

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LAM RESEARCH CORP.,

Petitioner

v.

DANIEL L. FLAMM,

Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 5,711,849

Issued: January 27, 1998

Named Inventors: Daniel L. Flamm & John P. Verboncoeur

Title: PROCESS OPTIMIZATION
IN GAS PHASE DRY ETCHING

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,711,849 UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.68**

Mail Stop: PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. FORMALITIES	3
A. Notice of Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	3
B. Notice of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	3
C. Designation of Lead and Back-up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3))	3
D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))	3
E. Payment of Fees (37 C.F.R. § 42.103).....	3
F. Certification of Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a))	4
III. CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	4
A. Specific Art and Statutory Ground(s) on Which the Challenges Are Based	4
IV. THE '849 PATENT.....	6
A. Representative Claim 1	7
B. The '849 Patent Disclosure	7
1. Plasma Etching Apparatus Comprising A Substrate Therein	7
2. Relatively Non-Uniform Etching Profile.....	9
3. Etch Rate Data Comprising An Etch Rate And A Spatial Coordinate.....	10
4. Surface Reaction Rate Constant.....	10
V. PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	11
VI. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '849 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE	12

A.	Ground 1: Claims 26-28 Are Obvious Over Battey Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	12
1.	Battey Teaches All the Limitations of Independent Claim 26.....	13
2.	Chart for Claim 26.....	17
3.	Battey Teaches All the Limitations of Claims 27 and 28.....	22
4.	Chart for Claims 27 and 28.....	24
B.	Ground 2: Claims 1-3, 5, 7-12, 14, 16-21 And 29 Are Rendered Obvious By Battey In View of Galewski Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	24
1.	Battey In View of Galewski Teaches All the Limitations of Independent Claim 1.....	25
2.	Chart for Claim 1.....	28
3.	Battey In View of Galewski Teaches All the Limitations of Claims 2, 3, 5 and 7-9.....	30
4.	Chart for Claims 2, 3, 5 and 7-9.....	32
5.	Battey In View of Galewski Teaches All the Limitations of Independent Claim 10.....	33
6.	Chart for Claim 10.....	36
7.	Battey In View of Galewski Teaches All the Limitations of Claims 11, 12, 14 and 16-19.....	37
8.	Chart for Claims 11, 12, 14 and 16-19.....	39
9.	Battey In View of Galewski Teaches All the Limitations of Independent Claim 20.....	40
10.	Chart for Claim 20.....	43
11.	Battey In View of Galewski Teaches All the Limitations of Claim 21.....	44

- 12. Chart for Claim 21 45
- 13. Battey In View of Galewski Teaches All the Limitations of Claim 29 45
- 14. Chart for Claim 29 45
- 15. Reasons for Combinability for Claims 1-3, 5, 7-12, 14, 16-21 and 29..... 46
- C. Ground 3: Claims 4, 6, 13, 15 and 22-25 Are Rendered Obvious By Battey In View of Galewski And Sawin Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)..... 47
 - 1. Battey In View of Galewski and Sawin Teaches All the Limitations of Claims 4, 6, 13 and 15..... 47
 - 2. Chart for Claims 4, 6, 13 and 15 49
 - 3. Battey In View of Galewski and Sawin Teaches All the Limitations of Independent Claim 22 50
 - 4. Chart for Claim 22 53
 - 5. Battey In View of Galewski and Sawin Teaches All the Limitations of Claims 23-25 56
 - 6. Chart for Claims 23-25 57
 - 7. Reasons for Combinability for Claims 4, 6, 13, 15 and 22-25 58

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>PAGE</u>
<u>Cases</u>	
<i>Daniel L. Flamm v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., et al.</i> , Case 1:15-cv-613-LY (W.D. Tex.).....	3
<i>In re Keller</i> , 642 F.2d 413 (CCPA 1981).....	2
<i>In re Mouttet</i> , 686 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	2
<i>KSR Intern. Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	1
<i>Lam Research Corp. v. Daniel L. Flamm</i> , Case 5:15-cv-01277-BLF (N.D. Cal.).....	3
<i>Sakraida v. Ag Pro, Inc.</i> , 425 U.S. 273 (1976).....	2
<u>Statutes</u>	
35 U.S.C. § 102(b).....	5, 6
35 U.S.C. § 314(a).....	6
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319.....	1
<u>Rules</u>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.100.....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.103	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b).....	4, 12
37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a).....	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(1).....	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	3

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.