

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DENSO CORPORATION, DENSO INTERNATIONAL AMERICA, INC.,
ASMO CO. LTD., AND TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION
Petitioners

v.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC,
Patent Owner

CASE IPR: Unassigned

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,067,952
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1-.80 & 42.100-.123**

Mail Stop **Patent Board**
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. COMPLIANCE WITH FORMAL REQUIREMENTS	1
A. Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(1)-(4)	1
1. Real Party-In-Interest	1
2. Related Matters	1
3. Lead and Back-Up Counsel	2
4. Service Information	4
B. Proof of Service on the Patent Owner	4
C. Power of Attorney	4
D. Standing	4
E. Fees	5
III. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	5
IV. FULL STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR REQUESTED RELIEF	6
A. Summary of the '952 Patent	6
B. The '952 Patent Prosecution History	11
C. No Claim of the '952 Patent is Entitled to the Effective Filing Date of the '207 Patent	12
D. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	14
E. Claim Construction	14
1. "a phase change material" (claims 1, 10, and 14)	15
2. "the bridge is formed by interconnecting two mating sections formed from the phase change material" (claims 9 and 10)	16
F. Ground 1: Claims 10 and 14 are anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) (pre-AIA) by Calsonic	17
1. Calsonic is § 102(b) (pre-AIA) Prior Art	17

2.	Detailed Analysis	19
G.	Ground 2: Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, and 13 are rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) (pre-AIA) by Calsonic in view of Matsushita	33
1.	Calsonic is § 102(b) (pre-AIA) Prior Art.....	33
2.	Matsushita is § 102(b) (pre-AIA) Prior Art.....	33
3.	Detailed Analysis	34
H.	Ground 3: Claims 10, 12, and 14 are rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) (pre-AIA) by DENSO in view of Calsonic.....	53
1.	DENSO is § 102(b) (pre-AIA) Prior Art	53
2.	Calsonic is § 102(b) Prior Art.....	54
3.	Detailed Analysis	54
I.	Ground 4: Claim 11 is rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) (pre-AIA) by Calsonic in view of Dunfield.....	70
1.	Calsonic is § 102(b) (pre-AIA) Prior Art.....	70
2.	Dunfield is § 102(b) (pre-AIA) Prior Art	70
3.	Detailed Analysis	71
J.	Ground 5: Claims 3 and 5 are rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) (pre-AIA) by Calsonic and Matsushita in view of the Knowledge of a Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	74
V.	CONCLUSION.....	78

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,067,952 to Neal
1002	Prosecution File History of U.S. Patent No. 7,067,952
1003	JP P2000-184635 to Calsonic Kansei Corp. (“Calsonic”)
1004	Certified English Translation of JP 2000-184635 to Calsonic
1005	JP S62-138031 to Nippondenso (“DENSO”)
1006	Certified English Translation of JP S62-138031 to DENSO
1007	U.S. Patent No. 7,036,207 to Neal
1008	JP H11-341717 to Matsushita (“Matsushita”)
1009	Certified English Translation of JP H11-341717 to Matsushita
1010	U.S. Patent No. 5,694,268 to Dunfield (“Dunfield”)
1011	Polymer Data Handbook
1012	U.S. Patent No. 6,265,804 (“Nitta”)
1013	Declaration of Dr. Thomas R. Brinner

I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioners DENSO Corporation, DENSO International America, Inc., ASMO Co. Ltd., and Toyota Motor Corporation (“Petitioners”) respectfully request *inter partes* review under 35 U.S.C. § 311 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 of claims 1-6 and 8-14 of U.S. Patent No. 7,067,952 (“the ’952 Patent”), titled “Stator Assembly Made From A Molded Web Of Core Segments And Motor Using Same” (Ex. 1001).

II. COMPLIANCE WITH FORMAL REQUIREMENTS

A. Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(1)-(4)

1. Real Party-In-Interest

The following is a list of Petitioners (and additional real parties-in-interest): DENSO CORPORATION, DENSO INTERNATIONAL AMERICA, Inc., ASMO Co. Ltd., and Toyota Motor Corp., which is the sole owner of Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc., and the ultimate corporate parent for Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing North America, Inc., Toyota Motor North America, Inc., Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Indiana, Inc., and Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Kentucky, Inc.

2. Related Matters

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2), Petitioners state that the ’952 Patent is the subject of a series of patent infringement lawsuits brought by the alleged

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.