	<u>ed States Paten</u>	T AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.uspto.gov	OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
95/000,479	05/28/2009	7161506	2855.002REX3	2572
26111 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			LEUNG, CHRISTINA Y	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3992	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/18/2012	PAPER

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

PTOL-90A (Rev. 04/07)



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

BLUE COAT SYSTEMS, INC. Respondent v.

REALTIME DATA LLC. Patent Owner, Appellant

Appeal 2012-002371 Inter partes Reexamination Control No. 95/000,479 United States Patent 7,161,506 B2 Technology Center 3900

Before RICHARD TORCZON, ALLEN R. MacDONALD, and STEPHEN C. SIU, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

SIU, Administrative Patent Judge.

DOCKET

DECISION ON APPEAL

Appeal 2012-002371 Reexamination Control 95/000,479 Patent 7,161,506 B2

This proceeding arose from a third party request on behalf of Blue Coat Systems, Inc. for an *inter partes* reexamination of U. S. Patent 7,161,506 B2 (the '506 patent), entitled "Systems and Methods for Data Compression such as Content Dependent Data Compression," assigned to Realtime Data LLC and issued to James J. Fallon (January, 9, 2007). Claims 1-5, 8, 9, 11, 17, 20-23, 27, 39, 43, 69-73, 79, 81, 82, 84-90, 96, and 98 presently stand rejected. Claims 6, 7, 16, 41, and 42 have been confirmed. We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. §§ 134(b) and 306.

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The '506 patent describes "data compression and decompression using content independent and content dependent data compression and decompression" (col. 6, ll. 21-23).

Claim 1 on appeal reads as follows:

1. A method for compressing data, comprising the steps of:

analyzing a data block of an input data stream to identify one or more data types of the data block, the input data stream comprising a plurality of disparate data types;

performing content dependent data compression; if a data type of the data block is identified;

performing data compression with a single data compression encoder, if the data type of the data block is not identified.

(App. Br. 44, Claims Appendix.)

RM

Appeal 2012-002371 Reexamination Control 95/000,479 Patent 7,161,506 B2

The Examiner relies upon the following prior art references:

MacLean	US 5,167,034	Nov. 24, 1992
Kawashima	US 5,805,932	Sep. 8, 1998 <sup>1</sup>
Franaszek	US 5,870,036	Feb. 9, 1999
Reynar	US 5,951,623	Sep. 14, 1999
Sebastian	US 6,253,264 B1	Jun. 26, 2001

CCITT, "Data Compression Procedures for Data Circuit Terminating Equipment (DCE) Using Error Correction Procedures," Recommendation V.42 bis, International Telecommunication Union, Geneva, 1990 ("CCITT").

### Rejections

Claims 1-5, 8, 9, 11, 17, 21-23, 43, 69, 72, 73, 79, and 81 stand

rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Sebastian (Ans. 5);

Claims 69, 70, 72, 73, 79, 81, 82, 84, and 85 stand rejected under 35

U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Franaszek (Ans. 8);

Claim 20 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Sebastian, Franaszek, and Reynar (Ans. 9);

Claims 27 and 39 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Sebastian and any one of CCITT or Reynar (Ans. 10);

Claim 82 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Sebastian and MacLean (Ans. 11);

DOCKF

RM

Claims 70, 71, 84-90, 96, and 98 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sebastian and Kawashima (Ans. 11).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cited in conjunction with corresponding International Publication Number WO 95/29437 A1 (Nov. 1995).

Appeal 2012-002371 Reexamination Control 95/000,479 Patent 7,161,506 B2

### DISCUSSION

#### DECISION

The Examiner's decision to reject claims 1-5, 8, 9, 11, 17, 20-23, 27, 39, 43, 69-73, 79, 81, 82, 84-90, 96, and 98 is affirmed.

Requests for extensions of time in this *inter partes* reexamination proceeding are governed by 37 C.F.R. § 1.956. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 41.79.

### AFFIRMED

rvb

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Patent Owner's Rebuttal Brief Under 37 C.F.R. § 41.71, Retracting the Arguments Made to Overcome the Claim Rejections and Thereby Eliminating the Issues on Appeal, filed October 28, 2011, p. 6.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.