

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES S.A. and
DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC.
Petitioner,

v.

MONOSOL RX, LLC
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01582
Patent No. 8,603,514

PATENT OWNER'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR JOINDER

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
2001	Reckitt Benckiser v. Watson Trial Opinion (Ex. 2002 in IPR2017-00200)
2002	Redline comparison of Teva petition and Dr. Reddy's petition (Ex. 2002 in IPR2016-01111)
2003	Redline comparison of Dr. Panyam's and Dr. Celik's declarations (Ex. 2003 in IPR2016-01111)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
III.	ARGUMENT.....	6
	A. DRL does not deserve a “second bite at the apple”	7
	B. DRL’s joinder would cause undue burden and prejudice, and possible delays.....	10
II.	CONCLUSION.....	13

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Ariosa Diagnostics v. Isis Innovation Ltd.</i> , IPR2013-00250, Paper 4 (PTAB Apr. 19, 2013)	9
<i>Ariosa Diagnostics v. Isis Innovation Ltd.</i> , IPR2013-00250, Paper 24 (PTAB Sept. 3, 2013).....	9
<i>LG Elecs., Inc. v. ATI Techs. ULC</i> , IPR2015-01620, Paper 10 (PTAB Feb. 2, 2016).....	8
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , Case IPR2013-00109, Paper 15 (PTAB Feb. 25, 2013).....	9
<i>Mylan Pharms. Inc. v. Janssen Oncology, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-01332, Paper 21 (PTAB Jan. 10, 2017)	6, 7, 11
<i>Reloaded Games, Inc. v. Parallel Networks LLC</i> , IPR2014-00950, Paper 12 (PTAB Oct. 22, 2014).....	9
<i>Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd. v. Affinity Labs of TX, LLC</i> , IPR2015-00820, Paper 12 (PTAB May 15, 2015)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd. v. Arendi S.A.R.L.</i> , IPR2014-01142, Paper 11 (PTAB Oct. 2, 2014).....	11
<i>Teva Pharms. USA, Inc., v. ViiV Healthcare Co.</i> , IPR2015-00550, Paper 11 (PTAB June 25, 2015)	6, 10
<i>Ubisoft, Inc. v. Uniloc USA, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-00414, Paper 16 (PTAB June 2, 2016)	9
<i>ZTE Corp. v. Adaptix, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-01184, Paper 10 (PTAB July 24, 2015).....	11
Statutes and Regulations	
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)	1, 4, 6, 7

35 U.S.C. § 315(c)	1, 6, 8
37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b)	6, 12
37 C.F.R. § 42.20(c).....	7
37 C.F.R. § 42.25(a)(1).....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.101(b)	6
37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)	6

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.