

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

BLACKBERRY LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR 2017-01619
U.S. Patent No. 8,489,868

PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction..... 1

II. Petitioner’s “Additional Evidence” Arguments Are Improper 1

 A. Petitioner’s “Additional Evidence” Arguments Are Not Responsive to Patent Owner’s Argument that the Petition’s Evidence Is Insufficient to Show the Public Accessibility of Gong 1

 B. Petitioner’s Reply Violates the Board’s Supplemental Information Rules 3

 C. The Availability of a Sur-Reply Does Not Cure Patent Owner’s Prejudice 4

III. Conclusion 5

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Apple Inc. v. VirnetX Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00811, Paper 21 (Nov. 2, 2015)	4
<i>Google LLC v. Blackberry Ltd.</i> , IPR2017-00913, Paper 22 (Apr. 24, 2018).....	5
<i>Hughes Network Sys., LLC et al., v. Cal. Inst. of Tech.</i> , IPR2015-00059, Paper 42 (Apr. 21, 2016).....	3
<i>Seabery N. Am. Inc. v. Lincoln Glob., Inc.</i> , IPR2016-00840, Paper 60 (Oct. 2, 2017)	3
<i>Valeo N. Am., Inc. v. MAGNA Elecs. Inc.</i> , IPR2015-01410, Paper 23 (Dec. 22, 2016).....	3
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b)	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(2).....	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.123	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.123(a).....	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.123(b)	4, 5
77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,767 (Aug. 14, 2012)	2

EXHIBIT LIST

No.	Exhibit Description
2001	Declaration of Sharon Lee In Support of Patent Owner BlackBerry Ltd.'s Motion for <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Admission
2002	Declaration of Dr. George Ligler
2003	CV of Dr. George Ligler
2004	Deposition Transcript of Dr. Patrick D. McDaniel (Feb. 21, 2018)
2005	Webster's NewWorld Dictionary (1984)
2006	PTAB Conference Call Transcript (Aug. 9, 2018)

I. Introduction

Petitioner's Reply (Paper 19, "Reply") presents new arguments and eight new exhibits (Ex. 1038-45) in an attempt to cure the Petition's deficiency in showing that Gong was publicly available before the '868 patent's priority date. Such new arguments and evidence are improper and should not be considered.

II. Petitioner's "Additional Evidence" Arguments Are Improper

A. Petitioner's "Additional Evidence" Arguments Are Not Responsive to Patent Owner's Argument that the Petition's Evidence Is Insufficient to Show the Public Accessibility of Gong

In an attempt to show the public accessibility of Gong, the Petition relied on (i) Gong's copyright date (Ex. 1016, iv), (ii) a MARC record from North Carolina State University ("NCSU") (Ex. 1033) and exhibits that purportedly explain what various MARC fields represent (Exs. 1034-36), and (iii) a copy of Gong with a Library of Congress ("LOC") Copyright Office stamp (Ex. 1037, v). Paper 1 ("Pet."), 4. Patent Owner's Response explained that this showing is deficient because (i) the Board has held copyright notices are insufficient to show public accessibility, (ii) the MARC fields the Petition relied on do not establish when Gong was publicly accessible at NCSU, and (iii) there is no evidence that the LOC's date stamp indicates when Gong was publicly accessible. Paper 16 ("POR"), 45-52; *see also* Paper 9, 19-20 (Board noting Petitioner's evidence "does not include a specific date that Gong was indexed or cataloged at either library").

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.