

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FACEBOOK, INC., WHATSAPP INC.,
Petitioners

v.

UNILOC USA, INC. and UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.,
Patent Owners

IPR2017-01667
PATENT 8,724,622

**PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107(a)**

Tables of Contents

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Related Matters of the '622 Patent	1
III.	The '622 Patent.....	2
	A. Effective Filing Date of the '622 Patent	2
	B. Overview of the '622 Patent.....	2
IV.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	5
IV.	PETITIONER RELIES ON INCORRECT CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS	6
	A. “communication platform system”	6
V.	NO REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS IS UNPATENTABLE.....	8
	A. No prima facie obviousness for dependent Claims 4, 5 and 12	9
	1. Zydney distinguishes voice containers from voice messages.....	10
	2. Zydney does not render obvious “wherein the instant voice message includes an object field” (claims 3, 4, 5 and 12).....	12
	3. Zydney teaches away from “wherein the instant voice message includes an action field identifying one of a predetermined set of permitted actions requested by the user” (claims 4 and 5).....	17
	B. Zydney does not render obvious “wherein the messaging system receives connection object messages from the plurality of instant voice message client systems, wherein each of the connection object messages includes data representing a state of a logical connection with a	

given one of the plurality of instant voice message client systems” (claims 24-26).....18

1. Zydney expressly teaches away from use of “connection objects” as defined in the ’622 patent19
2. No motivation to combine Zydney with Hethmon because Zyndey’s transport mechanism would not have worked with HTTP at that time.....20

VI. CONCLUSION.....22

List of Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	Declaration of William Easttom II
2002	Microsoft TechNet article showing Microsoft IIS 6.0 enabled compression over HTTP

I. Introduction

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 313 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.107(a), Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. (“Patent Owner”) submits this Preliminary Response to the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review (“the Petition”) of U.S. Patent No. 8,724,622 (“the ’622 patent”) filed by Facebook, Inc. and WhatsApp, Inc. (“Petitioner”).

II. Related Matters of the ’622 Patent

The ’622 patent was the subject of two requests for *inter partes* review (IPR2017-00223 and IPR2017-00224) filed by Apple Inc. on November 14, 2016, which were denied by the Board on May 25, 2017. Although it is understood that Facebook, Inc. and WhatsApp, Inc. collaborated in preparing the present Petition and are both part of a joint-defense group that includes Apple Inc., the present Petitioners claim to have not participated in the preparation of those denied petitions filed by another one of their joint-defense group members. Pet. 1.

Concurrent with the filing of this Petition, the Petitioner filed a second petition for *inter partes* review to address different claims of the ’622 patent. More specifically, the present Petition addresses claims 4, 5, 12, and 24-26, whereas the other petition (IPR2017-01667) addresses claims 3, 6-8, 10, 11, 13, 14-23, 27-35, 38, and 39.

The Petition provides what appears to be an accurate summary of pending litigation related to the ’622 patent. Pet. 1-3.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.