UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CAVIUM, INC., *Petitioner*,

v.

ALACRITECH, INC., Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-01732 U.S. Patent No. 7,673,072

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 313 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.107



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			rage		
I.	INT	RODUCTION	1		
II.	OVERVIEW OF THE '072 PATENT				
	A.	The '072 Patent Specification	4		
	B.	The '072 Patent Claims	6		
III.	OVI	8			
	A.	U.S. Patent No. 5,937,169 ("Connery")	8		
IV.	CLA	10			
	A.	Cavium's Petition Should Be Denied Because It Alleges The Challenged Claims Are Indefinite	10		
	В.	Petitioner's Proposed Terms for Construction Do Not Affect Alacritech's Non-Obviousness Argument in This Preliminary Response	11		
V.	THE IT F INT	12			
	A.	The Relationship Between Cavium and QLogic Is Sufficiently Close	13		
	B.	Cavium Effectively Controls QLogic	15		
	C.	Cavium Effectively Controls Dell	16		
	D.	Dell Desires Review of the '072 Patent	18		
	E.	Intel Has Effective Choice as to the Legal Theories and Proofs of Dell and Cavium	18		
	F.	Finding QLogic, Dell, and Intel Are Real Parties in Interest Is Consistent with Legislative Intent	20		
VI.	THE BOARD SHOULD DECLINE INSTITUTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 325(D) BECAUSE ALL THE PRIOR ART HAS ALREADY BEEN CONSIDERED BY THE OFFICE				
VII.	PET	ERE IS NO REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE THIONER WILL PREVAIL UNDER GROUND 1 (THE LY GROUND)	22		



Case No. IPR2017-01732 U.S. Patent No. 7,673,072

	Г	All Claims of The '072 Patent Are Fully Supported By The Provisional Application, and Therefore Connery is Not Prior Art				
	1		"Creating headers for the segments, by the interface device, from [the/a] template header," and "prepending the headers to the segments"	.25		
	2	2.	Protocols other than TCP/IP	.30		
VIII.	PENDI	NG (CH RESERVES ITS RIGHTS UNDER THE OIL STATES CASE AT THE UNITED STATES COURT	.33		
IX	CONCI	IZII	ON	33		



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>Pa</u>	<u>age</u>
Cases	
Alacritech, Inc. v. CenturyLink, Inc.,	
2:16-cv-00693-JRG-RSP (E.D. Tex.)	13
Alacritech, Inc. v. Dell Inc.,	
2:16-cv-00695-RWS-RSP (E.D. Tex.)	13
Alacritech, Inc. v. Wistron Corp.,	
2:16-cv-00692-JRG-RSP (E.D. Tex.)	13
ams AG v. 511 Innovations, Inc.,	
Case IPR2016-01788 (PTAB Mar. 15, 2017)	10
Apple Inc. v. Immersion Corp.,	
Case IPR2016-01372 (Jan. 11, 2017)	3
Ariad Pharm., Inc. v. Eli Lilly & Co.,	
598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	24
Benson & Ford, Inc. v. Wanda Petroleum Co.,	
833 F.2d 1172 (5th Cir. 1987)	17
In re Herschler,	
591 F.2d 693 (CCPA 1979)	24
In re Kaslow,	
707 F.2d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 1983)	24
LizardTech, Inc. v. Earth Res. Mapping, Inc.,	
424 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	24
Oil States Energy Servs. LLC v. Greene's Energy Group, LLC,	
Case No. 16-712, <i>certiorari</i> granted (U.S. Jun. 12, 2017)	33
Space Exploration Technologies Corp. v. Blue Origin LLC,	
Case IPR2014-01378 (Mar. 3, 2015)	3
In re Steele,	
305 F.2d 859 (CCPA 1962)	11
Vas-Cath, Inc. v. Mahurkar,	
935 F.2d 1555 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	24
Statutory Authorities	
35 U.S.C. § 102	3
35 U.S.C. § 103	
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(2)	
35 U.S.C. 8 313	1



Case No. IPR2017-01732 U.S. Patent No. 7,673,072

35 U.S.C. § 314	4
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	
35 U.S.C. § 315	17
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)	17
35 U.S.C. §316 (e)	23
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)	21
Rules and Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	12
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	
37 C.F.R. § 42.106(b)	
37 C.F.R. § 42.107(a)	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.108	
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48759-60	
(Aug. 14, 2012)	passim
H.R. Rept. No 112-98 (2011) (Judiciary Committee Report on H.R.	1249,
June 1, 2011)	20
Legislative Materials	
157 Cong. Rec. S1034, S1041 (Mar. 1, 2011)	20



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

