

The Computer Society is an association of people with professional interest in the field of computers. All members of the IEEE are eligible for membership in the Society upon payment of the annual Society membership fee of \$15.00. Members of certain professional societies and other computer professionals are eligible to be members of the Computer Society. For information on joining write to the IEEE Computer Society, 1730 Massachusetts Avenue N.W., Washington, DC 20036-1903.

IEEE COMPUTER SOCIETY

## **IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON COMPUTERS**

MING T. (MIKE) LIU, Editor-in-Chief Dep. Comput. & Inform. Sci. The Ohio State Univ. 2036 Neil Ave. Mall Columbus, OH 43210-1277

#### **Editorial Board**

EDWARD D. LAZOWSKA Dept. Comp. Sci. Univ. of Washington Seattle, WA 98195

WU-HON LEUNG

AT&T Bell Labs. Naperville, IL 60566 YTZHAK (HAIM) LEVENDEL

AT&T Bell Labs, IX-G304 Naperville, IL 60566

DAVID C. L. LIU Dep. Comput. Sci. Univ. Illinois 1304 West Springfield Ave. Urbana, IL 61801-2987

JANE W. S. LIU Dep. Comput. Sci. Univ. Illinois Urbana Champaign, IL

G. M. MASSON Dep. Comput. Sci. The Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, MD 21218

ROBERT J. T. MORRIS AT&T Bell Labs HO-3M331 Crawfords Corner Rd. Holmdel, NJ 07733

KRISHAN KUMAR SABNANI AT&T Bell Laboratories 600 Mountain Ave. Murray Hill, NJ 07974

JOHN A. STANKOVIC Dep. Comput. & Inform. Sci. Univ. Massachusetts Amherst, MA 01003

H. C. TORNG Schl. Elec. Eng. Cornell Univ. Ithaca, NY 14853

MASAHIRO TSUCHIYA Sypex International P.O. Box 1199 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

JEFFREY S. VITTER Dep. Comput. Sci. Brown Univ. Providence, RI

BRYAN D. ACKLAND AT&T Bell Labs., 4E-508 Holmdel, NJ 07733

P. BRUCE BERRA Dep. Elec. & Comput. Sci. Syracuse Univ. 111 Link Hall Syracuse, NY 13210

JOHN A. DARRINGER IBM Corp. Rt. 100, P.O. Box 100, B-4 Somers, NY 10589

MILOŠ D. ERCEGOVAC Dep. Comput. Sci. Univ. California Los Angeles, CA 90024 VIJAY S. IYENGAR IBM T. J. Watson Res Center P.O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

GREG N. FREDERICKSON

West Lafayette, IN 47907

Dept. of Comput. Sci.

Purdue Univ.

LARRY L. KINNEY Dept. Liec. Eng. Univ. Minneapolis Minneapolis, MN 55414

WALTER H. KOHLER Digital Equipment Corp. 151 Taylor St. MR01-1/A65 Littleton, MA 01460

# THE COMPUTER SOCIETY PUBLICATIONS BOARD

Vice President for Publications Activities: SALLIE V. SHEPPARD Vice Chairman: JAMES J. FARRELL, III Secretary: JAMES CROSS Publications Finance Chairman: SALLIE V. SHEPPARD

Publications Planning Chairman: RICHARD BURKE

Computer: IEEE CG&A: IEEE Micro: IEEE D&T: IEEE Software: IEEE Expert: IEEE TC: IEEE TSE: IEEE TPAMI:

**Editors-in-Chief Advisory Committees** BRUCE D. SHRIVER Computer/Magazine Advisory: SUSHIL JAJODIA JOHN STAUDHAMMER Transactions Advisory: P. BRUCE BERRA Joe Hootman SUMIT DASGUPTA IEEE C.S. Tab Liaison: DAVID CHOY Ted G. Lewis DAVID PESSEL Ming T. Liu Members-at-Large 20. VICTOR R. BASILI J. T. CAIN STEVEN L. TANIMOTO 4 200 GLEN LANGDON C. V. RAMAMOORTHY HAROLD STONE

> Reps. to IEEE Publications Board: JAMES H. AYLOR, ANIL K. JAIN Rep. to IEEE TAB Periodicals Committee: JAMES H. AYLOR

# THE INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, INC.

**1989 Officers** 

HARRY W. MERGLER, Vice President, Educational Activities EDWARD C. BERTNOLLI, Vice President, Professional Activities TIMOTHY N. TRICK, Vice President, Publication Activities MICHAEL J. WHITELAW, Vice President, Regional Activities H. TROY NAGLE, JR., Vice President, Technical Activities

Director, Division V-Computer Roy L. Russo, Director, Division VIII-Computer

#### **Headquarters Staff**

ERIC HERZ, Executive Director and General Manager

THOMAS W. BARTLETT, Controller DONALD CHRISTIANSEN, Editor, IEEE Spectrum IRVING ENGELSON, Staff Director, Technical Activities LEO FANNING, Staff Director, Professional Activities ANDREW SALEM, Staff Director, Standards

EMERSON W. PUGH, President

HUGO RÜCHARDT, Secretary

WALLACE S. READ, Treasurer

CARLETON A. BAYLESS, President Elect

GEORGE F. ABBOTT, Executive Vice President

DAVID L. STAIGER, Staff Director, Publishing Services RUDOLF A. STAMPFL, Staff Director, Educational Services. CHARLES F. STEWART, JR., Staff Director, Administration DONALD L. SUPPERS, Staff Director, Field Services THOMAS C. WHITE, Staff Director, Public Information

### **Publications Department**

Publication Managers: ANN H. BURGMEYER, GAIL S. FERENC, CAROLYNE TAMNEY WALSH Associate Editor: DONNA INCORVAIA

Associate Editor: DONNA INCORVATA IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON COMPUTERS is published monthly by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. Responsibility for the contents rests upon the authors and not upon the IEEE, the Society/Council, or its members. IEEE Headquarters: 345 East 47 Street, New York, NY 10017. NY Telephone: 212-705 + extension: Information -7900; General Manager -7910; Controller -7748; Public Information -7867; Publishing Services -7560; Spectrum -7556; Technical Services -7890. NY Telecopier: 212-752-4929. NY Telex: 236-411 (international messages only). IEEE Service Center (for orders, subscriptions, address changes, Educational Activities, Region/Section/Student Services, Standards): 445 Hoes Lane, P.O. Box 1331, Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331. NJ Telephone: 201-981-0060. IEEE Washington Office (for U.S. professional activities): 1111 19th Street, NW, Suite 608, Washington, DC 20036. Washington Telephone: 202-785-0017. Price/Publication Information: Individual copies: IEEE members \$10.00 (first copy only), nonmembers \$20.00 per copy. (Note: Add \$4.00 postage and handling charge to any order from \$1.00 to \$50.00, including prepaid orders.) Member and nonmember subscription prices available on request. Available in microfiche and microfilm. Copyright and Reprint Permissions: 1 those post-1977 articles that carry a code at the bottom of the first page, provided the per-copy fee indicated in the code is paid through the Copyright Clearance Center, 29 Congress Street, Salem, MA 01970; 2) pre-1978 articles without fee. Instructors are permitted to photocopy isolated articles for noncommerical classroom use without fee. For all other copyright © 1990 by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. All rights reserved. Second-class postage paid at New York, NY, and at additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send address changes to IEEE Transactions on Computers, IEEE, 445 Hoes Lane, P.O. Box 1331, Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331.

IEEE TKDE:

# Editor's Notice

S WE enter the 39th year of publishing the IEEE ATRANSACTIONS ON COMPUTERS (IEEETC), it is appropriate to look both backward and forward. The progress in computer science and engineering during the past decades is indeed very significant. As the oldest archival publication in this field, this TRANSACTIONS has published papers in the forefront of computer research. As the field evolves and matures, several subfields have emerged. In order to meet the needs of researchers in those specialized subfields, the IEEE Computer Society has started publication of the following four spin-off TRANSACTIONS during the past 15 years:

- 1) SOFTWARE ENGINEERING (1975)
- 2) PATTERN ANALYSIS AND MACHINE INTELLIGENCE (1980)
- 3) KNOWLEDGE AND DATA ENGINEERING (1989)
- 4) PARALLEL AND DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS (1990).

As the "father" and "grandfather" of those TRANSACTIONS, we will keep publishing papers that deal not only with the research areas of current importance to the membership of the Society, but also with interdisciplinary areas of emerging concerns. In other words, in addition to publishing regular papers in the traditional areas, we will continue to publish special issues in those areas that may again spin off from this TRANSACTIONS in the future. Accordingly, I am very pleased to announce the following special issues scheduled for 1990 and 1991:

- 1) Fault-Tolerant Computing (April 1990)
- THE UNIVERSITY 2) Computer Arithmetic (August 1990)
- 3) Protocol Engineering (April 1991)
- 4) Neural Networks (December 1991).

The first two areas are well known and this TRANSACTIONSCHERNG already published many special issues in the past.

Protocol engineering is concerned with the design and implementation of computer-communication protocols. It deals with the specification, analysis (validation, verification, and performance), synthesis, conversion, adoptation, coding, and testing of protocols. It is an interdisciplinary area involving computer systems, communication technologies, formal theory, software engineering, and artificial intelligence.

An artificial neural network is a trainable and massively parallel computational structure modeled on biological processes. Neural networks have been applied in many other disciplines including vision, speech recognition, and robotics. Research in this area includes modeling, design of efficient learning algorithms, innovative applications, development of simulation/emulation tools, and advanced implementation technologies.

We currently receive about 40 papers per month, not counting special issues. The backlog situation has improved somewhat due to the 200-page expansion for 1989. Our major delay in review is getting reviewers to respond promptly. If you are requested by an editor to review a paper, please try to get your review back to the editor within one month. If you need more time to complete the review, please advise the editor; otherwise, please return the package immediately.

Finally, I should like to acknowledge Prof. M. H. Adb-El-Barr as a reviewer for the TRANSACTIONS in 1988; his name was OF MICHIGAN July issue of this TRANSACTIONS. inadvertently omitted from the Referee List published in the

24 118AA 2013 XL 5

Ming T. Liu Editor-in-Chief

# Addressing, Routing, and Broadcasting in Hexagonal Mesh Multiprocessors

MING-SYAN CHEN, MEMBER, IEEE, KANG G. SHIN, SENIOR MEMBER, IEEE, AND DILIP D. KANDLUR

Abstract-A family of 6-regular graphs, called hexagonal meshes or H-meshes, is considered as a multiprocessor interconnection network. Processing nodes on the periphery of an H-mesh are first wrapped around to achieve regularity and homogeneity. The diameter of a wrapped H-mesh is shown to be of  $O(p^{1/2})$ , where p is the number of nodes in the H-mesh. An elegant, distributed routing scheme is developed for wrapped Hmeshes so that each node in an H-mesh can compute shortest paths from itself to any other node with a straightforward algorithm of O(1) using the addresses of the source-destination pair only, i.e., independent of the network's size. This is in sharp contrast with those previously known algorithms that rely on using routing tables. Furthermore, we also develop an efficient point-to-point broadcasting algorithm for the H-meshes which is proved to be optimal in the number of required communication steps.

The wrapped H-meshes are compared against some other existing multiprocessor interconnection networks, such as hypercubes, trees, and square meshes. The comparison reinforces the attractiveness of the H-mesh architecture.

Index Terms—Addressing and routing strategies, hexagonal mesh, interconnection networks, node homogeneity and regularity, regular graphs.

# I. INTRODUCTION

ULTIPROCESSOR interconnection networks are often Mrequired to connect thousands of homogeneously replicated processor-memory pairs [1], [2], each of which is called a processing node (PN). Instead of using a shared memory, all synchronization and communication between PN's for program execution is often done via message passing. Design and use of multiprocessor interconnection networks have recently drawn considerable attention due to the availability of inexpensive, powerful microprocessors and memory chips [3]-[6]. The homogeneity of PN's and the interconnection network is very important because it allows for cost/performance benefits from the inexpensive replication of multiprocessor components. (See [7]-[9] for more justifications.) Each PN in the multiprocessor is preferred to have fixed connectivity so that standard VLSI chips can be used. Also, the interconnection network needs to contain a reasonably high degree of redun-

Manuscript received June 15, 1987; revised December 30, 1987. This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research under Contracts N00014-87-G-0086 and N00014-85-K-0122. Any opinions, findings, and recommendations expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the view of the ONR.

M.-S. Chen is with IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598.

K. G. Shin and D. D. Kandlur are with the Real-Time Computing Laboratory, Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109.

IEEE Log Number 8931922.

dancy so that alternative routes can be made available to detour faulty nodes/links. More importantly, the interconnection network must facilitate efficient routing and broadcasting so as to achieve high performance in job executions.

Various research and development results on how to interconnect multiprocessor components have been reported in the literature [10], [11], [9], [12], [13]. Some of them have been compared to each other [3], [14]. However, most of them are not satisfactory mainly due to their inability of providing *all* the following features: the simplicity of interconnection, the efficiency of message routing and broadcasting, a fine scalability,<sup>1</sup> and a high degree of fault tolerance.

In this paper, hexagonal meshes (or H-meshes) are presented as a candidate multiprocessor architecture that possesses all the foregoing salient features. A large number of data manipulation applications require the PN's on the hexagonal periphery to be wrapped around to achieve regularity and homogeneity such that identical software and protocols can be applied uniformly over the network. From a topological point of view, the way of wrapping determines the type of H-mesh. For example, Martin proposed a general method for forming a "boundary-less" topology for a large, dense, and regular arrangement of processor and memory modules on a two-dimensional surface, called a processing surface [15]. He showed specifically how to form such a topology on a square mesh and implied, without any elaboration, that a similar approach could be applied to different processing surfaces. Stevens illustrated an interesting way of wrapping H-meshes of size less than or equal to three, where the size of an H-mesh is defined as the number of nodes on each peripheral edge of the H-mesh [9]. His method for addressing, message routing, and broadcasting in H-meshes, however, is very complex and inefficient.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no general efficient method for wrapping, routing, and broadcasting in *H*-meshes known to date. Consequently, in this paper, a method for systematically wrapping *H*-meshes of arbitrary size is presented, and a new, simple addressing scheme for the *H*-meshes is proposed. Then, efficient routing and broadcasting algorithms under the new addressing scheme are developed. As we shall see, the proposed addressing scheme not only achieves the homogeneity of PN's but also facilitates routing and broadcasting in the *H*-meshes significantly.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section

<sup>1</sup>Scalability is measured in terms of the number of PN's necessary to increase the network's dimension by one.



Fig. 1. A regular nonhomogeneous graph.



Fig. 2. An H-mesh of size 3 without wrapping.

II, a systematic way to wrap the *H*-mesh, called the *continuous type* (*C*-*type*) wrapping, is presented as a means of achieving the regularity and the homogeneity of PN's. Topological properties of *H*-meshes are explored in Section III. In light of these properties, an addressing scheme for a *C*type wrapped *H*-mesh is proposed in Section IV. With that addressing scheme, efficient routing and broadcasting algorithms are then developed. In Section V, we compare the *C*type wrapped *H*-meshes with some other existing multiprocessor structures, such as hypercubes, trees, and square meshes. The paper concludes with Section VI.

# II. SYSTEMATIC WRAPPING OF H-MESHES

A graph is said to be *regular* if all the nodes in the graph have the same degree, and *homogeneous* if all the nodes in that graph are topologically identical. Clearly, homogeneity implies regularity, but the converse does not always hold. For example, the graph in Fig. 1 is regular, but not homogeneous since node x and node y are not topologically identical. The degree of all nodes in an *H*-mesh without wrapping, except those on its periphery, is 6. Thus, such an *H*-mesh is neither homogeneous nor regular. Fig. 2 illustrates an unwrapped *H*mesh of size 3.

Consider the central node of the *H*-mesh in Fig. 3. The node has six oriented directions, each of which leads to one of its six nearest neighbors. Without loss of generality, any of the six directions can be defined as the x direction, the direction 60 degrees clockwise to the x direction as the y direction, and then the direction 60 degrees clockwise to the x, y, and z directions are defined, an *H*-mesh of size n can be partitioned into 2n-1 rows with respect to any of these three directions; there are three different ways of partitioning the rows of an *H*-mesh. Fig. 3 shows the rows in an *H*-mesh of size 3 which are partitioned with respect to the x, y, and z directions, respectively. To facilitate our presentation, when an *H*-mesh of size n is partitioned into 2n - 1 rows with respect to any of the set of size 3 which are partitioned with respect to the x, y, and z directions, respectively.



Fig. 3. Partitioned rows of an  $H_3$  in x, y, and z directions.

directions, and the *H*-mesh is rotated in such a way that the corresponding direction from the central node points to the right, the top row is referred to as row 0 in that direction, the second to the top row is referred to as row 1, and so on. Also, row n - 1 is called the *central* row and rows 0 to n - 2 and rows n to 2n - 2 will sometimes be referred to as the *upper* and *lower* parts of an *H*-mesh of size n, respectively. (Subscripts for the row numbers in Fig. 3 are used to indicate their directions.)

For notational simplicity, let  $[b]_a \equiv b \mod a$ , for all  $a \in I^+$ and  $b \in I$ , where I is the set of integers and  $I^+$  the set of positive integers. To make the H-mesh homogeneous and regular, the following method for wrapping an H-mesh continuously, called the *C*-type wrapping, is proposed.

*C-type wrapping:* Wrap an *H*-mesh of size *n* in such a way that for each of the three ways of partitioning the PN's into rows, the last PN in the row *i* is connected to the first PN of row  $[i + n - 1]_{2n-1}$ .

As will be stated later in Corollary 1.1, the PN's in an H-mesh with the C-type wrapping are homogeneous. Furthermore, the C-type wrapping allows for an easy addressing scheme and, thus, simplifies the routing and broadcasting in an H-mesh significantly. In what follows, an H-mesh of size n with the C-type wrapping is denoted by  $H_n$ , while that without wrapping is denoted by  $H'_n$ .

The edges in the rows partitioned with respect to the x (y or z) direction and the associated wrapping are called the *edges in the* x (y or z) *direction*. An illustrative example of partitioning edges in an  $H_3$  into three different directions is given in Fig. 4, where the edges in each direction are drawn separately for clarity. From Figs. 3 and 4, it can be observed that there is a unique path from one node to another in an  $H_n$  along each of the three directions.

III. TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF HEXAGONAL MESHES

The following two lemmas are direct results of the structure of an *H*-mesh.

Lemma 1: The number of nodes in an  $H_n$  is  $p = 3n^2 - 3n + 1$ .



Fig. 4. An  $H_3$  with the C-type wrapping. (a) Edges in the x-direction. (b) Edges in the y-direction. (c) Edges in the z- direction.

**Proof:** Since there are always n+i nodes in row *i* as well as in row 2n-i-2 for  $0 \le i \le n-2$ , and 2n-1 nodes in row n-1, the desired result follows from  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} 2(n+i)+2n-1 =$  $2n(n-1)+(n-1)(n-2)+2n-1 = 3n^2-3n+1$ . Q.E.D. Lemma 2: The sum of the number of nodes in row *i* and that of row i + n is 3n - 2 for  $0 \le i \le n - 2$ .

The proof of Lemma 2 is trivial and, thus, omitted.

To exploit the topological properties of an  $H_n$ , each node is labeled with a 3-tuple as follows. Start from the central node of the  $H_n$  and label that node with (0, 0, 0), where the first coordinate of a node is referred to as its x labeling and IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON COMPUTERS, VOL. 39, NO. 1, JANUARY 1990



the second and third coordinates are referred to as its y and z labelings, respectively. Then, move to the next node along the x direction, assign that node the x labeling one more than that of its preceding node, and so on. The y and z labelings for each node are determined by moving along the y and z directions, respectively, instead of the x direction. An example of the 3-tuple labeling of an  $H_3$  is given in Fig. 5, where the edges are not drawn for clarity.

Theorem 1: Let  $(x_1, y_1, z_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2, z_2)$  be, respectively, the labelings of nodes  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  in an  $H_n$  and  $p = 3n^2 - 3n + 1$ . Then,

a)  $[x_2 - x_1]_p = [(3n^2 - 6n + 3)(y_2 - y_1)]_p$ .

b)  $[x_2 - x_1]_p = [(3n^2 - 6n + 2)(z_2 - z_1)]_p$ .

**Proof:** For any pair of adjacent nodes  $n_u$  and  $n_v$  in the y-direction, respectively, with the labelings  $(x_u, y, z_u)$  and  $(x_v, [y+1]_p, z_v)$ , we want to claim  $[x_v - x_u]_p = 3n^2 - 6n + 3$ . We shall prove this claim first and then a) follows immediately from the claim.

Consider a path  $P^*$  from  $n_u$  to  $n_v$  following the +x direction only. (As can be seen in Fig. 4(a), such a path is unique.) Suppose  $n_u$  is in row  $r_u$  according to the row partition with respect to the x direction. Recall that the C-type wrapping requires the end of a row to be connected to the beginning of a row that is n - 1 rows away from it. Since  $[(n-1)(2n-3)]_{2n-1} = 1$ ,  $P^*$  must run through nodes in 2n-2 different rows to get from  $n_u$  to  $n_v$ —which are adjacent in the y direction—including the rows that contain  $n_u$  and  $n_v$ . In other words, the path  $P^*$  must visit all but

1) those nodes ahead of  $n_u$  in row  $r_u$ ,

2) those nodes behind  $n_v$  in row  $[r_u + 1]_{2n-1}$ , and

3) those nodes in row  $[r_u + n]_{2n-1}$  (the only row that  $P^*$  does not travel).

Note that if  $n_u$  is in the upper part or central row of an  $H_n$ , the total number of nodes in 1) and 2) will be one less than the number of nodes in row  $r_u$ . On the other hand, if  $n_u$  is in the lower part of the  $H_n$ , the total number of nodes in 1) and 2) will be one less than the number of nodes in row  $[r_u + 1]_{2n-1}$ . By Lemma 2, we conclude that for both cases, the total number of nodes in 1), 2), and 3) is 3n - 3, i.e., one less than 3n - 2. Thus, the number of nodes on  $P^*$  is  $(3n^2 - 3n + 1) - (3n - 3) = 3n^2 - 6n + 4$ . Since both  $n_u$ and  $n_v$  are contained in  $P^*$ , the claim is thus proved and a) follows.

For b), we claim that for any pair of adjacent nodes  $n_u$  and  $n_v$  in the z direction labeled, respectively, with  $(x_u, y_u, z)$  and  $(x_v, y_v, [z+1]_p)$ ,  $[x_v - x_u]_p = 3n^2 - 6n + 2$ . This claim can be proved by following the same logic as above and, thus, b) can be proved similarly. Q.E.D.



Note that  $(3n^2 - 3n + 1) - (3n^2 - 6n + 2) = 3n - 1$ ,  $(3n^2 - 3n + 1) - (3n^2 - 6n + 3) = 3n - 2$ . In light of Theorem 1, an  $H_n$  can be redrawn as a power cycle with  $p = 3n^2 - 3n + 1$  nodes, in which node *i* is not only adjacent to nodes  $[i - 1]_p$  and  $[i + 1]_p$ , but also adjacent to nodes  $[i + 3n - 1]_p$ ,  $[i + 3n - 2]_p$ ,  $[i + 3n^2 - 6n + 2]_p$ , and  $[i + 3n^2 - 6n + 3]_p$ . For example, an  $H_3$  can be redrawn as the one in Fig. 6. This fact leads to the following corollary.

Corollary 1.1: All the processing nodes in an  $H_n$  are homogeneous.

Note that, although extensive results have been obtained for many classes of networks [16]-[19], the connection pattern in an *H*-mesh does not belong to any of the previously found patterns.

Lemma 3:

a) The number of links in an  $H'_n$  is  $9n^2 - 15n + 6$ .

b) The number of links in an  $H_n$  is  $9n^2 - 9n + 3$ .

**Proof:** We prove b) first. From the fact that the summation of all node degrees in a graph is twice the number of edges in that graph, we have  $6(3n^2 - 3n + 1)/2 = 9n^2 - 9n + 3$ .

Since there are 6(n-1) nodes in the periphery of an  $H'_n$ , six of which have degree 3 and 6n-12 of which have degree 4, we have the summation of all node degrees in an  $H'_n$ :  $6(3n^2-3n+1-6n+6)+6\times3+(6n-12)4=18n^2-30n+12$ , making the number of links in an  $H'_n$  equal to  $9n^2-15n+6$ . Q.E.D.

Lemma 4:

- a) The diameter of an  $H_n$  is n-1.
- b) The average distance in an  $H_n$  is (2n-1)/3.

**Proof:** a) follows from the fact that, without loss of generality, every node in a wrapped H-mesh can view itself as the central node of the mesh. To prove b), let  $td(H_n)$  denote the summation of distances from any node to all the other nodes in an  $H_n$ . Then,

$$td(H_n) = \sum_{d=1}^{n-1} 6d^2 = 6\frac{n(n-1)(2n-1)}{6} = n(n-1)(2n-1).$$

The average distance is thus  $td(H_n)/(p-1) = (2n-1)/3$ . Q.E.D.

Moreover, Lemma 1 and a) of Lemma 4 lead to the following lemma.



Fig. 7. An illustrative example of Theorem 2. (a) An  $H_4$ . (b) An  $H_{4(11)}$ .

Lemma 5: The diameter of an H-mesh with p nodes grows as  $O(p^{1/2})$ .

In addition, it is worth mentioning that *H*-meshes possess a high degree of fault tolerance measured in terms of *connectivity*. Recall that a graph is said to be *m*-connected (*medge connected*) if *m* is the minimal number of nodes (edges) whose removal will disconnect the graph [5]. It can be easily verified that an *H*-mesh of any size is 6-connected and also 6-edge connected. This means that an *H*-mesh can tolerate up to five node/link failures at a time, which is better than most of the other existing networks [14], [20].

### IV. ROUTING AND BROADCASTING IN H-MESHES

In this section, a simple addressing scheme for H-meshes is developed on the basis of the C-type wrapping. Under this addressing scheme, shortest paths from one node to any other node can be computed by the source node with an extremely simple algorithm of O(1). A point-to-point broadcasting algorithm is also developed, which is proved to be optimal in the number of required communication steps.

# A. Addressing Scheme

Using Theorem 1, the y and z labels of any node can be obtained from its x label, meaning that only one (instead of three) label will suffice to uniquely identify any node in an Hmesh. An example of this addressing for an  $H_4$  is given in Fig. 7(a) where all edges are omitted for clarity. This addressing scheme is much simpler than the one in [9], since only one number, instead of two, is needed to identify each node in an  $H_n$ . Furthermore, the routing strategy under this addressing scheme will be shown to be far more efficient than the one in [9], especially when messages must be routed via wrapped links.

# B. Routing

Under the above addressing scheme, a shortest path between any two nodes can be easily determined from the difference of their addresses.

Let  $m_x$ ,  $m_y$ , and  $m_z$  be, respectively, the number of moves or hops from the source node to the destination node along the x, y, and z directions on a shortest path. Negative values mean the moves are in opposite directions. Note that there could be several equally short paths from a node to another, and these shortest paths are completely specified by the values of  $m_x$ ,  $m_y$ , and  $m_z$ . More precisely, it can be verified that for i =x, y, z, the number of paths with  $m_i$  moves in the corresponding directions is  $(|m_x| + |m_y| + |m_z|)!/(|m_x|!|m_y|!|m_z|!)$ . Let s and d be, respectively, the addresses of the source and destination nodes, and  $k = [d - s]_p$  where  $p = 3n^2 - 3n + 1$ . Then, the  $m_x$ ,  $m_y$ , and  $m_z$  for shortest paths from s to d can be determined by the algorithm given below.

# Algorithm $A_1$ :

begin  $m_x := 0; m_y := 0; m_z := 0;$ if (k < n) then begin  $m_x := k$ ; stop end; if  $(k > 3n^2 - 4n + 1)$  then begin  $m_x := k - 3n^2 + 3n - 1$ ; stop end;  $r := (k - n) \operatorname{div} (3n - 2);$  $t := (k - n) \mod (3n - 2);$ if  $(t \leq n+r-1)$ then /\* d is in the lower part of the H-mesh centered at S. \*/ if  $(t \leq r)$ then  $m_x := t - r; m_z := n - r - 1;$ else if  $(t \ge n - 1)$  then  $m_x := t - n + 1; m_y :=$ n - r - 1;else  $m_v := t - r; \quad m_z := n - t - 1;$ endif; endif; else /\* d is in the upper part of the H-mesh centered at s. \*/ if  $(t \leq 2n-2)$ then  $m_x := t + 2 - 2n; m_y := -r - 1;$ else if  $(t \ge 2n + r - 1)$  then  $m_x := t - 2n - r + 1$ ;  $m_{z} := -r - 1;$ else  $m_y := t + 1 - 2n - r; m_z := 2n - t - 2;$ 

# endif;

endif;

# endif;

stop

# end;

The correctness of  $A_1$  is proved by the theorem below.

Theorem 2: The values of  $m_x$ ,  $m_y$ , and  $m_z$  determined by  $A_1$  completely specify all the shortest paths from s to d in an  $H_n$ .

**Proof:** By Theorem 1, without loss of generality the source node can view itself as the central node of the  $H_n$ . Let  $H_{n(s)}$  be the H-mesh centered at s. For the case when d is

in the central row (i.e., row n-1) of  $H_{n(s)}$ ,  $m_x$  is determined by the statements in lines 2 and 3 of  $A_1$ , and  $m_y = m_z = 0$ .

Consider the case when d is in a row other than row n-1 of  $H_{n(s)}$ . Form a group of nodes with the nodes of rows n-i-2 and 2n-i-2; call this group i. Then, in Fig. 3, rows 1 and 4 form group 0, and rows 0 and 3 form group 1. A group consists of two rows, one from the upper part and the other from the lower part of  $H_{n(s)}$ . We know from Lemma 2 that each group contains 3n-2 nodes. Then, by the statements in lines 5 and 6 of  $A_1$ , r is determined as the identity of the group which contains d in  $H_{n(s)}$ , and t is the position of d in group r. We can determine from t which row of  $H_{n(s)}$  contains d. Denote the first node of that row by  $n_f$ . A shortest path from s to  $n_f$  and that from  $n_f$  to d can thus be determined. Using the idea of the composition of vectors, we get the desired equations for  $m_x$ ,  $m_y$ , and  $m_z$ . Q.E.D.

An illustrative example for routing in an  $H_4$  is given in Fig. 7 where edges are omitted for clarity. Suppose node 11 sends a message to node 5, i.e., n = 4, s = 11, d = 5, and  $k = [5-11]_{37} = 31$ . The original  $H_4$  is given in Fig. 7(a) and  $H_{4(11)}$  is in Fig. 7(b), where node 11 is placed at the center of the  $H_4$ . (As mentioned before, this can be done without loss of generality.) From  $A_1$ , we get r = 2, t = 7 and then  $m_x = 0$ ,  $m_y = -2$  and  $m_z = -1$ . Note that the route from node 11 to node 5 is isomorphic to that from node 0 to node 31. This is not a coincidence, but rather, a consequence of the homogeneity of  $H_4$ . All paths from one node to another in an  $H_n$  are completely determined by the difference in their addresses.

Moreover, the complexity of  $A_1$  is O(1), which is independent of the size of *H*-mesh, and only the source node needs to execute the algorithm. Once  $m_x$ ,  $m_y$ , and  $m_z$  are determined, they form a routing record to be sent along with a regular message. The routing in an  $H_n$  is then characterized by the following six routing functions:

$$R_{m_x-1}(i) = [i+1]_p$$

$$R_{m_x+1}(i) = [i-1]_p$$

$$R_{m_y-1}(i) = [i+3n^2 - 6n + 3]_p$$

$$R_{m_y+1}(i) = [i+3n-2]_p$$

$$R_{m_z-1}(i) = [i+3n^2 - 6n + 2]_p$$

$$R_{m_z+1}(i) = [i+3n - 1]_p$$

where, as before,  $p = 3n^2 - 3n + 1$  is the total number of nodes in an  $H_n$ .

The routing record is updated by the above six functions at each intermediate node on the way to the destination node so that the current routing record may contain the correct information for the remaining part of the path. The above functions are applied repeatedly until  $m_x = m_y = m_z = 0$ , meaning that the message has reached the destination mode.

# C. Point-to-Point Broadcasting

Applications in various domains require an efficient method for broadcasting messages to every node in an *H*-mesh. Due



Fig. 8. First phase of the broadcasting algorithm.

to interconnection costs, it is very common to use point-topoint communications for broadcasting. In this subsection, we present a broadcasting algorithm using point-to-point communications. Furthermore, we shall prove that this algorithm is optimal in the number of required communication steps. We then apply this algorithm in developing a systematic procedure for computing the sum of numbers distributed across the nodes of an *H*-mesh.

Without loss of generality, we can assume the center node to be the source node of the broadcast. The set of nodes which have the same distance from the source node is called a *ring*. The main idea of our algorithm is to broadcast a message, ring by ring, toward the periphery of an *H*-mesh. The algorithm consists of two phases. In the first phase, which takes three steps, the message is transmitted to the six nearest neighbors of the origin. As shown in Fig. 8, node *a* sends the message along the +x direction to node *b* in step 1, nodes *a* and *b* send messages along the *z* direction to nodes *c* and *d*, respectively, in step 2, and then, nodes *a*, *b*, and *c* send messages along the -y direction to nodes *e*, *f*, and *g*, respectively, in step 3. At the end of this phase, nodes *b*, *c*, *d*, *e*, *f*, and *g* are assigned the directions *x*, *z*, *y*, -y, -z, and -x, respectively, as the propagation direction.

Note that there are six corner nodes in each ring. In the second phase, which takes n-1 steps, the six corner nodes of each ring send the message to two neighboring nodes, respectively, while all the other nodes propagate the message to the next node along the direction in which the message was previously sent. An illustrative example for the broadcasting in an  $H_4$  is given in Fig. 9. A formal description of this procedure is given in algorithm  $A_2$  below, where the five arguments in the send and receive commands denote, respectively, the message to be broadcast, the direction from which the message is received, the direction to propagate the message, a flag indicating whether it is a corner node or not, and the count of communication steps. Also, the function rotate is used for each corner node to determine the direction of the second transmission, in which the direction is rotated clockwise. For example,  $y = rotate(x, +60^{\circ}), z = rotate(y, +60^{\circ})$  $+60^{\circ}$ ), and  $-x = rotate(z, +60^{\circ})$ .

Algorithm A<sub>2</sub>:

Procedure for the source node: begin

send(message, x, x, TRUE, 1); send(message, z, z, TRUE, 2); send(message, -y, -y, TRUE, 3); end  $f_{i}$   $f_{i$ 

Procedure for all nodes except the source node: begin

```
receive(message, from-dirn, propagate-dirn, corner, count); case count of
```

1: begin
 send(message, z, y, TRUE, 2);
 send(message, - y, -z, TRUE, 3);
 count := 3;
end
2: begin
 if (from-dirn = z) then send(message, -y, -x,
 TRUE, 3);
 count := 3;
end

n+2: stop /\* test for termination\*/

else: /\* do nothing\*/

endcase

/\* steps of the second phase \*/

if (corner) and (count  $\leq n + 1$ ) then

begin

direction-2 := rotate (propagate-dirn, +60°); send(message, propagate-dirn, propagate-dirn, TRUE, count + 1); send(message, direction-2, direction- 2, FALSE, count + 2);

end

else send(message, propagate-dirn, propagate-dirn, FALSE, count + 1);

end.

Note that the second phase is required only when n > 2. Thus, the total number of communication steps is n+2 when  $n \ge 3$ , and 3 when n = 2. Furthermore, we prove by the following theorem that  $A_2$  is an optimal broadcasting algorithm in the number of required communication steps.

Theorem 3: Any broadcast algorithm for the hexagonal mesh  $H_n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , which uses point-to-point communication requires at least n + 2 communication steps.

Fig. 10. Six possible patterns after two communication steps.

**Proof:** We first prove the result for H-meshes of size 3 and 4. An  $H_3$  has 19 nodes and so, even if recursive doubling were used for each step of broadcasting, at least five steps are required to cover all the nodes. A similar argument applies for an  $H_4$  which has 37 nodes, i.e., at least six steps are needed for the  $H_4$ . For larger values of n, we show that it is not possible to cover all nodes in an  $H_n$  in n + 1 steps.

To show this, we examine all possible patterns of nodes which can be reached using two communication steps. In two steps, only four nodes can be reached and when the duplicate patterns arising due to various symmetries are removed, we get only the six unique patterns shown in Fig. 10. These patterns can each be mapped onto the nodes on the periphery of an  $H_n$ , and in each case we can find at least five nodes which are n-1 links away from each of the four mapped nodes. Note that, at most four nodes of these five can be reached in n-1steps from the original four nodes since only point-to-point communication is permitted. Hence, there is at least one node which cannot be reached in n + 1 steps. Therefore, at least n+2 steps are required. Fig. 11 shows the mapping of the six possible patterns into an  $H_5$ , but it is clear from the figure that a similar mapping would apply for all larger meshes, and the theorem thus follows. Q.E.D.

To see an application of the broadcasting algorithm, consider the problem of computing the sum of numbers distributed across the nodes in the *H*-mesh. Note that this problem is important, since it occurs frequently in many applications such as the computation of an inner product of two vectors. The global sum can be obtained by first computing the inner product within each node for the segments of the vectors in the node and then summing these partial sums up. Typically, for vector operations, the inner product is required by all nodes. In light of the broadcasting algorithm, the procedure of obtaining the global sum can be accomplished systematically by 2n + 1 communication steps as described below. IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON COMPUTERS, VOL. 39, NO. 1, JANUARY 1990



Fig. 11. Embedding in an  $H_5$  of the six patterns in Fig. 10.

The procedure can be divided into two phases. In the first phase, the partial sums are transmitted toward a center node, while each node along the path computes the sum of all incoming partial sums and its own number, and then transmits the resulting partial sum inwards. An illustrative example of the first phase in an  $H_4$  is shown in Fig. 12. It is easy to see that the first phase requires n - 1 communication steps in an  $H_n$ . In the second phase, the center node, after adding the six incoming partial sums with its own number, uses pointto-point broadcasting to distribute the sum to all nodes. Since we need n + 2 steps in the second phase, the total number of required communication steps for obtaining the global sum is 2n + 1.

# V. COMPARATIVE REMARKS ON H-MESHES

It is essential that messages in a large multiprocessor network be routed by each intermediate PN without using information about the entire network, since large storage and time overheads are required for maintaining such global information. As mentioned earlier, the *C*-type wrapping of an *H*-mesh not only provides regularity and homogeneity to the interconnection network but also allows for a very simple addressing scheme in the *H*-mesh, completely specifying all shortest paths from one node to any other node only with the ad-



Fig. 12. First phase of the global sum algorithm in an  $H_4$ .

TABLE I COMPARISONS AMONG VARIOUS MULTIPROCESSOR ARCHITECTURES

Committee	JOIND I MILOING		o macourn	noenoon		
parameters	node number	link number	diameter	node degree	connectivity	message density <sup>†</sup>
Complete graphs	р	p(p-1)/2	1	p - 1	р	O(1/p)
Hypercubes, Q <sub>n</sub>	$p = 2^n$	n2 <sup>n-1</sup>	$O(\log_2 p)$	log <sub>2</sub> p	log <sub>2</sub> p	<i>O</i> (1)
k-level CBTs <sup>††</sup>	$p = 2^{k} - 1$	p - 1	<i>O</i> (log <sub>2</sub> p)	root: 2 leaves: 1 others: 3	1	O(log <sub>2</sub> p)
Stars	р	p - 1	2	center: p-1 branches: 1	1	center: p branches: 2
Cycles	р	р	<i>O</i> (p)	2	2	<i>O</i> (p)
Chordal rings	р	3p/2	O(p <sup>1/2</sup> )	3	3	O(p <sup>1/2</sup> )
Square w×w	$p = w^2$	2p	<i>O</i> (p <sup>1/2</sup> )	4	4	<i>O</i> (p <sup>1/2</sup> )
H-meshes, H <sub>n</sub>	$p = 3n^2 - 3n + 1$	3p	O(p <sup>1/2</sup> )	6	6	O(p <sup>1/2</sup> )

<sup>†</sup>Message density is measured in messages per link per time interval under the assumption that each node sends a message to every other node within one time interval.

<sup>TT</sup>CBT stands for a complete binary tree.

dresses of the source-destination pair. Shortest paths can then be computed by the source node with an elegant algorithm of complexity O(1). This algorithm is better than those commonly used for hypercube multiprocessors that rely on an address comparison procedure [21], and those using routing tables of complexity O(p), where p is the number of nodes in the system. Besides, in light of homogeneity, a systematic broadcasting algorithm, which has been proved to be optimal in the number of communication steps, is also available.

Several important features of various architectures are compared to those of *H*-meshes and tabulated in Table I, where connectivity is defined as the minimal number of nodes whose removal will disconnect the network and the *O*-notation is used to denote the complexity as a function of the network size. Although complete graphs and stars have constant diameters [22], they are not attractive because of the excessive number of connections required for complete graphs and the poor reliability of stars. On the other hand, the diameter of a hypercube is allowed to grow as  $O(\log_2 p)$  at the cost of increasing the node degree, which could cause a serious wiring problem with the expansion of the network (i.e., fan-in and fan-out problems) and may make it difficult to implement with standard VLSI chips. This is viewed as a major drawback of the hypercube structure [1].

Consider the cases when both the hypercube and hexagonal mesh architectures have approximately the same number of nodes. A seven-dimensional hypercube, denoted by  $Q_7$ , has 128 nodes, one more than that of an  $H_7$ . However, the node degree of a  $Q_7$  is 7 and that of an  $H_7$  is 6, while the diameter of an  $H_7$  is 6, one less than that of a  $Q_7$ ; that is, an  $H_7$  can be favorably compared to a  $Q_7$ . It is interesting, however, to see that the diameter of a  $Q_{10}$  (a ten-dimensional hypercube) with 1024 nodes is 10, whereas that of an  $H_{19}$  with 1027 nodes is 18. This results from the fact that the node degree of hypercubes grows as  $O(\log_2 p)$  while that of H-meshes remains constant (6), implying the existence of a tradeoff between the node degree and the communication diameter. Moreover, Hmeshes have a finer scalability than hypercubes, i.e.,  $O(n^2)$ for H-meshes and  $O(2^n)$  for hypercubes.

The complete binary tree structure offers the advantages of a constant node degree and an  $O(\log_2 p)$  diameter. However, a tree is vulnerable to single link/node failures and suffers from the serious congestion of messages around its root [23]. These problems can be alleviated somewhat by adding additional links between leaf nodes. But the addition of links makes the optimal (shortest path) routing of messages very difficult and complicated [24]. Note that, although some other architectures such as chordal rings and square meshes [11], [12] also have a diameter of  $O(p^{1/2})$  while their node degrees are less than that of an  $H_n$ , their lower connectivities will naturally lower the degree of fault tolerance. This is undesirable, especially when applications require the multiprocessor system to have a reasonably high degree of fault tolerance.

In general, an architecture strong in one aspect may be weak in the others; that is, no single architecture provides every desired feature. There are several types of architectures known to be suitable for interconnecting a large number of PN's, and *H*-meshes are one of them with many desirable features. Specifically, we showed that in view of their homogeneity, routing and broadcasting, fault tolerance, and implementability, *H*-meshes with the *C*-type wrapping are an attractive candidate architecture for interconnecting a large number of PN's.

# VI. CONCLUSION

A systematic method for continuously wrapping H-meshes, called the C-type wrapping, is presented first. The C-type wrapping is then used to develop a simple addressing scheme, and efficient algorithms for routing and broadcasting for Hmeshes. An  $H_3$ , called the HARTS (hexagonal architecture for real-time systems), is currently being built at the Real-Time Computing Laboratory, The University of Michigan. Each node consists of one to four M68020 processors configured as a shared memory multiprocessor. The nodes in HARTS are interconnected via custom-designed network processors, each of which is responsible for routing and buffering messages. The main objective of HARTS is to investigate various low-level architectural and fault-tolerant issues for critical real-time applications.

There are many interesting problems to be pursued for the H-mesh architecture, such as fault-tolerant routing in an  $H_n$ , embedding of interacting task modules into an  $H_n$ , and the application of the H-mesh to solve or reduce the complexity of some difficult problems. These topics are all closely related to the C-type wrapping and its associated routing and broadcasting algorithms and will be addressed in our future papers.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank J. Dolter and P. Ramanathan at the RTCL for introducing us to the routing problem in *H*-meshes and their subsequent comments on an earlier draft of this paper. The authors are also indebted to A. van Tilborg, P. Hwang, and C. Holland at the Office of Naval Research for their encouragement and financial support.

### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Hwang and J. Ghosh, "Hypernet: A communication-efficient architecture for constructing massively parallel computers," *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, vol. C-36, no. 12, pp. 1450–1466, Dec. 1987.
- [2] W. D. Hillis, *The Connection Machine*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1985.
- [3] T. Y. Feng, "A survey of interconnection networks," *IEEE Comput.* Mag., pp. 12–27, Dec. 1981.
- [4] C. Wu and T. Y. Feng, "On a class of multistage interconnection networks," *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, vol. C-29, no. 8, pp. 694–702, Aug. 1980.
- [5] R. S. Wilkov, "Analysis and design of reliable computer networks," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. COM-20, no. 6, pp. 660–678, June 1972.
- [6] G. A. Anderson and E. D. Jensen, "Computer interconnection networks: Taxonomy, characteristics and examples," *ACM Comput. Surveys*, vol. 7, pp. 197–213, Dec. 1975.
- [7] W. A. Wulf, "HYDRA: The kernel of a multiprocessor operating system," *Commun. ACM*, vol. 17, June 1974.
- [8] D. Mills, "An overview of the distributed computer network," in *Proc. Nat. Comput. Conf.*, vol. 45, 1976, pp. 523–531.
- [9] K. S. Stevens, S. V. Robison, and A. L. Davis, "The Post Office-Communication support for distributed ensemble architectures," in *Proc. Six Int. Conf. Distrib. Comput. Syst.*, 1986, pp. 160-166.
- [10] C. L. Seitz, "The Cosmic Cube," Commun. ACM, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 22–33, Jan. 1985.
- [11] T. Y. Feng, "Data manipulation functions in parallel processors and their implementations," *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, vol. C-23, no. 3, pp. 309–318, Mar. 1974.
- B. W. Arden and H. Lee, "Analysis of chordal ring network," *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, vol. C-30, no. 4, pp. 291–294, Apr. 1981.
  R. A. Finkel and M. H. Solomon, "Processor interconnection strate-
- [13] R. A. Finkel and M. H. Solomon, "Processor interconnection strategies," *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, vol. C-29, no. 5, pp. 360–370, May 1980.
- [14] L. D. Wittie, "Communication structures for large networks of microcomputers," *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, vol. C-30, no. 4, pp. 264–273, Apr. 1981.
- [15] A. J. Martin, "The Torus: An exercise in constructing a processing surface," in *Proc. 2nd Caltech Conf. VLSI*, 1981, pp. 527–537.
- [16] M. Watkins, "Connectivity of transitive graphs," J. Combinatorial Theory, vol. 8, pp. 23–29, 1970.
- [17] J. Turner, "Point-symmetric graphs with a prime number of points," J. Combinatorial Theory, vol. 3, pp. 136–145, 1967.
  [18] S. L. Hakimi and I. T. Frisch, "An algorithm for construction of the
- [18] S. L. Hakimi and I. T. Frisch, "An algorithm for construction of the least vulnerable communication network or the graph with the maximum connectivity," *IEEE Trans. Circuit Theory*, vol. CT-16, no. 5, pp. 229–230, May 1969.
- [19] F. T. Boesch and R. E. Thomas, "On graphs of invulnerable communication nets," *IEEE Trans. Circuit Theory*, vol. CT-17, no. 5, pp. 183–191, May 1970.

- [20] R. Halin, "A theorem on N-connected graphs," J. Combinatorial Theory, vol. 7, pp. 150–154, 1969.
- [21] C. T. Ho and S. L. Johnsson, "Distributed routing algorithms for broadcasting and personalized communication in hypercubes," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Parallel Processing*, Aug. 1986, pp. 640–648.
- [22] F. Harary, Graph Theory. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1969.
- [23] E. Horowitz and A. Zorat, "The binary tree as an interconnection network: Applications to multiprocessor systems and VLSI," *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, vol. C-30, no. 4, pp. 247-253, Apr. 1981.
- [24] J. R. Goodman and C. H. Sequin, "Hypertree: A multiprocessor interconnection topology," *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, vol. C-30, no. 12, pp. 923–933, Dec. 1981.



Ming-Syan Chen (S'87–M'88) was born in Taipei, Taiwan, Republic of China. He received the B.S. degree in electrical engineering from the National Taiwan University in 1982, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in computer, information, and control engineering from The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, in 1985 and 1988, respectively.

From 1982 to 1984, he served his military service in Taiwan as an electronics instructor. In Fall 1984, he went to The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, where he was a research assistant in Real

Time Computing Laboratory of EECS Department, and completed his graduate studies. Since fall 1988, he has been with IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, NY, as a research staff member. His research interests include parallel computer architectures, especially hypercubes, distributed database systems, multiprocessor interconnection networks, algorithms, graph theory, and combinatorial theory.

Dr. Chen is a member of the Association for Computing Machinery.



Kang G. Shin (S'75-M'78-SM'83) received the B.S. degree in electronics engineering from Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea in 1970, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, in 1976 and 1978, respectively.

He is a Professor in the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, which he joined in 1982. He has been very active and authored/coauthored over 150 technical papers in the areas of fault-

tolerant real-time computing, computer architecture, and robotics and automation. In 1985, he founded the Real-Time Computing Laboratory, where he and his students are currently building a 19-node hexagonal mesh multiprocessor, called HARTS, to validate various architectures and analytic results in the area of distributed real-time computing. From 1970 to 1972 he served in the Korean Army as an ROTC officer and from 1972 to 1974 he was on the research staff of the Korea Institute of Science and Technology, Seoul, working on the design of VHF/UHF communication systems. From 1978 to 1982 he was an Assistant Professor at Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, NY. He was also a Visiting Scientist at the U.S. Airforce Flight Dynamics Laboratory in Summer 1979 and at Bell Laboratories, Holmdel, NJ, in Summer 1980. During the 1988–1989 academic year, he was a Visiting Professor in the Computer Science Division, University of California at Berkeley, and the International Science Institute, Berkeley, CA.

Dr. Shin was the Program Chairman of the 1986 IEEE Real-Time Systems Symposium (RTSS), the General Chairman of the 1987 RTSS and the Guest Editor of the 1987 August special issue of IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON COMPUTERS on Real-Time Systems. He is a distinguished speaker of the IEEE Computer Society. He is a member of Association for Computing Machinery, Sigma Xi, and Phi Kappa Phi. In 1987, he received the Outstanding Paper Award from the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON AUTOMATIC CONTROL for a paper on robot trajectory planning.



**Dilip D. Kandlur** was born in Bombay, India, in 1961. He received the B.Tech. degree from the Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, and the M.S.E. degree from the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, in computer science and engineering.

He is currently a doctoral student and is working as a Research Assistant in the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor. His research interests include operating systems, parallel algorithms, and computer networks.