From: <u>Trials</u>

To: <u>GQuillin@foley.com</u>; <u>Trials</u>

Cc: Mathas, Kurt A. (KMathas@winston.com); Sommer, Andrew R.; MNutter@winston.com; Johannes, Tyler G.;

ssnader@unither.com; vascarrunz@wsgr.com; dcarsten@wsgr.com; bdelafield@wsgr.com; rtorczon@wsgr.com;

SMaebius@foley.com; NIyer@foley.com; wjackson@bsfllp.com

Subject: RE: Watson Labs. v. UTC, IPR2017-01621, -01622; request for conference call

Date: Wednesday, June 20, 2018 5:36:29 PM

Counsel: On Friday June 15, 2018, Patent Owner requested a teleconference to address two issues: 1) the scheduling and location of four non-party fact witness depositions, and 2) reliance in Patent Owner's post-*SAS* Supplemental Response on arguments previously presented in Patent Owner's Response. Pursuant to this request a teleconference was held on Wednesday, June 20, 2018, wherein both parties had the opportunity to address the panel.

With respect to the first issue, the parties have been unable to agree upon a location for the depositions of Drs. Ghofrani, Grimminger, Reichenberger, and Seeger, four non-party fact witnesses located in Germany. Both parties stressed that resolution of this issue was time sensitive, representing that scheduling the depositions would require substantial lead time. In recognition of the time-sensitive nature of this issue, we are notifying the parties of our decision by email. We will issue an Order explaining the basis of our decision in due course. After carefully considering the arguments presented by both parties, we authorize the depositions of Drs. Ghofrani, Grimminger, Reichenberger, and Seeger to occur in Germany or, in the event depositions in Germany prove impracticable, in such other European country as is mutually agreeable to the parties and the witnesses. The parties are encouraged to work together to minimize inconvenience to the parties and to the witnesses. The parties are further encouraged to modify scheduling deadlines as contemplated in the Scheduling Order if necessary to accommodate these depositions.

With respect to the second issue, the panel agreed that Patent Owner may, in its post-SAS Supplemental Patent Owner Response, refer back to arguments raised in the Patent Owner Response.

Thank you,

Maria Vignone
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
703-756-1288

From: GQuillin@foley.com <GQuillin@foley.com>

Sent: Friday, June 15, 2018 2:45 PM **To:** Trials < Trials@USPTO.GOV>

Cc: Mathas, Kurt A. (KMathas@winston.com) < KMathas@winston.com>; Sommer, Andrew R.

<a>ASommer@winston.com>; MNutter@winston.com; Johannes, Tyler G.

<Tjohannes@winston.com>; ssnader@unither.com; vascarrunz@wsgr.com; dcarsten@wsgr.com;



bdelafield@wsgr.com; rtorczon@wsgr.com; SMaebius@foley.com; NIyer@foley.com; wjackson@bsfllp.com

Subject: Watson Labs. v. UTC, IPR2017-01621, -01622; request for conference call

Dear Board:

Patent Owner requests a telephone conference to address two separate issues. First, the parties have been unable to reach agreement on the scheduling and location of four non-party fact witnesses located in Germany and request a call with the Board for guidance. Second, Patent Owner requests clarity regarding the reference and reliance in its post-SAS Supplemental Response on arguments previously presented in Patent Owner's Response.

The parties are available for a conference call on Tuesday June 19th any time after 2:30 pm ET or Wednesday June 20th any time <u>except</u> 2-4 pm ET. The Patent Owner will provide a court reporter. Counsel for Petitioner is copied on this email.

Best regards,

George E. Quillin
Back up counsel for Patent Owner

The preceding email message may be confidential or protected by the attorney-client or work-product privileges. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this message in error, please (i) do not read it, (ii) reply to the sender that you received the message in error, and (iii) erase or destroy the message and any attachments or copies. Any disclosure, copying, distribution or reliance on the contents of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited, and may be unlawful. Unintended transmission does not constitute waiver of the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege. Legal advice contained in the preceding message is solely for the benefit of the Foley & Lardner LLP client(s) represented by the Firm in the particular matter that is the subject of this message, and may not be relied upon by any other party. Unless expressly stated otherwise, nothing contained in this message should be construed as a digital or electronic signature, nor is it intended to reflect an intention to make an agreement by electronic means.

