

Filed: June 16, 2017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

GOOGLE INC.  
Petitioner

v.

BLACKBERRY LTD.  
Patent Owner

---

Case No. IPR2017-01620  
Patent No. 8,489,868

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,489,868**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION .....1

II. MANDATORY NOTICES .....1

III. PAYMENT OF FEES .....2

IV. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....2

V. PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND GROUNDS RAISED.....2

    A. Proposed Grounds and Prior Art .....2

    B. All Proposed Grounds Should Be Adopted .....5

VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL .....6

VII. OVERVIEW OF THE '868 PATENT .....6

VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....7

IX. DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS.....15

    A. Ground 1: *Lin* Anticipates Claims 1, 76, 78, 81, 84, 85, 90-92,  
        95, 104, 113, 137, and 142 .....15

        1. Claims 1 and 76.....15

        2. Claims 78 and 81.....29

        3. Claim 84.....31

        4. Claim 85 .....32

        5. Claim 90.....33

        6. Claim 91 .....33

        7. Claim 92.....34

        8. Claim 95.....35

        9. Claim 104.....35

10.	Claims 113 and 137 .....	35
11.	Claim 142.....	36
B.	Ground 2: <i>Lin</i> and <i>Garst</i> Render Obvious Claims 13, 88, and 98.....	36
1.	Claims 13 and 88.....	36
2.	Claim 98.....	39
C.	Ground 3: <i>Lin</i> and <i>Davis</i> Render Obvious Claims 77, 79, 80, and 82 .....	41
1.	Claims 77, 79, 80, and 82 .....	41
D.	Ground 4: <i>Lin</i> and <i>Chang</i> Render Obvious Claim 83.....	44
1.	Claim 83 .....	44
E.	Ground 5: <i>Lin</i> and <i>Sibert</i> Render Obvious Claim 86.....	47
1.	Claim 86.....	47
F.	Ground 6: <i>Lin</i> and <i>Wong-Insley</i> Render Obvious Claim 89 .....	50
1.	Claim 89.....	50
G.	Ground 7: <i>Lin</i> and <i>Haddock</i> Render Obvious Claim 94.....	52
1.	Claim 94 .....	52
H.	Ground 8: <i>Lin</i> and <i>Gong</i> Render Obvious Claims 93, 100, 112, and 139 .....	54
1.	Claim 93 .....	54
2.	Claim 100.....	57
3.	Claim 112.....	59
4.	Claim 139.....	62
X.	CONCLUSION.....	63

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>In re Abbott Diabetes Care Inc.</i> , 696 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	14
<i>Ariosa Diagnostics v. Verinata Health, Inc.</i> , 805 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	3
<i>Benitec Biopharma Ltd. v. Cold Spring Harbor Lab.</i> , IPR2016-00014, Paper 7 (Mar. 23, 2016) .....	4
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....	9
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>In re Man Mach. Interface Techs. LLC</i> , 822 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	13
<i>Poly-America, L.P. v. API Indus., Inc.</i> , 839 F.3d 1131 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	8
<i>Randall Mfg. v. Rea</i> , 733 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	3
<i>In re Robertson</i> , 169 F.3d 743 (Fed. Cir. 1999) .....	25
<b>Regulations</b>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) .....	7

**LIST OF EXHIBITS**

- Ex. 1001 U.S. Patent No. 8,489,868
- Ex. 1002 Declaration of Patrick D. McDaniel, Ph.D.
- Ex. 1003 Curriculum Vitae of Patrick D. McDaniel, Ph.D.
- Ex. 1004 Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 8,489,868
- Ex. 1005 U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/270,663
- Ex. 1006 U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/235,354
- Ex. 1007 U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/234,152
- Ex. 1008 The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, IEEE Std. 100-2000 (7th ed. 2000)
- Ex. 1009 Bruce Schneier, “Applied Cryptography” (2nd ed. 1996)
- Ex. 1010 BlackBerry’s First Amended Complaint, *BlackBerry LTD. v. Blu Products, Inc.*, Case No. 1:16-cv-23535 (S.D. Fla.)
- Ex. 1011 U.S. Patent No. 6,766,353 (“*Lin*”)
- Ex. 1012 U.S. Patent No. 6,188,995 (“*Garst*”)
- Ex. 1013 U.S. Patent No. 5,844,986 (“*Davis*”)
- Ex. 1014 U.S. Patent No. 5,724,425 (“*Chang*”)
- Ex. 1015 U.S. Patent No. 7,243,236 (“*Sibert*”)
- Ex. 1016 Li Gong, “Inside Java 2 Platform Security Architecture: Cryptography, APIs, and Implementation” (1999) (“*Gong*”)
- Ex. 1017 U.S. Patent No. 6,131,166 (“*Wong-Insley*”)
- Ex. 1018 U.S. Patent No. 5,657,378 (“*Haddock*”)
- Ex. 1019 U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/146,426

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.