UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.,)

Petitioner,)

vs.) IPR NO. 2017-01621

UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORP.,) IPR NO. 2017-01622

Patent Owner.)

The videotaped deposition of SCOTT

BENNETT, Ph.D., called for examination, taken

pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure of

the United States District Courts pertaining to the

taking of depositions, taken before Lynn A. McCauley,

CSR No. 84-003268, RPR, a Certified Shorthand

Reporter of the State of Illinois, at 35 West Wacker

Drive, 48th Floor, Chicago, Illinois, on March 29,

2018, at 9:34 a.m.

Job No. 54282

					3
1					
2		INDEX			
3	WITNESS: SCOTT BENNETT				
4			Dana	T dans	
5	EXAMINATION BY: MS. ASCARRUNZ		Page 5	Line 10	
6	MR. SOMMER		101	23	
7	EXHIBITS: Exhibit 1013	DESCRIPTION Copy of declaration	8	5	
8		by Dr. Bennett that was submitted in			
9		trial No. IPR 2017-01621 in			
10		connection with Patent 9,358,240			
11	Exhibit 1014	Copy of declaration by Dr. Bennett that	12	4	
12		was submitted in Trial No. IPR			
13		2017-01622 in connection with			
14		Patent 9,339,507			
15	*** Attorney retain	ned all exhibits.			
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
steamul A					

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record.

This marks the beginning of Media
No. 1 in the deposition of Scott Bennett in the
matter of Watson Laboratories, Inc. versus United
Therapeutics Corporation in the U.S. District Court,
District of New Jersey.

This deposition is being held at 35 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois, on March 29, 2018, and the time is now 9:34 a.m.

Will attorneys please identify themselves.

MS. ASCARRUNZ: My name is Veronica Ascurrunz from the law firm of Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati representing the patent owner.

MR. SOMMER: My name is Andrew Sommer from Winston & Strawn representing the petitioner.

I do want to clarify though. During the read on, the caption for a District Court case was read. This is not a District Court case.

This is a matter in the United

States Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent

Trial and Appeal Board.

These are two inter partes review proceedings numbered 2017-01621 and 01622.

			5
1		SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D.	
2	THE V	/IDEOGRAPHER: Will the court reporter	
3	please swear	in the witness.	
4		(WHEREUPON, the witness was	
5		duly sworn.)	
6		SCOTT BENNETT, Ph.D.	
7	called as a	witness herein, having been first duly	
8	sworn, was	examined and testified as follows:	
9		EXAMINATION	
10	BY MS. ASCA	RRUNZ:	
11	Q.	Good morning, Dr. Bennett.	
12	Α.	Good morning.	
13	Q.	Could you please state your full name for	
14	the record?		
15	Α.	My name is Scott Bennett.	
16	Q.	And what is your current address?	
17	Α.	My address is 711 South Race Street,	
18	Urbana, Ill:	inois.	
19	Q.	And have you been deposed before?	
20	Α.	Yes, I have.	
21	Q.	How many times?	
22	Α.	Six or seven times.	
23	Q.	Okay. Have you been deposed in a patent	
24	proceedings	before?	
25	Α.	Yes.	

- Q. And proceedings before the United States
 Patent and Trademark Office?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. So having been deposed now six or seven times, I'm sure you know the rules and the procedure; but just so that we're on the same page, I'll go over a few of them right now.

You understand that you are under oath today and that you are to answer the questions that I ask truthfully just as if you were in front of the Board or a courtroom?

- A. Yes, I do understand that.
- Q. Okay. And so that the court reporter can take down all of our answers, I will endeavor not to speak over you and ask that you do the same.

Is that fair?

- A. It certainly is.
- Q. And please wait, therefore, until I finish asking my question -- some of them are actually pretty lengthy -- before you start to answer.

Is that fair?

- A. That is fair.
- Q. Okay. And if you don't understand any of

my questions, please let me know, and I will try to rephrase it so that you do understand.

Is that fair?

A. That is fair.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And if you don't understand one of my questions -- or if you answer, I will assume that you have understood my questions.

Fair.

- A. That, too, is fair.
- Q. We will try to take a break periodically.

 I may need one more frequently than usual just to
 give my voice a break; but if you need a break at any
 point in time, just please let me know.
 - A. I will do so.
- Q. The only thing I ask is if I have a question pending, let's answer the question before we ask for a break.

Is that fair?

- A. That is fair.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware of anything that would prevent you from testifying truthfully and completely today?
 - A. No, I am not.
 - MS. ASCARRUNZ: Okay. So I'm going to hand

		8
1	SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D.	
2	you the first exhibit.	
3	(Whereupon, a certain	
4	document was marked	
5	Exhibit 1013 for	
6	identification.)	
7	MS. ASCARRUNZ: And, for the record, this is	
8	Exhibit 1013 in IPR Proceeding Trial No. IPR	
9	2017-01622.	
10	BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:	
11	Q. Dr. Bennett, is this a copy of your	
12	declaration that was submitted in trial No. IPR	
13	2017-01622 in connection with Patent 9,339,507?	
14	MR. SOMMER: Object to form.	
15	BY THE WITNESS:	
16	A. The patent number you just provided does	
17	not match the patent number on the cover page.	
18	Do I fail to mis do I fail to	
19	understand something?	
20	BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:	
21	Q. Let me make sure I handed you okay.	
22	So let me rephrase the question	
23	then.	
24	Is this a copy of your declaration	
25	that was submitted in trial No. IPR 2017-01621 in	

1	SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D.	
2	connection with Patent 9,358,240?	
3	A. It appears to be so.	
4	Q. Okay. And on Page 16 of this document is	
5	that your signature?	
6	MR. SOMMER: Object to form.	
7	BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:	
8	Q. Let me clarify. For the record, it's	
9	numbered Page 16 of the document, but at the bottom	
10	it says, "Page 18 of 74."	
11	Is that your signature on this page?	
12	A. Yes, it is.	
13	Q. Okay. And Exhibit A to this declaration	
14	is a copy of your current CV?	
15	A. Exhibit A is a copy of my CV, which was	
16	current at the time that I signed this declaration,	
17	which was the 20th of June, 2017.	
18	Q. Okay. Is it current as of today?	
19	A. No.	
20	Q. Okay. What would the changes be to this	
21	CV that would make it current as of today?	
22	A. If you'll look on Page 17 of the	
23	declaration, which is Page 19 of 74 of the document,	
24	you'll see in the first bulleted item under	

"Employment" a description of my work for Prior Art

1 SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D. 2 Documentation Services, LLC. 3 We're in the process of closing the 4 business, and so, for instance, the website no 5 longer -- the website listed there no longer provides information about our business. 6 Okay. And why are you closing the 8 business? 9 A. We're closing the business because we got 10 more business than was -- than we wanted to handle. 11 Q. Okay. 12 The other change, just to be complete A. 13 about it, is the second bulleted item says that I'm a 14 consultant on library space planning. 15 I've also closed that business, and 16 the website listed there is no longer -- no longer 17

provides information about that business.

Okay. With respect to the Prior Art Documentation Services, LLC, you said you're in the process of closing it.

I guess I'm trying to understand what exactly does that mean?

In September of 2017 we stopped taking A. new clients.

> Q. Okay.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. And we said that we would support the needs of our former clients through calendar year 2018. The primary needs envisioned at that time were depositions, such as this morning's deposition.

Q. Okay. Understood.

And with respect to the consultant as a library space design, I think you also stated that you were in the process of closing that.

Is -- what does that mean with respect to that particular task?

- A. That business is closed.
- Q. Okay.
- A. I am no longer providing consulting services on library space planning.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.

And after you finalize the closing of Prior Art Documentation Services, LLC, do you have plans to restart or open a similar business?

A. No.

MS. ASCARRUNZ: Okay. I will hand to you the next exhibit.

12 1 SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D. 2 (Whereupon, a certain 3 document was marked 4 Exhibit 1014 for 5 identification.) 6 BY MS. ASCARRUNZ: 7 And, as with the other one, you can look 8 through as much of it as you need to, and at any 9 point that I ask any questions -- you have now I 10 think in front of you both of your declarations. 11 You can -- at any point if you need 12 to go look through a document, please feel free to do 13 so. 14 So my question to you on this one 15 Is this a copy of your declaration that was 16 submitted in Trial No. IPR 2017-01622 in connection 17 with Patent 9,339,507? 18 A. It appears to be. 19 And that -- is that your signature on 20 Page 16 of the document, page 18 of 74 on the stamp 21 at the bottom? 22 A. Yes, it is. 23 Are these, in fact, the same identical 0. 24 declaration --25

MR. SOMMER: Object to form.

2 BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. -- the first exhibit and the second exhibit that I handed you?

MR. SOMMER: Object to form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. They are substantively the same document.

BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:

- Q. Are you aware of any differences apart from the coverage page?
- A. I'm aware of no substantive difference between the two aside from the cover page.
- Q. Okay. And so is it fair to say that your opinions don't differ depending on which of the two proceedings we're talking about?
 - A. Yes, that is a fair statement.
- Q. Okay. So in our discussion today when I refer to your opinion, I will be referring to your opinion in connection with both proceedings; is that fair?
 - A. Yes, that is fair.
- MS. ASCARRUNZ: And, Andrew, I notice at the beginning you clarified the record to indicate both docket numbers.

Can we agree that this deposition

1 SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D. 2 transcript will be entered and used for both IPR 3 proceedings? 4 MR. SOMMER: Yes. 5 BY MS. ASCARRUNZ: 6 Okay. Dr. Bennett, I'd like to talk a Q. 7 little bit now about your background and your 8 expertise. 9 I understand that you're a retired 10 librarian; is that correct? 11 A. That is correct. 12 Okay. And you retired approximately 16 Q. 13 years ago? 14 I retired in 2001. A. 15 And Paragraph 2 of your declaration 16 indicates that you were -- that you are working as a 17 managing partner of the firm Prior Art Documentation, 18 LLC; is that correct?

- $\hbox{A.} \quad \hbox{That is correct as of the date that I} \\ \hbox{signed this declaration.}$
- Q. Okay. And as we discussed, as of now that entity is in the process of being closed down; correct?
 - A. That is correct.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. When and why did you open Prior

Art Documentation, LLC?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SOMMER: Object to form.

MS. ASCARRUNZ: Let me take one at a time.

BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:

Q. When did you open Prior Art Documentation, LLC?

- A. We opened Prior Art Documentation, LLC in 2015.
 - Q. And why did you open that business?
- A. I had a call from a patent attorney asking me about a document that was classified using what is called the old Yale classification scheme.

The patent attorney, seeing that I had worked at Yale, thought I might be able to help.

I was able to help, authenticate and date the document, the patent attorney observed that there was a business -- very likely a good business opportunity here, and that led me to identify a couple of partners, and we opened the business.

- Q. Okay. Which partners did you open the business with?
- A. One of the partners is Helen Sullivan, also a managing partner in Prior Art Documentation Services. Her work for our firm is described in

16 1 SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D. 2 page -- in Paragraph 46 of the declaration. 3 The other partner is Robert Berger. 4 He also is a managing partner. 5 0. And what are their current roles now that 6 Prior Art Documentation, LLC is in the process of 7 being closed down? 8 Like me, they stand ready to support any 9 needs of our former clients. 10 Okay. And you mentioned Yale. 0. 11 You have experience working as a 12 librarian at three institutions; correct? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Why is that incorrect? 15 The number is four. A. 16 Q. Okay. And Paragraph 5 of your 17 declaration indicates you also worked as a 18 researcher; is that correct? 19 That is correct. A. 20 0. What kind of researcher? 21 My research interests were textual A. 22 editing, British publishing history, the preservation 23 of library materials, the management of library 24 collections, and the future -- the future direction

of academic libraries, and library space planning.

- Q. Okay. Have you worked as a scientific or medical researcher?
 - A. No, I have not.
- Q. And you indicated that you have some general knowledge of how researchers work; is that correct?
- A. I do say at the end of Paragraph 6 that in these several ways enumerated in Paragraph 6 I have a general knowledge of how researchers work.
- Q. Okay. But you don't claim to be an expert in scientific research; do you?
- MR. SOMMER: Object to form.
- 14 BY THE WITNESS:
 - A. Perhaps you would identify what you mean by an expert in scientific research.
- 17 BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:
 - Q. Do you consider yourself an expert in medical research?
- MR. SOMMER: Same objection.
- 21 BY THE WITNESS:
- A. I can't answer your question without
 knowing what you mean by an expert in medical
 research.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

18

1	SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D.		
2	BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:		
3	Q. Which is your concern with the word		
4	medical research, is that vague to you?		
5	A. It's imprecise.		
6	Q. Okay. Have you yourself performed any		
7	medical research?		
8	MR. SOMMER: Object to form.		
9	BY THE WITNESS:		
10	A. As I mentioned, the term medical research		
11	is an imprecise term.		
12	BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:		
13	Q. Okay.		
14	A. And without further specification, I		
15	don't know how truthfully and accurately to answer		
16	your question.		
17	Q. Sure. Let me try to come at it a		
18	different way.		
19	A. Thank you.		
20	Q. Have you published any peer review		
21	publications in any medical journals?		
22	A. No.		
23	Q. Have you published any peer review		
21	nublications is any asiautific dayments		

publications in any scientific journals?

A.

No.

24

		1
1	SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D.	
2	Q. Have you researched such publications in	
3	the source of your work?	
4	MR. SOMMER: Object to form.	
5	BY THE WITNESS:	
6	A. Could you tell me, please, what you mean	
7	by researched?	
8	BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:	
9	Q. Have you reviewed peer review	
10	publications in scientific journals for purposes of	
11	performing literature research?	
12	MR. SOMMER: Object to form.	
13	BY THE WITNESS:	
14	A. Yes.	
15	BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:	
16	Q. Okay. In what context?	
17	A. In the context of being an academic	
18	librarian and in the context of being a managing	
19	partner in Prior Art Documentation Services.	
20	Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that your	
21	declaration was written from your perspective as an	
22	expert?	
23	MR. SOMMER: Object to form.	
24	BY THE WITNESS:	

It's fair to say that the declaration

25

Α.

reflects expert knowledge.

BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:

- Q. And what is your expert knowledge in?
- A. If you'll look at Paragraph 5, you'll see there that my expertise involves extensive experience with catalog records and online library management systems built around Machine-Readable cataloging standards.

My expertise also relates to having substantial experience in authenticating printed documents and establishing the date when they were accessible to researchers.

In Paragraph 6 you will see that my expertise relates to having some knowledge of the voluminous professional literature on the information seeking behaviors of academic researchers.

And my expertise also relates to being an educator where I have a broad knowledge -this also in Paragraph 6 -- a broad knowledge of the ways in which students in a variety of disciplines learn to master the bibliographic resources used in their disciplines.

Q. Okay. And then the following sentence says, "In all of these ways I have a general

		21
1	SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D.	
2	knowledge of how researchers work."	
3	Do you claim to have expert	
4	knowledge of how researchers work?	
5	A. The word experts in general are terms	
6	describing relative degrees of things.	
7	So I certainly have more knowledge	
8	of the way in which researchers work than most people	
9	do.	
10	One might say that that's a general	
11	knowledge. One might also say that that's an	
12	expert's knowledge.	
13	It it you need to give me the	
14	comparison, and then I will I will answer your	
15	question as to the degree of expertise.	
16	Q. Well, I'd like to know what you say.	
17	Do you consider yourself an expert	
18	in how researchers work?	
19	MR. SOMMER: Object to form.	
20	BY THE WITNESS:	
21	A. What I say is what I wrote, which is that	
22	I have a general knowledge of how researchers work.	
23	BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:	
24	Q. And the sentence the second to the	
25	last sentence in Paragraph 6 you also allowed into	

the record and states that as an educator you have a broad knowledge of the ways in which students in a variety of disciplines learn to master the bibliographic resources used in their disciplines.

What do you mean in that sentence by -- or actually let me rephrase that.

When you refer to students in a variety of disciplines, which disciplines are you talking about?

A. Well, your question is best answered, I believe, by mentioning that between 2004 and 2009 I was a consultant -- oops, I'm sorry. Between 2001 and 2009 I was a senior advisor for the library programs at the Council of Independent Colleges.

This is mentioned in Appendix A, which is on Page -- the third bulleted item, Page 17, or Page 19 of 74 in the declaration.

My work during those eight years was to advise the Council of Independent Colleges on its program fostering what librarians call information literacy.

The Council of Independent Colleges has over 500 liberal arts colleges at university members. At the time they were a -- the council and

its members were interested in enhancing the information literacy of its students. We offered workshops for about 250 of those members. The workshops were attended, therefore, by nearly 1000 people representing those institutions. Those institutions have academic programs in almost all disciplines.

So to go back to Page 3 about which you asked, I meant to reflect that experience in saying that I have a broad knowledge of the way in which students in a variety of disciplines, that is, the disciplines taught at these 250 institutions, learned to master bibliographic resources because that's what our workshops were about.

Q. I understand. Thank you.

You don't -- you don't claim to be an expert in the law; correct?

- A. In Paragraph 7 I assert that I am not a lawyer and am not rendering an opinion on a legal question.
- Q. Okay. So that's right, you don't claim to be an expert in the law; correct?
- A. That's a reasonable inference from what I say in the declaration.

- Q. And you're not rendering an opinion on whether or not any document is prior art under the law; correct?
- A. I -- so I say that explicitly in Paragraph 7.
- Q. And you're not rendering an opinion on whether or not any document is a printed publication under the law; correct?
- A. I'm sorry. That is what I say in the declaration.
 - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. In Paragraph 7.
- Q. In Paragraphs 10 through 12 of your report -- oh, I'm sorry -- your declaration -- you began several statements indicating that you were told several things by counsel.

Do you see that?

- A. I do see that.
- Q. Are you providing your own opinion regarding the matters in Paragraphs 10 through 12?
 - A. No.
- Q. So, for example, you're not providing any opinion about what the patents in these proceedings relate to; correct?

- A. I'm providing opinions about documents that I presume relate to the patents.
- Q. Okay. Have you reviewed the patents at issue in these proceedings at all?
 - A. No, I have not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And you're not claiming to have any expertise in drug administration; right?
 - A. No, I am not -- do not.
- Q. And you're not claiming to have any expertise in pulmonary hypertension; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you're not claiming to have any expertise in the administration of inhaled drugs; correct?
 - A. I claim no such expertise.
- Q. And you're -- you don't claim to have any expertise in pulse ultrasonic nebulizers; correct?
 - A. No expertise there, either.
- Q. And in Paragraphs 11 and 12 of your declaration you indicate there that you were informed by counsel of what a person of ordinary skill in the art is; is that right?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Is that a term that you've become

1 SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D. 2 familiar with in the -- in connection with your work? 3 A. Yes. 4 But in this proceeding you're not 0. 5 yourself providing a definition of what a person of 6 ordinary skill in the art is; correct? 7 MR. SOMMER: Object to form. 8 BY THE WITNESS: 9 A. Well, this paragraph describes what --10 a -- some attributes of a person of ordinary skill in 11 this subject. 12 BY MS. ASCARRUNZ: 13 And those attributes are not ones that you chose or decided on, they were provided to you by 14 15 counsel; is that fair? 16 A. That is correct. 17 Okay. Does your opinion regarding --18 well, strike that. 19 Does your opinion in your 20 declaration depend on this understanding of what a

A. My declaration depends upon it only to the degree specified in Paragraph 13 about which you

person of ordinary skill in the art is?

MR. SOMMER: Object to form.

21

22

23

24

25

BY THE WITNESS:

2 have not yet asked --

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:

- Q. Okay.
- A. -- where I do express an opinion about what one would suppose to be the case about a person of ordinary skill in the art, who is, I remind us, a hypothetical person.
- Q. Okay. And your opinion set forth in Paragraph 13 and -- well, let's start with just Paragraph 13.

Your opinion set forth in

Paragraph 13 considering the person of ordinary skill
in the art as it was defined to you by counsel; is
that right?

- A. The assertions -- I'm sorry -- the opinions expressed in 13 relate to the kind of person described in Paragraphs 11 and 12.
- Q. Okay. And apart from the kind of person described in Paragraphs 11 and 12, you didn't consider any alternative type of person; is that right?
- MR. SOMMER: Object to form.
- 24 BY THE WITNESS:
 - A. Well, I think that's right if I

2 understand your question.

BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:

Q. All right. Let me make sure that you do understand my question because that was a good objection. That was really rather a cumbersome question.

What I'm trying to get at is you took the person of ordinary skill as it was defined to you by counsel and applied that in your opinions; is that fair?

- A. Yes, that is fair.
- Q. Okay. Did you consider -- and that is the only person of ordinary skill that you considered in forming your opinions?
- A. Well, if you mean that I did not have in my imagination a different set of attributes different from those described, for instance, in Paragraph 12, that is correct, yes.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. You phrased that far better than I could have. Thank you.

You yourself do not claim to be a person of ordinary skill in the art; is that right?

Let me rephrase that.

You yourself do not claim to be a

person of ordinary skill in the art as defined in Paragraphs 11 and 12?

A. That is right.

- Q. Okay. And you have never been a person of ordinary skill in the art as defined in Paragraphs 11 and 12?
 - A. That, too, is right.
- Q. And you are also not a person of extraordinary skill in the art of the subject matters in Paragraphs 11 and 12; is that right?
 - A. That -- true. That is also right.
- Q. Is any statement in Paragraphs 11 and 12 an opinion that you personally are taking based on your expertise?
- A. There is nothing in Paragraphs 11 and 12 that I would state differently based on my own expertise.
- Q. So that's a little bit of a different question than the one I asked.
 - A. I'm sorry.
- Q. Is there any statement is Paragraphs 11 and 12 that are your expert opinion on the matter stated, or are these assumptions that were given to you by counsel?

- A. Paragraphs 11 and 12 are definitions of a person of ordinary skill provided to me by counsel.
- Q. You don't claim to be an expert in the treatment of pulmonary hypertension; right?
 - A. No, I make no such claim.
- Q. And you don't claim to be a person of ordinary skill in the art in the treatment of pulmonary hypertension; correct?
 - A. I make no such claim.
- Q. Before this case had you ever heard of pulmonary hypertension?
 - A. Possibly.
- Q. Do you recall having heard of pulmonary hypertension before this case?
 - A. No, I have no positive recollection.
- Q. And before this case had you ever heard of Treprostinil?
 - A. Almost surely not.
- Q. Okay. In your declaration you address the accessibility of two individual documents; right?

 MR. SOMMER: Object to form.
- BY THE WITNESS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A. I discuss the authenticity of two

separate documents and the public availability of two

1 SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D. 2 separate documents. 3 BY MS. ASCARRUNZ: 4 Q. Okay. 5 Α. That is correct. 6 Okay. And the two documents are the Q. 7 Voswinckel abstract and the Ghofani reference; is 8 that right? 9 Let me back up. I just want to make 10 sure moving forward in the deposition that when --11 that we're both sort of on the same page as to what 12 we're talking about so. 13 A. Uh-huh. 14 0. One of the documents that you address is 15 an abstract from author Voswinckel and others, and 16 you refer to that as Document 1; is that right? 17 That is correct. A.

- 0. Okay. So when you talk about the Voswinckel abstract, sometimes possibly interchangeable with document one, we both understand that we're talking about this particular abstract?
 - Yes, we understand that. A.
 - 0. Okay. Thank you.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 And then when we're talking about 25 Document 2, what reference do you understand that to

be referring to?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Document 2 is a paper published in the journal Herz written by Ghofani as one author,

 Voswinckel as another, and still others as well, and is described on Page 12 of the declaration.
- Q. Okay. So if I refer to the Giovannini reference going forward, will you understand that this is the document that I'm talking about?
 - A. Yes, I'll understand that.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.

So first I'd like to ask you some questions about Document 2, which is the Giovannini article.

And in particular in Paragraph 38 of your declaration you introduce Attachment 2c.

Do you see that?

- A. I'm looking at Paragraph 38.
- Q. Okay. And Document 2c is a table of contents for the June 2005 issue of Herz from SpringerLink; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. And you attached to this to demonstrate that the Giovannini article was readily available online; is that correct?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC. - A VERITEXT COMPANY 330 Old Country Road - Ste. 300, Mineola, NY 11501 1.800.727.4396

MR. SOMMER: Object to form.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. That is correct.

BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:

- Q. And you indicate that SpringerLink is the online source for journals published by Springer Publishing, including Herz; and is, therefore, a place where Document 2 would likely be found; correct?
- A. It's a place where document -- that is correct in the sense that it's a place where, if authentic, Document 2 would be found.
 - Q. Why do you add that "if authentic"?
- A. The purposes of Paragraphs 35 through 40 is to establish the authenticity of Document 2, so Paragraph 38 is part of the effort to establish the authenticity of the Document 2.

It seemed -- seems appropriate to reference the purpose of this paragraph and of this attachment.

- Q. Okay. Why did you find it relevant to provide this exhibit from the source that publishes Herz?
 - A. As I just mentioned, what we're -- what I

1 SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D. 2 was trying to do in these paragraphs is to establish 3 authenticity, that is, the document is what it 4 appears to be. 5 The availability in SpringerLink is 6 one relevant piece of evidence about authenticity. Why is the availability on SpringerLink a 8 relevant piece of evidence? 9 MR. SOMMER: Object to form. 10 BY THE WITNESS: 11 Well, I can only answer that A. 12 hypothetically. 13 So let's imagine hypothetically that 14 we had the online list of volume contents and 15 Document 2 wasn't there, if you knew that, you would 16 say, "Ah, there is significant question, therefore, 17 about the authenticity of the document." 18 I wanted to make sure there was no 19 significant question about the authenticity of the 20 document. 21 BY MS. ASCARRUNZ: 22 And was it important in that regard to 23 take into account that SpringerLink is the publisher 24 that puts out Herz?

MR. SOMMER: Object to form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. It is certainly relevant.

BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:

- Q. This Attachment 2c does not anywhere state when the table of contents for the June 2005 issue of Herz was available online; correct?
 - A. That is correct.

All I'm trying to do in Paragraph 38, and indeed Paragraphs 35 through 40, is to establish the authenticity of the document.

- Q. And this attachment does not anywhere state when the Ghofani article was available online through SpringerLink; correct?
- A. That is correct, and I will repeat that the effort in Paragraphs 35 through 40 is to establish the authenticity and endeavor separate from the question of when the document was publicly available.
- Q. And you also introduce Attachment 2e, which is the Scopus record for the Giovannini review; correct?
- A. Yes. The Scopus record is introduced as Attachment 2e in Paragraph 39.
 - Q. And Scopus is the largest database of

1 SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D. 2 abstracts and citations of peer-reviewed literature; 3 correct? 4 A. That is what is said about Scopus in 5 Paragraph 25. 6 Why did you include the Scopus record? 0. 7 The effort in Paragraphs 35 through 40 is Α. 8 to establish the authenticity of Document 2. 9 The fact that there is a record, an 10 index record for Document 2 in Scopus serves -- helps 11 to establish the authenticity of the document. 12 So I understand you're relying on 0. 13 Attachment 2e for purposes of establishing authenticity; correct? 14 15 That is the purpose of referencing A. 16 Attachment 2e in Paragraph 39. 17 Are you also relying on Attachment 2e to 18 establish public accessibility? 19 Α. No. 20 Q. Thank you. In Paragraph 39 you state 21 that Attachment 2e shows the many indexed words by 22 which an ordinarily skilled researcher exercising 23 reasonable diligence can find Document 2.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC. - A VERITEXT COMPANY 330 Old Country Road - Ste. 300, Mineola, NY 11501 1.800.727.4396

Do you see that?

I do.

Α.

24

Q. Why does the Scopus record demonstrate that an ordinarily skilled researcher exercising reasonable diligence could find Document 2?

A. In Paragraph 12 on Page 5 -- this is also Page 7 of 74 of the declaration -- it stated that this person, that is a person of ordinary skill in the art, would regularly review literature about pharmaceutical sciences and drug delivery and would know how to carry out library research using library researches to find out more information about areas being researched.

Attachment 2e is an example of the sort of thing that the person described in Paragraph 6 would be using to discover information.

- Q. Okay. You refer to the many indexed keywords in Attachment 2e; right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And some of those many indexed keywords include author keywords, EMTREE terms, and MeSH terms; correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Are these typically useful kinds of terms under which to index references?
 - MR. SOMMER: Object to form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. In Paragraph 22 of the declaration I observe that indexing services use a wide variety of controlled vocabularies to provide subject access and other means of discovering the content of documents.

These — the formats in which these access terms are presented vary from service to service.

The index terms listed here are certainly appropriate to the discipline or disciplines involved.

- Q. Are you familiar with EMTREE terms?
- A. Could you define entry terms for me, please?
- Q. Well, actually that might have been a better question because I don't know the answer to that.

Do you know what EMTREE terms are?

MR. SOMMER: I just want to make sure because
I think he said entry.

MS. ASCARRUNZ: EMTREE. I think we're both reading the same document so it should be clear.

- MR. SOMMER: Okay. Well, I --
- MS. REPORTER: I'm hearing entry.
- MS. ASCARRUNZ: EMTREE, e-m-t-r-e-e.

		39		
1	SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D.			
2	MR. SOMMER: That's what I heard from him.			
3	MS. ASCARRUNZ: As used in Attachment 2e.			
4	MR. SOMMER: I know the font is small, Scott.			
5	THE WITNESS: No, I thank you. I see			
6	EMTREE, e-m-t-r-e-e.			
7	Now, if you would since we're now			
8	clear about the term, would you please repeat your			
9	question?			
10	MS. ASCARRUNZ: Yes.			
11	BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:			
12	Q. Do you know what EMTREE terms are?			
13	A. I know that they are index terms.			
14	If you ask me what EMTREE stands			
15	for, the answer is no.			
16	Q. Do you know what MeSH terms are?			
17	A. Yes.			
18	Q. What are MeSH terms?			
19	A. They are terms used by what is it?			
20	They are terms used by the principal			
21	indexing service for medical literature.			
22	Q. Okay. And among the terms that are			
23	included in this Attachment 2e, are included			
24	pulmonary hypertension; right?			
25	A. Well, I see in Attachment 2e at least one			

		40		
1	SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D.			
2	search term called pulmonary hypertension.			
3	There may be others.			
4	Q. Okay. And also included is prostacyclin			
5	as a term; correct?			
6	And if you'll permit me a hint, it's			
7	under "author keywords."			
8	A. Thank you. That's very helpful.			
9	I do see it there under "author			
10	keywords."			
11	Q. And this Scopus entry also demonstrates			
12	that the article is indexed by authors; right?			
13	A. Scopus records are indexed by authors.			
14	Q. And the institution at which they work?			
15	MR. SOMMER: Object to form.			
16	BY THE WITNESS:			
17	A. I don't know that that is the case.			
18	BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:			
19	Q. Okay. This particular Scopus index does			
20	reflect the institution at which the authors work;			
21	correct?			
22	A. That is correct.			
23	Q. Okay. When was this Scopus index			
24	created?			
25	A. I do not know.			

- Do you know from any of the exhibits to your declaration when the Giovannini review article was indexed on Scopus?
 - Α. No.
 - Do you know when Scopus was launched? 0.
- 7 MR. SOMMER: Object to form.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

A. I might at one point have known, but I do not this morning know.

BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:

- Do you have a ballpark recollection of 0. the decade in which it was launched?
 - Α. No.
 - Do you know what the original scope of Q. Scopus included when it was launched?
 - A. No.
- MS. ASCARRUNZ: We've been going approximately an hour. I have a small handful of remaining questions on Document 2. Do you want to keep pushing through, or do you want to take a break?
- THE WITNESS: It's your deposition. 23
- MS. ASCARRUNZ: I want to make sure that your 24 comfortable.
 - THE WITNESS: I'm comfortable.

		42		
1	SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D.			
2	MS. ASCARRUNZ: I can keep pressing through			
3	if			
4	THE WITNESS: I'm comfortable. Thank you.			
5	MS. ASCARRUNZ: you're fine with that.			
6	BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:			
7	Q. Okay. I'd like to turn to Attachment 2f			
8	now.			
9	A. 2f?			
10	Q. Yes. And this is a printout from the			
11	Statewide Illinois Library Catalog; right?			
12	I apologize. Let me give you time			
13	to find it?			
14	A. Thank you.			
15	Q. 2f.			
16	A. I'm not sure that it's included in this			
17	packet.			
18	Q. Oh, that's a problem.			
19	A. But let me see if it's in this one.			
20	MR. SOMMER: For the record, it's on Page 74			
21	of 74.			
22	THE WITNESS: Thank you.			
23	MS. ASCARRUNZ: I just want to make sure.			
24	So it was complete?			
25	THE WITNESS: No, it is not.			

		43	
1	SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D.		
2	MS. ASCARRUNZ: It's not complete. Okay.		
3	THE WITNESS: But		
4	MS. ASCARRUNZ: I apologize for that. I		
5	guess it being the last page, it probably fell off		
6	somewhere.		
7	THE WITNESS: I have Page 74 of 74 in front		
8	of me. Thank you.		
9	BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:		
10	Q. So this Attachment 2f is a printout from		
11	the Statewide Illinois Library Catalog?		
12	A. That is, correct.		
13	Q. And it shows that Herz is indexed and		
14	available in 97 libraries worldwide; correct?		
15	A. Correct.		
16	Q. Why did you include this attachment?		
17	A. The effort in Paragraphs 41 through 43 is		
18	to establish the public availability of Document 2.		
19	The public availability in this case		
20	is a question of availability in academic libraries.		
21	And the point here is that one can		
22	find Document 2 in something like 97 different		
23	libraries if one chose to look for it.		
24	And that's evidence, as I say in		
25	Paragraph 41, that the journal Herz was sufficiently		

accessible to the public interested in the art and an ordinarily skilled researcher exercising reasonable diligence would have had no difficulty finding copies of Herz.

Q. Okay. So you indicated that one can find Document 2 in something like 97 different libraries.

This index in Attachment 2f is not for Document 2; correct, it's for Herz as a whole as a periodical?

A. That is correct.

- Q. Okay. 97 libraries worldwide is a pretty modest distribution; isn't it?
- A. That's a matter of judgment. I think you're free to draw your own conclusions.
 - O. When was this index created?
- A. Would you define this index, please?
- Q. Yes. When was the entry that is reflected in Attachment 2f created?
- A. Herz is, of course, a periodical publication, and I discuss periodical publications in Paragraph 19 and 20 of the declaration, and I say in Paragraph 19 that a library typically creates a catalog record for a periodical publication when the library receives its first issue.

So the library -- the catalog record on which Attachment 2f is based was probably created in a -- in an unknown -- in a library unknown to me sometime soon after the first issue of Herz was received by that library probably sometime in 1976.

- Q. And this particular catalog record covers at least quarterly or bimonthly issues since 1976; correct?
- A. In Attachment 2f, about half way down the list of entry lines, there is a line labeled,
 "Frequency," that is the frequency with which the periodical is published, and there we are told that from 2007 on 8 issues were issued a year, previously to that quarterly or bimonthly.
- Q. In Paragraph 41 of your declaration you refer to Attachment 2a, and you indicate that it includes a library date stamp indicating that the June 2005 issue of Herz was processed on 22, June, 2005; correct?
- MR. SOMMER: Object to form.
- 22 BY THE WITNESS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A. I believe we're referring to
 Paragraph 42.
- MS. ASCARRUNZ: Thank you for that

2 correction.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. And there I do observe that Attachment 2a includes a library date stamp including that of the June issue of Herz which was processed on the 22nd of June 2005.

BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:

- Q. Okay. Can you show me where that stamp is on the document?
- A. If you will look on Page 58 of 73, this is still Attachment 2a, you will see there a PDF of the title or the cover probably of Herz, and you will see about a third of the way down in the middle a rubber stamp that says, "Biomedical Library" -- oh, that says, "July," J-u-1, "22, 2005, University of California, Los Angeles."
- Q. So is that a typographical error in the paragraph of your declaration that should read July instead of June?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And the stamp you refer to simply says, "Biomedical Library, July 22, 2005, University of California, Los Angeles."

It doesn't anywhere state that the

issue was processed on that date; correct?

- A. Please help me understand what you mean by processed.
 - Q. Sure.

In your Paragraph 42 you indicate that that stamp indicates that this issue of Herz was processed on a particular date?

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. The stamp itself does not say that it was processed on that date; correct?
- A. Well, it is the case that there -- that this rubber stamp does not include the word processed.

The fact that a rubber stamp was applied to the cover of Herz on July 22 is clear evidence that at the University of California biomedical library this copy of Herz was processed, that is, date stamped on the 22nd of July 2005.

- Q. Okay. Apart from stamping the cover with the stamp, what else does it mean when you say, "was processed"?
- A. Academic libraries process their periodicals in a variety of ways.

In this case the evidence suggests

that Herz was delivered directly to the biomedical library, perhaps by the post, perhaps coming from some central distribution point at -- at the University of California libraries, but Herz arrived in the biomedical library and was there date stamped, that is, an employee of the biomedical library date stamped this copy of Herz on the 22nd of July, and that was done as part of the process of moving this copy of Herz from, as I would imagine, the biomedical library receiving unit to the shelves where it would be publicly available.

- Q. Okay. So I understand based on your experience in libraries, that you've made several deductions as to how this issue of Herz was processed by the University of California, Los Angeles; is that a fair statement?
 - MR. SOMMER: Object to form.
- MS. ASCARRUNZ: Let me strike that.
- 20 BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:

- Q. You don't claim to have personal firsthand knowledge of who applied this stamp; correct?
- A. That is correct.
 - Q. And you don't claim to have personal

firsthand knowledge of when they applied this stamp; correct?

- A. If by personal firsthand you mean was I standing there watching the person applying this date stamp, that is correct.
- Q. And you don't have any personal firsthand knowledge of the processing procedures used by the University of California, Los Angeles on this issue of Herz; correct?
- A. Well, everything turns here on the definition of -- of firsthand, so would you describe that? Tell me what you mean by firsthand.
- Q. Sure. So you understood me correctly before.

Did you see it with your own, you know, eyes or were you present, or did somebody directly involved tell you, you know, what the procedures were?

- A. You are correct that I do not have firsthand knowledge of that sort.
- Q. So I believe I'm done asking questions about Document 2, but since we did identify a typographical error, I just want to make sure the record is clear as to what the impact of that is.

So if we look at Paragraph 42, that is one of the locations we found an error; correct?

- A. It is correct that the date on attachment -- the date stamp on Attachment 2a reads July 22, 2005 rather than what is asserted in Paragraph 42, the 22nd of June 2005.
 - Ο. So --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. The rest of the -- of Paragraph 42 remains accurate.
- So in Paragraph 42 would you change both Q. instances of June to July?
 - Yes, I certainly would.
 - Okay. And would you also change the Q. instance of June in Paragraph 43 to July?
 - A. Yes, I would.
 - And the statement in Paragraph 43 that Document 2 was publicly available at least by early July 2005 would also be incorrect?
 - Α. That's true. That would have to be early August 2005.
- MS. ASCARRUNZ: Okay. I am done with 23 Document 2, so this probably is a good time for a 24 break.
- 25 I'm sorry. You might want to say

2 something?

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: You might want to look at
Paragraph 44.

MS. ASCARRUNZ: Okay.

BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:

- Q. Okay. What change would you make there?
- A. Well, the same issue of error about date.
- Q. So that instance of July should read August?
 - A. Yes, early August 2005.
- Q. Okay. The statement that the Document 2 would be publically available to researchers at least by early August 2005 is based on your observation of the July 2005 stamp on the cover of Herz?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Why does that stamp on the cover of Herz demonstrate that it would in turn be publicly available to researchers?
- A. The business of libraries, not least of all biomedical libraries, is to make the material that they collect available to researchers and to do that with some promptitude.

So based on my experience of academic libraries generally and based on my close

involvement with the medical libraries at John
Hopkins University and at Yale University, it is my
opinion, as expressed in Paragraph 19, that after
issues or volumes of a periodical are checked in,
often using a date stamp, as is the case here, and
added to the institution's holdings records, those
issues are made public -- made available very soon
thereafter, normally within a few days of receipt, or
at most, within a few weeks of receipt.

I regard that July 22, 2005 date as indication that this issue of Herz had been received in the biomedical library at the University of California as of that date, and so it is my opinion that it would have been publicly available at the biomedical library very soon thereafter.

- Q. Do you know for certain that that was the case?
 - A. What do you mean by for certain?
- Q. I'm looking back over your previous answer, and you used words such as often using the date stamp, normally within a few days, which to me sounds like there's room for error or delay, so my question is: Do you know for certain that the issue of Herz reflected in Document 1 was, in fact, made

1	SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D.
2	publicly available within a few days of receipt and
3	stamping?
4	MR. SOMMER: Object to form.
5	BY THE WITNESS:
6	A. Well, with respect, you have cited the
7	language I use, and we're both agreed that that is
8	the language in the declaration, and you have
9	introduced a new term for certain that I've asked you
10	to define; and, as I say, with respect, you haven't
11	defined it, you've only given me my words back.
12	So I can't answer your question
13	without a proper definition of what you mean by for
14	certain.
15	Q. Okay. Do you know for a fact that the
16	issue of Herz reflected in Document 1 was made
17	publicly available within a few days of receipt and
18	stamping at the University of Los Angeles?
19	MR. SOMMER: Object to form.
20	BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:
21	Q. The University of California,
22	Los Angeles. I apologize.
23	MR. SOMMER: Same objection.
24	BY THE WITNESS:
0.5	

Well, I do not assert, as a matter of

25

A.

fact, but I do -- it is my opinion, as registered in Paragraph 44 and 43, it is my opinion that Document 2 is publicly available at least by early, as we now say August 2005.

That is my opinion. That is my expert opinion based on a very wide knowledge of academic libraries, based on the practices of two medical libraries comparable in stature, mission, purpose to the biomedical library at the University of California at Los Angeles.

MS. ASCARRUNZ: Okay. This is probably a good time to take a break.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.

The time is 11:00 a.m.

16 (WHEREUPON, a recess was had.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going on the record. This marks the beginning of Media No. 2.

The time is now 11:06 a.m.

BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. Dr. Bennett, the next set of questions will be on Document 1.

A. Thank you.

Q. Which I often refer to as the Voswinckel

1	SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D.
2	abstract, so if I say the Voswinckel abstract, are we
3	agreed that I'm talking about Document 1?
4	A. We do agree on that.
5	Q. Okay. Did you obtain the Voswinckel
6	abstract from the British Library?
7	MR. SOMMER: Object to form.
8	BY THE WITNESS:
9	A. We did obtain a copy of Document 1 from
10	the British Library.
11	BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:
12	Q. And when you say, "we did," did you
13	personally, or did someone under your direction
14	obtain it from the British Library?
15	A. As explained in Paragraph 46 of the
16	declaration, my colleague Helen Sullivan well, I'r
17	sorry. It's Paragraph 45 that says that my one of
18	the primary responsibilities of my colleague, Helen
19	Sullivan, is to secure the bibliographic
20	documentation used in the attachments.
21	So in all probability Ms. Sullivan
22	obtained Document 1 for our use.
23	Q. When did she obtain Document 1 from the
24	British Library?

As stated in Paragraph 47, all

25

A.

attachments, including Attachment 1a, which is the copy of Document 1 we're talking about, all attachments were created on the 9th through the 12th of June, so sometime between the 9th and the 12th of June.

- Q. Did you mean 16th?
- A. Yeah. I'm sorry. Yes. The 9th and the 16th of June Ms. Sullivan obtained the copy of Document 1 represented in Attachment 1.
- Q. And apart from that instance of getting access to the Voswinckel abstract from the British Library, you can't provide any evidence in your declaration that the Voswinckel abstract was, in fact, accessed by anyone else at that library; correct?
- MR. SOMMER: Object to form.
- 18 BY THE WITNESS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- A. Would you mind clarifying your question for me?
- 21 BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:
 - Q. Sure.
- 23 So I understand that Ms. Sullivan
 24 obtained the Voswinckel abstract from the British
 25 Library sometime between June 9 through 16 of 2017;

correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Are you aware of anyone else having obtained the Voswinckel abstract from the British Library at any other point in time?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. And what are the circumstances of that?
- A. If you'll look in Paragraph 31, but at the text on Page 11, which is also Page 13 of 74, you will see there that I describe Attachment 1e, which is a second copy different from Attachment 1a, a second copy of Document 1 from the British Library supplied by counsel.

So I know for a fact that the counsel who asked for this declaration had secured a copy of Document 1 from the British Library.

- Q. Do you know when they secured that copy?
- A. If you'll look at Attachment 1a, and specifically at Page 36 of 74, you will see a processing cover sheet by the WTS document delivery service.

This is a Wisconsin based -University of Wisconsin based service, and you will
see toward the top of that an entry called "Request

Date," which indicates that WTS received the request for this copy of attachment -- of Document 1 on the 7th of February 2017.

MR. SOMMER: Scott, did you mean 1a or 1e.

THE WITNESS: 1E. This is the copy -- 1e is the copy provided by counsel.

BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:

- Q. Okay. So we've got a copy of Voswinckel being obtained by the British Library by counsel sometime on or after February of 2017; correct?
 - A. Well, that's a reasonable inference.

 $\label{eq:what I can state as a matter of fact} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{What I can state as a matter of fact} \\ \textbf{is that this request was recorded by WTS on} \\ \textbf{February 7, 2017.} \end{center}$

I infer that it's the request made by counsel since this is where I got the document.

Q. Okay. So apart from the obtaining of
Voswinckel from the British Library by counsel and by
Ms. Sullivan in the two instances we just discussed,
are you aware of any other person obtaining a copy of
Voswinckel from the British Library at any point in
time?

MR. SOMMER: Object to form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. Well, the words aware and any other person cover an awfully lot of ground.

Would you care to specify your question a little more precisely?

BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:

- Q. Apart from counsel and Ms. Sullivan obtaining a copy of the Voswinckel abstract from the British Library as reflected in Attachments 1a and 1e, is there any other documentation within the body of your declaration or the attachments that reflect that any other person also obtained a copy of the Voswinckel abstract from the British Library?
- A. No, there is no such documentation in my declaration.
- Q. Let's turn to Paragraph 30 of your declaration, and beginning with this paragraph you address the public accessibility of the Voswinckel reference and conclude that it was sufficiently accessible to the public interested in the art; correct?
- A. It is correct that in Paragraph 34 I express the opinion that Document 1 is an authentic document that was publicly available to researchers

at least by December 2004.

- Q. Okay. And you also conclude, based on Attachment 1c, that circulation, the periodical, was cataloged or indexed in a meaningful way in the Statewide Illinois Library Catalog; correct?
- A. I express that -- that opinion in Paragraph 30.
- Q. And that is because Document 1c lists as subjects certain subjects, including cardiology, cardiovascular system, hypertension, and then the same words in Spanish, French, and Portuguese; correct?
 - A. I see those words, yes, in Attachment 1c.
- Q. And those words in Attachment 1c, are those the basis on which you conclude that circulation as a periodical was indexed in a meaningful way?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. When was this reference in Attachment 1c indexed?
- A. In Paragraph 19 I affirm that a library typically creates a catalog record for a periodical publication when the library receives its first issue.

So a library unknown to me created a -- a record for circulation probably in the year 1950 when circulation was first published.

And that record and others are the basis for the catalog record in Attachment 1c.

- Q. And the catalog record in Attachment 1c covers circulation as a periodical as a whole; correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. There's not a record for any specific issue or reference in circulation; correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And the record in Attachment 1c covers over 50 years of monthly periodicals of circulation; correct?
- MR. SOMMER: Object to form.

18 BY THE WITNESS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

- A. If you look in the 1c record at the frequency entry, you will see that it -- that it appeared weekly, so one might say over 50 years of publication that would be correct.
- 23 BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:
- Q. So it would also be correct to say that
 this record covers over 50 years of publication with

		62
1	SCOTT BENNETT Ph.D.	
2	a frequency of sometimes weekly, sometimes monthly	
3	publications?	
4	A. Yes, that would be correct.	
5	Q. Do you know how many pages that covers?	
6	A. No, I don't. Many.	
7	Q. Do you know how many individual	
8	references that covers?	
9	MR. SOMMER: Object to form.	
10	BY THE WITNESS:	
11	A. No, I do not.	
12	BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:	
13	Q. Okay. The attachment the record in	
14	Attachment 1c does not separately index the specific	
15	volume or issue of the circulation abstracts that	
16	contain the Voswinckel abstract; correct?	
17	A. That is correct.	
18	And I will add it is the nature of	
19	records such as this not to do that, and that's why	
20	we have indexing services.	
21	Q. And you did provide that some libraries	
22	do separately catalog the supplements to circulation;	
23	correct? And I think you were referring to	
24	Attachment 1d?	

25

Α.

Yes.

CCCTT	BENNETT	Dh D
SCOTT	DENNETT	Ph.D.

In Paragraph 30 I say, "Some libraries have separately cataloged the supplements to circulation."

And Attachment 1d is a true and accurate copy of such a record.

- Q. Okay. And Attachment 1d is also from the Statewide Illinois Library Catalog; correct?
 - A. That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And Attachment 1d is a record for all of the supplements to circulation and not one in particular; correct?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. And the record at Attachment 1d refers to all abstracts over the span of more than 50 years; correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And, again, you don't know how many pages that covers; correct?
- A. I do not know how many pages.
- Q. And you don't know how many individual references are covered?
 - A. No, I do not.
- Q. And the record at Attachment 1d does not index the specific volume or issue of circulation

abstracts that contain the Voswinckel abstract; correct?

A. That is correct.

- Q. And you indicated that Attachment 1d demonstrates that the abstract supplements were cataloged or indexed in a meaningful way; is that correct?
- A. What I say: In a meaningful way, including being cataloged by subject, that is correct.
- Q. Okay. And by cataloged by subject, you're referring to the descriptors indicating arteriosclerosis congresses, cardiology congresses, thrombosis congresses, and then arteriosclerosis cardiology and thrombosis repeated; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Is it your opinion that the words arteriosclerosis, cardiology, and thrombosis provide indexing in a meaningful way for all abstracts published over a span of more than 50 years?

 MR. SOMMER: Object to form.
- BY THE WITNESS:
- A. Well, your question turns on the question of all; doesn't it?

So one can imagine -- I speak

hypothetically now -- of a -- an abstract that might

have been best identified by some term other than

those listed here.

Relevant to your question and my answer is the fact that librarians -- catalog librarians have some discretion in the number of indexing terms -- subject term, sorry, that they use.

A good cataloger -- or I should say good cataloging practice stipulates that at least three such subject terms should be provided. You can provide more, you can provide fewer.

The understanding is, however, that the terms provided do a good job of describing most, but not necessarily literally all of the contents of the document that you were using these terms to describe.

- Q. You don't purport to know how the words arteriosclerosis, cardiology, and thrombosis relate to pulmonary hypertension; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Is it your opinion that subjects such as medicine, biotechnology, and pharmaceutical chemistry provide a meaningful index and catalog by subject?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC. - A VERITEXT COMPANY 330 Old Country Road - Ste. 300, Mineola, NY 11501 1.800.727.4396

And if it helps, I'm referring to the subject words in Attachment 1b.

- A. Well, your question turns on what one means by meaningful. One certainly would not expect to find articles about Joseph Conrad in a publication with these subject terms, so, yes, that's meaningful.
- Q. Okay. Throughout your declaration you use the word meaningful yourself; right?
 - A. I do.

Q. And usually in the context of providing a meaningful -- strike that.

Is it your opinion that subjects such as medicine, biotechnology, and pharmaceutical industry provide a meaningful index for finding the Voswinckel abstract?

A. As we observed in questions a few -- a few minutes ago, this record does not provide access to Document 1 it provides access to the publication which Document 1 appeared. That's the job, that's the task of this record. This record is not meant to provide access to Document 1. It is meant to provide access to the publication in which Document 1 appeared.

So this record does a reasonable job

of providing meaningful access to the publication in which Document 1 appeared.

- Q. Okay. So none of Attachments 1b, 1c, and 1d index the Voswinckel reference specifically; correct?
- A. I'm sorry. You're asking me about which attachments, please?
 - Q. Sure.

None of Attachments 1b, 1c, and 1d.

- A. Yes, Attachments 1b, 1c, and 1d are all records for -- that relate to the publication in which Document 1 appeared, but they do not provide direct access to Document 1.
- Q. Okay. And none of Attachments 1b, 1c, and 1d index the reference by author or institution; correct?
- A. These are catalog records for the publication in which Document 1 appeared.

It is not the job of catalog records to provide indexes to the contents of periodicals.

Q. And none of Attachments 1b, 1c, and 1d index the reference by subjects, including pulmonary hypertension, PAH, Treprostinil, inhalation, or nebulizer; correct?

- A. Did you ask me whether these attachments provide index records to index entries, index terms to Document 1? Is that what you asked me?
- Q. Let me. Let me repeat the question.

None of Attachments 1b, 1c, and 1d index the reference reflected in each of those documents by subjects that include any of pulmonary hypertension, PAH, Treprostinil, inhalation, or nebulizer; correct?

MR. SOMMER: Object to form.

12 BY THE WITNESS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

21

- A. I'm sorry. I -- I got lost about -
 well, I don't know. The second clause in your --
- MS. ASCARRUNZ: Okay. Let's try to break it up.
- 17 BY MS. ASCARRUNZ:
- Q. So, again, I'm referring to Documents 1b, 1c, and 1d?
- A. Got that.
 - Q. I understand that each index are referenced?
- A. No, they don't.
- Q. Not an individual reference, a periodical in some instances supplementing some other instances.

- A. Could we say, rather than index, that they catalog, they provide a catalog record for a publication? Can we say that?
 - O. Perfect?
 - A. Okay.

- Q. So each of the Attachments, 1b, 1c, and 1d, reflect a catalog record?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. None of those catalog records include references to subject matters that include pulmonary hypertension, PAH, Treprostinil, inhalation, or nebulizers; correct?
- A. Well, you use the word include, and I would assume that hypertension is a term that should be understood to include the more specialized terms you just mentioned, if I followed your question correctly.
- Q. You don't purport to understand the difference between hypertension, systemic hypertension, and pulmonary hypertension; correct?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. Are you taking an opinion that the term hypertension sufficiently includes pulmonary hypertension?