

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICAL INC. v. MONOSOL RX, LLC  
Hearing on 10/04/2017

ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
-----  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD  
-----

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICAL INC.,  
Petitioner,  
v.  
MONOSOL RX, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

-----  
Case IPR2017-00200  
Patent 8,603,514 B2

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
-----  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD  
-----

PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. AND INTELGENX CORP.,  
Petitioners,  
v.  
MONOSOL RX, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

-----  
Case IPR2017-01557  
Patent 8,603,514

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
-----  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD  
-----

DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES S.A. and DR. REDDY'S  
LABORATORIES, INC.  
Petitioner,  
v.  
MONOSOL RX, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

-----  
Case IPR2017-01582  
Patent 8,603,514

-----  
PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD HEARING

Before Gina L. Smith, Certified Court Reporter

Reported Telephonically

On October 4, 2017, Commencing at 12:00 p.m.  
-----

1 MR. HAROLD FOX: Good afternoon, Your Honor. This  
2 is Harold Fox, lead counsel for Monosol in the three  
3 named IPR's. We do have a court reporter on the line.  
4 With me I have Dustin Weeks and Sharon Broma who are  
5 co-counsel, back-up counsel, on the 200 proceedings.

6 JUDGE FRANKLIN: And you are willing to  
7 participate in this combined call?

8 MR. HAROLD FOX: Yes.

9 JUDGE FRANKLIN: And also I'm going to ask that  
10 you file a copy of the transcript when it's available.

11 MR. HAROLD FOX: We will do so.

12 JUDGE FRANKLIN: And, Petitioner Mylan.

13 MR. STEVE PARMELEE: Good afternoon, Your Honor.  
14 This is Steve Parmelee, lead counsel for Petitioner  
15 Mylan Technologies, Inc. And we're here on the 2017-  
16 00200 and I guess the--I'm not sure if the other case  
17 is also involved in this call. My impression is it is  
18 not so we do not have a court reporter. We're willing  
19 to participate to the extent that this involves the  
20 termination discussion, termination of our proceeding,  
21 and that's the limited nature of our appearance this  
22 afternoon.

23 JUDGE FRANKLIN: And to clarify, the parties  
24 invited to this call are parties for your proceeding,  
25 IPR200 but also IPR2017-01557 and 01582. So having

1 their participation in the call along with you and your  
2 case is what I'm seeking confirmation of whether you  
3 agree or are willing to have this combined call.

4 MR. STEVE PARMELEE: Yes, Your Honor.

5 JUDGE FRANKLIN: Thank you. And, Petitioner Par?

6 MR. JONATHAN STRANG: Good morning, Your Honor.  
7 This is Jonathan Strang, counsel for Petitioner Par.  
8 And with me today I have Brenda Danek. We do not have  
9 a court reporter. Patent Owner indicated it will file  
10 the transcript, and we do not object to the combined  
11 call.

12 JUDGE FRANKLIN: Thank you. And can you spell  
13 your last name for me.

14 MR. JONATHAN STRANG: Yes, Your Honor. S-T-R-A-N-  
15 G.

16 JUDGE FRANKLIN: Thank you. Petitioner Dr.  
17 Reddy's.

18 MR. IRA LEVY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. It is  
19 Ira Levy from Goodwin on behalf of Dr. Reddy's in  
20 IPR2017-01582. I am joined by my colleague Robert  
21 Frederickson, who has not appeared but will be  
22 appearing in the proceedings and we agree to join the  
23 joint call. And similar to Par, we understand that  
24 Patent Owner has a court reporter and will be filing  
25 the transcript.

1 JUDGE FRANKLIN: Okay. Thank you all for that.  
2 Before I talk to Par and Dr. Reddy's, the Petitioners  
3 who urgently asked for this conference call, I'd like  
4 to direct one question to Petitioner Mylan, Petitioner  
5 of the 200IPR, a point of clarification.

6 It seems that at least in one, perhaps in both,  
7 with respect to the joinder in both 1557 and 1582 you  
8 may have indicated you do not oppose. We understand  
9 that Mylan and Monosol have reached a settlement in  
10 IPR200 and that as authorized have filed a motion to  
11 terminate that proceeding. In view of that settlement  
12 and that filing, does Mylan oppose the joinder request  
13 in 1557 and 1582?

14 MR. STEVE PARMELEE: Your Honor, we're here to  
15 talk about the termination of this 200 case. Our  
16 position has not changed on those joinders cases  
17 themselves.

18 JUDGE FRANKLIN: Okay. What I'm asking is for you  
19 to clarify then. You're telling us that you do not  
20 oppose joinder of 1557 and 1582 with you in the IRP200.

21 MR. STEVE PARMELEE: Well, we'd like to have this  
22 proceeding terminated. And what Your Honor does with  
23 the other cases and how they are treated doesn't  
24 necessarily turn on termination here.

25 JUDGE FRANKLIN: Correct. I just wanted to ask

1 this one more time. This is for my clarification. I  
2 want to know your position. Apart from termination, do  
3 you have any issue with joinder with Par and Dr.  
4 Reddy's in the IPR200?

5 MR. STEVE PARMELEE: Our position is the same as  
6 we previously stated. We don't have an issue with the  
7 joinder petitioners or petitions, but we do want our  
8 proceeding terminated. We don't want responsibility for  
9 the IPR200 as it goes forward or if it is to go  
10 forward.

11 JUDGE FRANKLIN: Okay. And, Patent Owner, I think  
12 I understand from an email that you sent your position,  
13 but I want to give you an opportunity to respond to the  
14 same question.

15 MR. HAROLD FOX: Your Honor, this is Harold Fox.  
16 Dustin Weeks will be presenting for Patent Owner and  
17 can address that point.

18 MR. DUSTIN WEEKS: Yes, Your Honor, this is Dustin  
19 Weeks for Patent Owner. Patent Owner does oppose  
20 joinder of both--of Dr. Reddy's and Par in this case.  
21 And while we have not filed an opposition to the motion  
22 for joinder for Par, I guess depending on how this call  
23 goes today, Patent Owner would ask for authorization to  
24 submit additional briefing in both cases opposing  
25 joinder, given the change in circumstances due to the

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.