

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

GOOGLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

BLACKBERRY LTD.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case No. IPR2017-01619  
U.S. Patent No. 8,489,868

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Overview of the ’868 Patent.....	2
III.	Claim Construction.....	6
	A. “Sensitive API To Which Access Is Restricted”.....	6
	i. A “Sensitive API” Is One That Implicates a Security Concern Relative to Non-Sensitive APIs .....	6
	ii. “[A] Plurality of [APIs] ..., Wherein at Least One API Comprises a Sensitive API to Which Access Is Restricted” Requires the “Sensitive API” to Have Additional Access Restrictions Relative to Non-Sensitive APIs .....	7
	B. “Determining, at the Mobile Device, Whether the Software Application Is Signed, Wherein a Signed Software Application Includes a Digital Signature Generated Using a Private Key of a Private Key-Public Key Pair” .....	10
IV.	The Petition Fails to Meet the Requirements for Instituting an <i>Inter Partes</i> Review .....	14
	A. Overview of the Principal References.....	15
	i. Garst (Ex. 1012).....	15
	ii. Gong (Ex. 1016).....	16
	B. The Petition Fails to Show Gong is a Printed Publication.....	16
	i. Petitioner Fails to Show When Gong Was Publicly Accessible .....	18
	ii. Petitioner Fails to Show Gong Was Sufficiently Indexed .....	21
	iii. Petitioner Relies Exclusively on Inadmissible Hearsay .....	23
	C. Garst and Gong Fail to Disclose “a Sensitive API” .....	24

- i. Petitioner’s Analysis Reads “Sensitive” Out of the Claims .....25
- i. Garst In View Of Gong Does Not Render “Sensitive API”  
Obvious .....27
- V. Conclusion .....29
- Certificate Of Compliance .....31
- Certificate Of Service.....32

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>ABS Global, Inc. v. Inguran, LLC</i> , IPR2016-00927, Paper 33 (PTAB Oct. 2, 2017).....	19, 22
<i>Apple Inc. v. ContentGuard Holdings, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00443, Paper 9 (PTAB July 9, 2015).....	27
<i>Blue Calypso, LLC v. Groupon, Inc.</i> , 815 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	17, 21
<i>Coastal Indus., Inc. v. Shower Enclosures Am., Inc.</i> , IPR2017-00573, Paper 9 (PTAB July 20, 2017).....	28
<i>In re Cronyn</i> , 890 F.2d 1158 (Fed. Cir. 1989) .....	22
<i>Elec. Frontier Found. v. Personal Audio</i> , LLC, IPR2014-00070, Paper 21 (PTAB Apr. 18, 2014).....	17
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	28
<i>Hill-Rom Servs., Inc. v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 755 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	12
<i>In re Klopfenstein</i> , 380 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	16
<i>L-3 Comm. Holdings, Inc. v. Power Survey, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00832, Paper 9 (PTAB Nov. 14, 2014).....	16
<i>In re Lister</i> , 583 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	17, 21, 22, 23
<i>On-Line Techs., Inc. v. Bodenseewerk Perkin-Elmer GmbH</i> , 386 F.3d 1133 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	12
<i>ServiceNow, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , IPR2015-00707, Paper 12 (PTAB Aug. 26, 2015).....	24

<i>ServiceNow, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , IPR2015-00716, Paper 13 (PTAB Aug. 2, 2015).....	24
<i>Square, Inc. v. Unwired Planet, LLC</i> , CBM2014-00156, Paper 22 (PTAB Feb. 26, 2015).....	1, 18
<i>In re Suitco Surface, Inc.</i> , 603 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	25
<i>Unwired Planet, LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> , 829 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	13
<i>Vivid Techs., Inc. v. Am. Sci. &amp; Eng'g, Inc.</i> , 200 F.3d 795 (Fed. Cir. 1999) .....	11
<b>Statutes</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 102(b) .....	17
35 U.S.C. § 311(b) .....	16
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3).....	28
<b>Other Authorities</b>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) .....	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....	28
37 C.F.R. § 42.107 .....	1
Fed. R. Evid. 801 .....	24

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.