDERED CLAIMS 56-63 AND 70-71 OBVIOUS	70
A. Kadomura and Matsumura rendered claim 56 obvious	71
1. Kadomura disclosed what is recited in the preamble of claim 56.....	71
2. Kadomura disclosed claim 56, limitation [a].....	73
3. Kadomura and Matsumura disclosed claim 56, limitation [b]	74
4. Kadomura disclosed claim 56, limitation [c].....	78
5. Kadomura disclosed claim 56, limitation [d].....	80

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
6. Kadomura and Matsumura disclosed claim 56, limitation [e]	81
B. Kadomura, Matsumura and Muller rendered claim 57 obvious	94
C. Kadomura and Matsumura rendered claim 58 obvious	101
D. Kadomura, Matsumura and Wang rendered claim 59 obvious.....	101
E. Kadomura, Matsumura and Wang rendered claim 60 obvious.....	106
1. Kadomura disclosed what is recited in the preamble of claim 60.....	106
2. Kadomura disclosed claim 60, limitation [a].....	107
3. Kadomura and Matsumura disclosed claim 60, limitation [b]	108
4. Kadomura and Matsumura in view of Wang disclosed claim 60, limitation [c].....	112
5. Kadomura, Matsumura and Wang disclosed claim 60, limitation [d]	114
6. Kadomura, Matsumura and Wang disclosed claim 60, limitation [e].....	115
7. Kadomura, Matsumura and Wang disclosed claim 60, limitation [f]	115
F. Kadomura, Matsumura and Wang rendered claim 61 obvious.....	116
G. Kadomura, Matsumura, Wang and Muller rendered claim 62 obvious	118
H. Kadomura, Matsumura, Wang and Kikuchi rendered claim 63 obvious	123
I. Kadomura, Matsumura, Wang, and Kikuchi rendered claim 70 obvious	126
J. Kadomura, Matsumura and Wang rendered claim 71 obvious.....	128

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
V. MULLER, MATSUMURA, WANG AND KIKUCHI RENDERED CLAIMS 56-63, 70 AND 71 OBVIOUS	129
A. Muller, Matsumura and Wang rendered claim 56 obvious.....	130
1. Muller disclosed what is recited in the preamble of claim 56.....	130
2. Muller disclosed claim 56, limitation [a].....	131
3. Muller and Matsumura disclosed claim 56, limitation [b]	132
4. Muller and Wang disclosed claim 56, limitation [c]	137
5. Muller and Wang disclosed claim 56, limitation [d]	143
6. Muller, Matsumura, and Wang disclosed claim 56, limitation [e].....	145
B. Muller, Matsumura and Wang rendered claim 57 obvious.....	153
C. Muller, Matsumura and Wang rendered claim 58 obvious.....	156
D. Muller, Matsumura and Wang rendered claim 59 obvious.....	157
E. Muller, Matsumura and Wang rendered claim 60 obvious.....	160
1. Muller disclosed what is recited in the preamble of claim 60.....	160
2. Muller and Wang disclosed claim 60, limitation [a]	160
3. Muller and Matsumura disclosed claim 60, limitation [b]	161
4. Muller and Matsumura in view of Wang disclosed claim 60, limitation [c].....	161
5. Muller, Matsumura and Wang disclosed claim 60, limitation [d]	162
6. Muller, Matsumura and Wang disclosed claim 60, limitation [e].....	163
7. Muller, Matsumura and Wang disclosed claim 60, limitation [f]	163

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.