

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.
Petitioner,

v.

UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORP.¹
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01621
Patent 9,358,240 B2

Before LORA M. GREEN, ERICA A. FRANKLIN, and DAVID COTTA,
Administrative Patent Judges.

COTTA, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

DECISION
Granting Institution of *Inter Partes* Review
37 C.F.R. § 42.108

¹ Further to Patent Owner's request, we have changed the case caption in order to reflect that United Therapeutics Corporation is the assignee of record with respect to US Patent No. 9,399,507 B2. Prelim Resp. 1 n.1.

I. INTRODUCTION

Watson Laboratories, Inc. (“Petitioner” or “Watson”) filed a Petition requesting an *inter partes* review of claims 1–9 of U.S. Patent No. 9,358,240 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’240 patent”). Paper 2 (“Pet.”). United Therapeutics Corp. (“Patent Owner” or “UTC”) filed a Preliminary Response to the Petition. Paper 5 (Prelim. Resp.).

Institution of an *inter partes* review is authorized by statute only when “the information presented in the petition . . . and any response . . . shows that there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition.” 35 U.S.C. § 314; *see* 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.4, 42.108. Upon considering the Petition, the Preliminary Response, and the cited evidence, we conclude that Petitioner has satisfied the burden under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) to show that there is a reasonable likelihood that it would prevail with respect to at least one of the challenged claims.

A. *Related Proceedings*

Petitioner and Patent Owner identify the following proceedings as relating to the ’240 patent: *United Therapeutics Corp. v. Watson Laboratories, Inc.* Case No. 15-cv-05723 (D.N.J.) and IPR2017-01622, which challenges the patentability of U.S. Patent No. 9,339,507 (“the ’507 patent”). *Id.* The ’240 patent and the ’507 patent share a common parent and provisional application. *Id.* Patent Owner also identifies US Patent Application No. 15/011,999, a pending continuation application with common priority to the ’240 and ’507 patents, as related to this proceeding. Paper 3, 2.

B. The '240 Patent (Ex. 1001)

The '240 patent issued June 7, 2016, identifying Horst Olschewski, Robert Roscigno, Lewis J. Rubin, Thomas Schmehl, Werner Seeger, Carl Sterritt, and Robert Voswinckel as co-inventors. Ex. 1001. The patent discloses “methods and kits for therapeutic treatment . . . involving administering treprostinil using a metered dose inhaler and related kits.” *Id.* at 1:15–19.

The '240 patent teaches that pulmonary hypertension is “a condition associated with an elevation of pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP) over normal levels.” *Id.* at 2:6–8. “Pulmonary hypertension has been implicated in several life-threatening clinical conditions, such as adult respiratory distress syndrome (‘ARDS’) and persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn (‘PPHN’).” *Id.* at 2:37–40. “Pulmonary hypertension may also ultimately result in a potentially fatal heart condition known as ‘cor pulmonale,’ or pulmonary heart disease.” *Id.* at 2:48–51. According to the '240 patent, “currently there is no treatment for pulmonary hypertension that can be administered using a compact inhalation device, such as a metered dose inhaler.” *Id.* at 2:53–55.

The '240 patent discloses that “[t]he inventors discovered that a therapeutically effective dose of treprostinil can be administered in a few single inhalations using a compact inhalation device, such as a metered dose inhaler.” *Id.* at 5:8–11. The '240 patent further discloses that “such administering does not cause significant side effects.” *Id.* at 5:12–13.

C. Challenged Claims

Petitioner challenges claims 1–9 of the '240 patent. Claim 1, the only independent claim, is reproduced below:

1. A method of treating pulmonary hypertension comprising:

administering by inhalation to a human suffering from pulmonary hypertension a therapeutically effective single event dose of a formulation comprising 200 to 1000 µg/ml of treprostinil or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof

with a pulsed ultrasonic nebulizer that aerosolizes a fixed amount of treprostinil or a pharmaceutically effective salt thereof per pulse,

said pulsed ultrasonic nebulizer comprising an opto-acoustical trigger which allows said human to synchronize each breath to each pulse,

said therapeutically effective single dose event comprising from 15 µg to 90 µg treprostinil or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof delivered in 1 to 18 breaths.

Ex. 1001, 18:2–17.

D. The Asserted Grounds of Unpatentability

Petitioner challenges the patentability of claims 1–9 of the '240 patent on the following grounds (Pet. 6):

References	Basis	Claims Challenged
Voswinckel, ² Patton, ³ and Ghofrani ⁴	§ 103(a)	1–9

² Robert Voswinckel, et al., *Inhaled Treprostinil Sodium (TRE) for the Treatment of Pulmonary Hypertension*, Abstract #1414, CIRCULATION, 110, 17, Supplement (Oct. 2004): III–295 (Ex. 1003, “Voswinckel”).

³ Patton et al., WO 93/00951, published Jan. 21, 1993 (Ex. 1012, “Patton”).

⁴ Hossein Ardeschi Ghofrani, Robert Voswinckel, et al., *Neue Therapieoptionen in der Behandlung der pulmonalarteriellen Hypertonie*, 30(4) HERTZ 296–302 (2005) (Ex. 1005, “Ghofrani”). Ghofrani was originally published in German. All citations herein are to the English translation of Ghofrani provided by Petitioner (Ex. 1005).

References	Basis	Claims Challenged
Voswinckel, Patton, and the OptiNeb User Manual ⁵	§ 103(a)	1–9
Voswinckel, Ghofrani and the EU Community Register ⁶	§ 103(a)	1–9

Petitioner submits the Declaration of Dr. Maureen D. Donovan (Ex. 1002), the Declaration of Dr. Scott Bennett (Ex. 1013), two Affidavits of Christopher Butler (Ex. 1014 and 1015), and the Declaration of Dr. DeForest McDuff (Ex. 1055) in support of institution of *inter partes* review. Patent Owner submits the Declaration of Dr. Richard Dalby (Ex. 2001), the Declaration of Dr. Werner Seeger (Ex. 2020), the Declaration of Dr. Hossein A. Ghofrani (Ex. 2026), the Declaration of Dr. Frank Reichenberger (Ex. 2027), and the Declaration of Dr. Friedrich Grimminger (Ex. 2028) to support their arguments in opposition to institution.

II. ANALYSIS

A. 35 U.S.C. § 315(b)

We first consider arguments raised in Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response challenging whether Petitioner timely filed the Petition. Prelim. Resp. 13–20. Patent Owner initially filed a complaint against Petitioner alleging infringement of patents other than the ’240 patent in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey on July 22, 2015.

⁵ Opti-Neb-ir® Operating Instructions, Model ON-100/2-2.4 MHz (2005) (Ex. 1006, “OptiNeb”). OptiNeb was originally published in German. Pet. 17, n. 6. All citations herein are to the English translation of OptiNeb provided by the Petitioner (Ex. 1006).

⁶ Annexes to Commission Decision C(2005)3436 of 05 September 2005, http://ec.europa.eu/health/documents/communityregister/2005/2005090510259/anx_10259_en.pdf (Annex III–Ventavis Labelling and Package Leaflet) (Ex. 1009, “EU Community Register” or “Annex III”).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.