

IPR2017-01668
Petitioners' Responsive Brief

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FACEBOOK, INC., WHATSAPP, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

UNILOC USA, INC. and UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01668
Patent 8,724,622

PETITIONERS' RESPONSIVE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION OF “INSTANT VOICE MESSAGE”	1
A. PO’s Narrow Proposal Is Not the Broadest Reasonable Interpretation, and Would Improperly Exclude the ’622 Claims.....	1
B. Petitioners’ “Data Structure” Construction Includes Audio Data and Encompasses Structured “Data Content.”	2
II. ZYDNEY SATISFIES BOTH CONSTRUCTIONS.	5

I. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION OF “INSTANT VOICE MESSAGE”

A. PO's Narrow Proposal Is Not the Broadest Reasonable Interpretation, and Would Improperly Exclude the '622 Claims.

Patent Owner concedes that its narrow proposed construction of “instant voice message” for '622 claim 27 and '433 claim 9 is inconsistent with the meaning of “instant voice message” in '622 claims 3-23. (PO Br. at 6-7.) This point alone is dispositive. Patent Owner contends that “instant voice message” in '622 claims 3-23 has a different meaning than the same term in '622 claim 27. “It is well-established, however, that claim terms are to be construed consistently throughout a patent.” *Phil-Insul v. Airlite Plastics*, 854 F.3d 1344, 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2017); *Paice v. Ford Motor*, 881 F.3d 894, 904 (Fed. Cir. 2018) (“unless otherwise compelled . . . the same claim term in the same patent or related patents carries the same construed meaning”). Patent Owner's narrow proposal that would exclude numerous '622 claims is not the BRI. Instead, the multiple “uses of the term require a meaning broad enough to apply to each,” especially under the BRI standard. *Acromed v. Sofamor Danek Group*, 253 F.3d 1371, 1381-82 (Fed. Cir. 2001). Only Petitioners' construction properly encompasses all uses of “instant voice message.”

Patent Owner's discussion of “claim differentiation” is a red herring. (PO Br. at 6.) The claims at issue have various different limitations other than “instant voice message.” But the term “instant voice message” has the same meaning in all claims.

B. Petitioners' "Data Structure" Construction Includes Audio Data and Encompasses Structured "Data Content."

Patent Owner incorrectly asserts that Petitioners' construction would cover a "container" without voice data, misleadingly playing off the everyday meaning of a distinct physical container that could be empty and "discarded" after use. (PO Br. at 1, 3, 5.) But Petitioners' construction requires the inclusion of an audible message: a "data structure *that includes a representation of an audible message.*" Likewise, Zydney explicitly defines its "voice container" as an object that necessarily includes "voice data." (Pet. Br. at 5-6.) If an item does not include voice data, then it is not a voice container in Zydney, by definition. Thus the "container" in Zydney is akin to a TCP/IP "envelope." A "message sent through TCP/IP must be placed 'inside an envelope,'" but this only means that some data, such as a destination address, is prepended to the message data. (IPR2017-001667, Ex. 1014 at 95.)

Patent Owner also raises a false dichotomy of "data structure vs. data content." (PO Br. at 1.) But a data structure, as defined in Petitioners' construction, encompasses data content that is structured. If data content includes a representation of an audible message and is structured (*e.g.*, audio data formatted according to a certain protocol), then the data content can also disclose a data structure.

Patent Owner ignores the fact that the disclosed and claimed embodiments of an "instant voice message" are *data structures*. For example, both sides rely on the

specification's teaching that an instant voice message can be an "audio file." Patent Owner apparently assumes that the "audio file" is not a data structure. But both of Patent Owner's experts confirmed that a "file" that contains "audio data" is a "*type of data structure.*" (IPR2017-01428, Ex. 2001 (diEuliis), ¶ 66 ("Zydney may temporarily store the audio data in a file, which is another type of data structure"); IPR2017-01667, Ex. 2001 (Easttom), ¶ 45 (same).) The "instant voice message" transmitted through buffered portions is also a data structure, which is structured into portions to constitute (and re-constitute) the "instant voice message."

The "instant voice message" in '622 claims 3-23 is also a data structure—a data structure that contains a digitized audio file and also can contain other fields. Patent Owner cites an embodiment stating that "[t]he content of the object field is a block of data being carried by the message object, which *may* be, *for example*, a digitized instant voice message." (PO Br. at 2, '433, col. 14:39-42 (emphasis added).) It also cites uses of "i.e." in discussing example embodiments, such as "audio files, i.e., instant voice messages." (PO Br. at 2.) But those discussions of illustrative embodiments do not change the '622 claims reciting that "*the instant voice message includes* an object field including a digitized audio file" and other fields. ('622, claims 3-23.) This "instant voice message" with fields corresponds with the "message object" in the specification, which is a data structure that can

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.