

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.
Petitioner

v.

UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORP.
Patent Owner

Case : IPR2017-01622
U.S. Patent 9,339,507

Before the Honorable TONI R. SCHEINER, ERICA A. FRANKLIN, and DAVID COTTA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

**PETITIONER'S THIRD SET OF OBJECTIONS
TO PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBITS**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), the undersigned, on behalf of and acting in a representative capacity for Petitioner Watson Laboratories, Inc., hereby submit the following objections to Patent Owner United Therapeutics Corp.'s ("Patent Owner") Exhibits 2105-2147, and any reference to/reliance on the foregoing. These objections are in addition to Petitioner's Objections to Patent Owner's Exhibits dated January 26 and May 4, 2018, which remain operative and have not been waived. As

required by 37 C.F.R § 42.62, Petitioners' objections below apply the Federal Rules of Evidence and are based on currently-applicable law. Petitioner reserves the right to amend or supplement its objections in response to any change in law or fact.

I. OBJECTIONS TO EXHIBIT 2076

Evidence objected to: Exhibit 2076

Grounds for objection: Exhibit 2076, a document titled "Second Declaration of Ms. Pilar Wyman," is objected to under Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 801 and 802. Exhibit 2076 includes statements that do not make any fact relevant to the grounds upon which trial was instituted more or less probable and any facts that might be established based on this exhibit is of no consequence in determining the issues on which trial was instituted. Introduction and evaluation of Exhibit 2076 would further lead to undue delay, confusion, and a waste of time. Exhibit 2076 also contains out-of-court statements made by one or more declarants for the purpose of proving the truth of the matter asserted, and on which Petitioner has not had a chance to cross exam. Exhibit 2076 also constitutes improper supplemental evidence submitted with Patent Owner's Supplemental Patent Owner's Response.

II. OBJECTIONS TO EXHIBIT 2105

Evidence objected to: Exhibit 2105

Grounds for objection: Exhibit 2105, a document titled "Second Declaration of Dr. Aaron Waxman," is objected to under Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 801 and 802.

Exhibit 2105 includes statements that do not make any fact relevant to the grounds upon which trial was instituted more or less probable and any facts that might be established based on this exhibit is of no consequence in determining the issues on which trial was instituted. Introduction and evaluation of Exhibit 2105 would further lead to undue delay, confusion, and a waste of time. Exhibit 2105 also contains out-of-court statements made by one or more declarants for the purpose of proving the truth of the matter asserted, and on which Petitioner has not had a chance to cross exam.

III. OBJECTIONS TO EXHIBIT 2106

Evidence objected to: Exhibit 2106

Grounds for objection: Exhibit 2106, a document titled “Declaration of Mr. Aaron Trippe,” is objected to under Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 801, 802. Exhibit 2106 includes statements that do not make any fact relevant to the grounds upon which trial was instituted more or less probable and any facts that might be established based on this exhibit is of no consequence in determining the issues on which trial was instituted. Introduction and evaluation of Exhibit 2106 would further lead to undue delay, confusion, and a waste of time. Exhibit 2106 also contains out-of-court statements made by one or more declarants for the purpose of proving the truth of the matter asserted, and on which Petitioner has not had a chance to cross exam.

IV. OBJECTIONS TO EXHIBIT 2107

Evidence objected to: Exhibit 2107

Grounds for objection: Exhibit 2107, a document titled “*Curriculum vitae* of Aaron Trippe,” is objected to under Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 801, 802. Exhibit 2107 includes statements that do not make any fact relevant to the grounds upon which trial was instituted more or less probable and any facts that might be established based on this exhibit is of no consequence in determining the issues on which trial was instituted. Introduction and evaluation of Exhibit 2107 would further lead to undue delay, confusion, and a waste of time. Exhibit 2107 also contains out-of-court statements made by one or more declarants for the purpose of proving the truth of the matter asserted, and on which Petitioner has not had a chance to cross exam. .

V. OBJECTIONS TO EXHIBIT 2108

Evidence objected to: Exhibit 2108

Grounds for objection: Exhibit 2108 is the transcript of the May 24, 2018 Deposition of Dr. Maureen Donovan. Pursuant to Board Rules 42.53(f)(4) and 42.53(f)(8), Petitioner relies on the objections made during the deposition and recorded in Exhibit 2108, which have not been waived. Petitioner reserves the right to file a motion to exclude such testimony.

VI. OBJECTIONS TO EXHIBIT 2109

Evidence objected to: Exhibit 2109

Grounds for objection: Exhibit 2109, a document titled “British Library Catalog Entry for Circulation,” is objected to under Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 801, 802, and 901. Exhibit 2109 includes statements that do not make any fact relevant to the grounds upon which trial was instituted more or less probable and any facts that might be established based on this exhibit is of no consequence in determining the issues on which trial was instituted. Introduction and evaluation of Exhibit 2109 would further lead to undue delay, confusion, and a waste of time. Exhibit 2109 contains out-of-court statements made by one or more declarants for the purpose of proving the truth of the matter asserted. Exhibit 2109 has not been properly authenticated. Exhibit 2109 also constitutes improper supplemental evidence submitted with Patent Owner’s Supplemental Patent Owner’s Response.

VII. OBJECTIONS TO EXHIBIT 2110

Evidence objected to: Exhibit 2110

Grounds for objection: Exhibit 2110, a document titled “British Library Catalog Search Results for ‘Cardiology,’” is objected to under Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 801, 802, and 901. Exhibit 2110 includes statements that do not make any fact relevant to the grounds upon which trial was instituted more or less probable and any facts that might be established based on this exhibit is of no consequence in determining the issues on which trial was instituted. Further Exhibit 2110 was not cited in Patent Owner’s Supplemental Patent Owner Response. Introduction and

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.