

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORPORATION, GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S., INC.,
AND MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

DANIEL L. FLAMM,
Patent Owner.

PTAB Case No. IPR2017-00280
Patent No. RE40,264 E

**DECLARATION OF DR. JOHN BRAVMAN IN SUPPORT OF PETITION
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE40,264
(Claims 27-36, 51-55, 66, 68-69)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY	1
A. Qualifications	1
1. Education	1
2. Career	2
3. Publications.....	4
4. Curriculum Vitae.....	5
B. Compensation	5
C. Materials Reviewed.....	5
D. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	7
II. OVERVIEW REGARDING TECHNOLOGY.....	9
A. Priority Date	9
1. The Challenged Independent Claims	10
2. The Disclosure of Application No. 08/567,224, Filed on December 4, 1995	12
B. State of the Art from the Perspective of a Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art at the Time of the Alleged Invention	16
C. Background and General Description of the '264 Patent	20
D. Claim Construction	25
III. OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART	25
A. Standard for Invalidity.....	25
B. Background on Kadomura	27
1. General Overview of Kadomura.....	27
2. Summary of Kadomura.....	35
C. Background on Matsumura	36

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	Page
1. General Overview of Matsumura.....	36
2. Summary of Matsumura	40
D. Reasons to Combine Kadomura with Matsumura	40
E. Background on Kikuchi	44
1. General Overview of Kikuchi	44
2. Summary of Kikuchi	49
F. Reasons to Combine Kikuchi with Kadomura and Matsumura.....	49
G. Reasons to Combine Kikuchi with Matsumura	53
H. Background on Muller	58
1. General Overview of Muller.....	58
2. Summary of Muller.....	62
I. Reasons to combine Muller with Kadomura and Matsumura	62
J. Reasons to combine Muller with Kikuchi and Matsumura.....	66
IV. KADOMURA, MATSUMURA, MULLER, AND KIKUCHI RENDERED CLAIMS 27-36, 51-55, 66 AND 68-69 OBVIOUS	71
A. Kadomura and Matsumura rendered claim 27 obvious	72
1. Kadomura disclosed what is recited in the preamble of claim 27	72
2. Kadomura and Matsumura disclosed claim 27, limitation [a]	72
3. Kadomura disclosed claim 27, limitation [b].....	77
4. Kadomura disclosed claim 27, limitation [c].....	78
5. Kadomura disclosed claim 27, limitation [d].....	79
6. Kadomura and Matsumura disclosed claim 27, limitation [e]	80
7. Kadomura rendered claim 27, limitation [f] obvious	87

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	Page
B. Kadomura and Matsumura in view of Muller rendered claim 28 obvious	90
C. Kadomura and Matsumura rendered claim 29 obvious	93
D. Kadomura and Matsumura in view of Muller rendered claim 30 obvious	94
E. Kadomura and Matsumura in view of Kikuchi rendered claim 31 obvious	98
F. Kadomura and Matsumura rendered claim 32 obvious	100
G. Kadomura and Matsumura in view of Muller rendered claim 33 obvious	102
H. Kadomura and Matsumura rendered claim 34 obvious	103
I. Kadomura and Matsumura in view of Kikuchi rendered claim 35 obvious	103
J. Kadomura and Matsumura rendered claim 36 obvious	104
K. Kadomura and Matsumura rendered claim 66 obvious	104
L. Kadomura and Matsumura in view of Muller rendered claim 51 obvious	105
1. Kadomura disclosed what is recited in the preamble of claim 51	105
2. Kadomura disclosed claim 51, limitation [a]	106
3. Kadomura and Matsumura disclosed claim 51, limitation [b]	106
4. Kadomura disclosed claim 51, limitation [c]	111
5. Kadomura disclosed claim 51, limitation [d]	111
6. Kadomura and Muller disclosed claim 51, limitation [e]	111
7. Kadomura disclosed claim 51, limitation [f]	113
M. Kadomura and Matsumura in view of Muller rendered claim 52 obvious	114

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
N. Kadomura and Matsumura in view of Muller rendered claim 53 obvious	121
O. Kadomura and Matsumura in view of Muller rendered claim 54 obvious	122
P. Kadomura and Matsumura in view of Muller rendered claim 55 obvious	122
Q. Kadomura and Matsumura in view of Muller rendered claim 68 obvious	130
R. Kadomura and Matsumura in view of Muller rendered claim 69 obvious	131
V. KIKUCHI, MATSUMURA, AND MULLER RENDERED CLAIMS 27-36, 51-55, 66 AND 68-69 OBVIOUS.....	132
A. Kikuchi and Matsumura rendered claim 27 obvious	132
1. Kikuchi disclosed what is recited in the preamble of claim 27	132
2. Kikuchi and Matsumura disclosed claim 27, limitation [a]	133
3. Kikuchi disclosed claim 27, limitation [b].....	137
4. Kikuchi discloses claim 27, limitation [c]	138
5. Kikuchi disclosed claim 27, limitation [d].....	141
6. Kikuchi and Matsumura rendered obvious claim 27, limitation [e].....	141
7. Kikuchi rendered claim 27, limitation [f] obvious	149
B. Kikuchi and Matsumura rendered claim 28 obvious	149
C. Kikuchi and Matsumura in view of Muller rendered claim 29 obvious	151
D. Kikuchi and Matsumura in view of Muller rendered claim 30 obvious	154

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.