

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

BLACKBERRY LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2017-01619
U.S. Patent No. 8,489,868

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Exhibit List.....	v
I. Introduction.....	1
II. The '868 Patent.....	1
A. Overview of the '868 Patent.....	1
B. Person of Ordinary Skill.....	5
III. Claim Construction.....	5
A. "Signed Software Application" (All Claims).....	6
B. "Determining, at the Mobile Device, Whether the Software Application Is Signed, Wherein a Signed Software Application Includes a Digital Signature Generated Using a Private Key of a Private Key-Public Key Pair" (All Claims)	15
C. "Sensitive API" (All Claims) / "Non-Sensitive API" (Claims 112 and 139).....	16
D. "Abridged Version of a Software Application" (Claim 86)	21
IV. Claims 1, 13, 76, 78, 81, 84, 85, 87, 88, 90-93, 95, 98, 100, 104, 108, 112, 113, 137-39, and 142-44 Are Patentable Over Garst and Gong	22
A. Overview of Garst (Ex. 1012).....	23
B. Overview of Gong (Ex. 1016).....	24
C. Garst Fails to Disclose or Render Obvious a "Signed Software Application" (All Claims)	24
i. Garst Discloses Signing a License String, Not a Software Application.....	24

- ii. Garst’s License Key Fails the Recognized Requirements of a Digital Signature when Applied to the Application Program...28
 - iii. It Would Not Have Been Obvious to Sign the Software Application Using the API Vendor’s Private Key.....33
 - D. Garst and Gong Fail to Disclose a “Sensitive API” (All Claims).....38
 - i. Garst Discloses Licensed APIs, Not “Sensitive APIs”39
 - ii. Petitioner Cannot Rely on its Catchall “Data Security” Argument to Show the Obviousness of a “Sensitive API”41
 - E. The Petition Fails to Show Gong is a Printed Publication.....43
 - i. Petitioner Fails to Show When Gong Was Publicly Accessible45
 - ii. Petitioner Fails to Show Gong Was Sufficiently Indexed49
 - F. Garst Fails to Disclose Allowing Access to Non-Sensitive APIs “Upon Verifying the Digital Signature” (Claim 112).....51
- V. Claims 77, 79, 80, and 82 Are Patentable Over Garst, Gong, and Davis52
- VI. Claim 86 Is Patentable Over Garst, Gong, and Sibert.....55
- VII. Remaining Claims and Grounds.....57
- VIII. Reservation of Rights57
- IX. Conclusion58
- Certificate Of Compliance59
- Certificate Of Service.....60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>ABS Global, Inc. v. Inguran, LLC</i> , IPR2016-00927, Paper 33 (PTAB Oct. 2, 2017).....	46, 47, 49
<i>Apple Inc. v. ContentGuard Holdings, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00443, Paper 9 (PTAB July 9, 2015).....	41
<i>Blue Calypso, LLC v. Groupon, Inc.</i> , 815 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	44, 49
<i>Coastal Indus., Inc. v. Shower Enclosures Am., Inc.</i> , IPR2017-00573, Paper 9 (PTAB July 20, 2017).....	42
<i>In re Cronyn</i> , 890 F.2d 1158 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	49
<i>Elec. Frontier Found. v. Personal Audio</i> , LLC, IPR2014-00070, Paper 21 (PTAB Apr. 18, 2014).....	44
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	42
<i>In re Klopfenstein</i> , 380 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	43
<i>L-3 Comm. Holdings, Inc. v. Power Survey, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00832, Paper 9 (PTAB Nov. 14, 2014).....	43
<i>In re Lister</i> , 583 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	44, 49, 50, 51
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxycorr, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015), <i>overruled on other grounds</i> , <i>Aqua</i> <i>Products, Inc. v. Matal</i> , 872 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2017) (en banc)	5
<i>Oil States Energy Services, LLC v. Greene's Energy Group, LLC</i> , No. 2015-1855, 639 F. App'x 639 (Fed. Cir. May 4, 2016), <i>cert.</i> <i>granted</i> , No. 16-712 (U.S. June 12, 2017)	57

Square,
 CBM2014-00156, Paper 2245, 46

In re Suitco Surface, Inc.,
 603 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2010)39

Vivid Techs., Inc. v. Am. Sci. & Eng’g, Inc.,
 200 F.3d 795 (Fed. Cir. 1999)15

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102(b)44

35 U.S.C. § 311(b)43

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3).....42

35 U.S.C. § 316(e)1

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3)36

37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), I60

37 C.F.R. § 42.2459

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....42

37 C.F.R. § 42.123(a).....45

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.