

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

LAM RESEARCH CORP.,

Petitioner

v.

DANIEL L. FLAMM,

Patent Owner

---

U.S. Patent No. 6,017,221

Issued: January 5, 2000

Named Inventor: Daniel L. Flamm

Title: PROCESS DEPENDING ON PLASMA  
DISCHARGES SUSTAINED BY INDUCTIVE COUPLING

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,017,221 UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.68**

Mail Stop: PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>PAGE</u></b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. FORMALITIES .....	3
A. Notice of Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)) .....	3
B. Notice of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	3
C. Designation of Lead and Back-up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)).....	3
D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)) .....	3
E. Payment of Fees (37 C.F.R. § 42.103).....	3
F. Certification of Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)).....	4
III. CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	4
A. Specific Art and Statutory Ground(s) on Which the Challenges Are Based.....	4
IV. THE '221 PATENT .....	7
A. Representative Claim 1 .....	7
B. The '221 Patent Disclosure.....	8
1. Inductively-Coupled Plasma Source.....	8
2. Capacitively Coupled Currents .....	9
3. Phase and Anti-Phase Portions of the Capacitively Coupled Currents.....	9
4. Wave Adjustment Circuit .....	10
V. PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	11
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	12
A. "wave adjustment circuit" .....	12

B.	"selectively balanced" .....	16
C.	"entities" .....	18
D.	"high frequency field" .....	19
VII.	THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '221 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE .....	20
A.	Ground 1: Claims 1 and 5-7 Are Anticipated by Lieberman93, or alternatively Lieberman94, Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b).....	21
1.	Lieberman93, or alternatively Lieberman94, Teaches All the Limitations of Independent Claim 1 .....	21
2.	Chart for Claim 1 .....	27
3.	Lieberman93, or alternatively Lieberman94, Teaches All the Limitations of Claims 5-7 .....	29
4.	Chart for Claims 5-7 .....	31
B.	Ground 2: Claims 1 and 5-7 Are Rendered Obvious by Lieberman93, or Alternatively Lieberman94, In View Of Dible Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	33
1.	Lieberman93, or Alternatively Lieberman94, In View Of Dible Teaches All the Limitations of Claim 1 .....	34
2.	Chart for Claim 1 .....	39
3.	Lieberman93, or Alternatively Lieberman94, In View Of Dible Teaches All the Limitations of Claims 5-7 .....	42
4.	Chart for Claims 5-7 .....	44
5.	Reasons for Combinability for Claims 1,2 and 5-7 .....	46
C.	Ground 3: Claims 2-3 are Rendered Obvious by Lieberman93, or Alternatively Lieberman94, in View of Knapp or, in the alternative, by Lieberman in View of Dible and Knapp Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	47

- 1. Chart for Claims 2-3 ..... 49
- 2. Reasons for Combinability for Claims 2-3 ..... 51
- D. Ground 4: Claim 4 is Rendered Obvious by Lieberman93, or Alternatively Lieberman94, in View of Collins, or Alternatively in View of Dible and Collins, Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)..... 52
  - 1. Chart for Claim 4 ..... 54
  - 2. Reasons for Combinability for Claim 4..... 55
- E. Ground 5: Claim 7 is Rendered Obvious by Lieberman93, or Alternatively Lieberman94, in View of Hopwood, or Alternatively in View of Dible and Hopwood, Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) ..... 56
  - 1. Chart for Claim 7 ..... 57
  - 2. Reasons for Combinability for Claim 7..... 57

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES****PAGE****Cases**

<i>Agilent Technologies Inc. v. Affymetrix, Inc.</i> , No. C 06-05958 JW, 2008 WL 7348188, at *5 (N.D. Cal. June 13, 2008).....	12
<i>In re Keller</i> , 642 F.2d 413, 425 (CCPA 1981) .....	2
<i>In re Mouttet</i> , 686 F.3d 1322, 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	2
<i>KSR Intern. Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398, 415-16 (2007) .....	1
<i>Lam Research Corp. v. Daniel L. Flamm</i> , Case 5:15-cv-01277-BLF (N.D. Cal.).....	3
<i>Sakraida v. Ag Pro, Inc.</i> , 425 U.S. 273, 282 (1976).....	2

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 102(b) .....	4, 6, 21
35 U.S.C. § 314(a) .....	7
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 .....	1

**Rules**

37 C.F.R. § 42.100 .....	1, 12
<b>37 C.F.R. § 42.103</b> .....	3
<b>37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)</b> .....	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) .....	4, 12, 21
37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a).....	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(1).....	4
<b>37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)</b> .....	3
<b>37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)</b> .....	3
<b>37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)</b> .....	3

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.