UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FACEBOOK, INC. and WHATSAPP, INC. Petitioner

v.

UNILOC USA, INC. and UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01668 Patent 8,724,622 B2

PETITIONERS' REPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION		2
II.	CLAIMS 4, 5, 12 and 24-26 ARE UNPATENTABLE		
	А.	Zydney Discloses and Renders Obvious "wherein the instant voice message includes an object field including a digitized audio file" (claims 4, 5, and 12)	3
	B.	The Prior Art Discloses and Renders Obvious the "action field" Limitations (claims 4 and 5)	10
	C.	The Prior Art Discloses and Renders Obvious the "connection object" Limitations (claims 24-26)	12
III.	CON	CLUSION	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Allied Erecting & Dismantling Co. v. Genesis Attachments, LLC, 825 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2016)7
Anchor Wall Sys., Inc. v. Rockwood Retaining Walls, Inc., 340 F.3d 1298 (Fed. Cir. 2003)4
DePuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc., 567 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2009)12
<i>Epos Techs. Ltd. v. Pegasus Techs. Ltd.</i> , 766 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2014)4
<i>Galderma Labs., LP v. Tolmar, Inc.,</i> 737 F.3d 731 (Fed. Cir. 2016)
<i>In re Keller</i> , 642 F.2d 413 (C.C.P.A. 1981)7
MCM Portfolio LLC v. Hewlett-Packard Co., 812 F.3d 1284 (Fed. Cir. 2015)7
<i>In re Merck & Co., Inc.,</i> 800 F.2d 1091 (Fed. Cir. 1986)7
<i>In re Mouttet</i> , 686 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2012)7
Nidec Motor Corp. v. Zhongshan Broad Ocean Motor Co., 868 F.3d 1013 (Fed. Cir. 2017)13
Santarus, Inc. v. Par Pharm., Inc., 694 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2012)12
<i>Tyco Healthcare Group LP v. Ethicon Endo-Surgery</i> , 774 F.3d 968 (Fed. Cir. 2014)12
Vivid Techs., Inc. v. Am. Sci. & Eng'g, Inc., 200 F.3d 795 (Fed. Cir. 1999)14
-ii-

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 103	 19

Petitioners Facebook, Inc. and WhatsApp, Inc. ("Petitioners") respectfully submit this Reply in support of *Inter Partes* Review of claims 4, 5, 12 and 24-26 of U.S. Patent No. 8,724,622 (Ex. 1101) ("'622 patent") and addressing Patent Owner's Response (Paper 16 ("Response")).

Petitioners note that the issues in this proceeding overlap with the issues in IPR2017-01667 where the challenged claims include claim 3 of the '622 patent. Claims 4, 5, and 12 challenged in the present case depend directly or indirectly from claim 3.

Patent Owner's Response rehashes the same arguments from its Preliminary Response that the Board already considered and rejected in its Institution Decision (Paper 8). The Board was not persuaded by Patent Owner's arguments on the record existing at the time of institution, and the evidentiary record has not materially changed since that time. Patent Owner did not submit any new expert declaration or documents with its post-institution Response.

Patent Owner largely ignores the Board's detailed analysis and instead recycles the same unpersuasive arguments from its pre-institution submission. The Patent Owner does not identify any error in the Board's reasoning, let alone provide any basis for the Board to depart from the reasoned Institution Decision.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.