Filed on behalf of Valencell, Inc.

By: Justin B. Kimble (JKimble-IPR@bcpc-law.com) Nicholas C. Kliewer (nkliewer@bcpc-law.com) Jonathan H. Rastegar (jrastegar@bcpc-law.com)
Bragalone Conroy PC 2200 Ross Ave.
Suite 4500 – West Dallas, TX 75201
Tel: 214.785.6670
Fax: 214.786.6680

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FITBIT, INC., Petitioner,

v.

VALENCELL, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01555 U.S. Patent No. 8,923,941

PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 313 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.107

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION			
II.	BACKGROUND OF PATENT OWNER AND TECHNOLOGY5			
III.	OVERVIEW OF THE '941 PATENT			
IV.	OVERVIEW OF THE PETITION			
	A.	United States Patent Application Publication No. 2008/0200774 A1 to		
		Luo10		
	B.	United States Patent Application Publication No. 2008/0133699 A1 to		
		Craw11		
	C.	United States Patent No. 6,513,532 B2 to Mault		
	D.	United States Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0181798 A1 to		
		Al-Ali		
V.	CLA	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION		
VI.	LEG	AL STANDARDS14		
	A.	Standard for Instituting Petition14		
	B.	Obviousness16		
VII. PETITIONER FAILS TO ESTABLISH A REASONABLE L		TIONER FAILS TO ESTABLISH A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD		
	OF 1	PROVING THE UNPATENTABILITY OF ANY CHALLENGED		
	CLA	IM20		
	A.	Petitioner Fails to Demonstrate that Any Reference Discloses a PPG		
		Sensor that Collects Physiological Information from Which Heart Rate		
		and Respiration Rate Can Be Extracted		
	B.	Petitioner Fails to Articulate Reasoning Supported by the Evidence for		
		Multiple Claim Limitations		
		1. Ground 1: Petitioner fails to demonstrate that Luo discloses		
		element [1.3] of claim 127		

	2.	Ground 1: Petitioner fails to demonstrate that Luo discloses
		element [1.4] of claim 1
	3.	Ground 1: Petitioner fails to demonstrate that Luo discloses
		elements [1.4]-[1.5] of claim 1
	4.	Ground 6: Petitioner fails to demonstrate that Mault in view of
		Al-Ali discloses element [1.4] of claim 1
	5.	Ground 6: Petitioner fails to demonstrate that Mault in view of
		Al-Ali discloses element [1.4]-[1.5]
	6.	Ground 9: Petitioner fails to demonstrate that Han discloses
		reducing footstep noise artifacts from the pre-conditioned PPG
		signal
C.	C. Petitioner Fails to Articulate Reasoning Supported by the Evidence	
	there	are Sufficient Rationales to Combine the References
	1.	Ground 1: Insufficient rationale to combine Luo and Craw for
		claim 1
	2.	Ground 1: No rationale to combine Luo and Craw for dependent
		claims 2, 9, 11, and 12
	3.	Ground 1: Insufficient rationale to combine Luo with Craw for
		claims 3 and 13
	4.	Ground 5: Insufficient rationale to combine Luo, Craw, and
		Aceti
	5.	Ground 6: Insufficient rationale to combine Mault and Al-Ali.
		41
	6.	Ground 6: No motivation to combine Mault and Al-Ali for claims
		9, 11, and 1242

Case IPR2017-01555 U.S. Patent No. 8,923,941

		7.	Ground 6: Insufficient motivation to combine Mault and Al-Ali	
			for claim 242	
		8.	Ground 9: Insufficient rationale to combine Mault, Al-Ali, and	
			Han43	
		9.	Ground 10: Insufficient rationale to combine Mault, Al-Ali, and	
			Numaga44	
		10.	Ground 11: Insufficient rationale to combine Mault, Al-Ali, and	
			Ali45	
	D.	Petiti	oner Fails to Adequately Address the Second Graham Factor for	
		Each	Ground46	
	E.	Petiti	oner Proposes Redundant Grounds for Challenging Each Claim.	
VIII.	CONCLUSION			

Case IPR2017-01555 U.S. Patent No. 8,923,941

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	S. LeBoeuf, et al., Earbud-Based Sensor for the Assessment of
	Energy Expenditure, HR, and VO2max, OFFICIAL J. AM. C.
	SPORTS M., 2014, 1046–1052
2002	Biometrics Lab: Performance of Leading Optical Heart Rate
	Monitors During Interval Exercise Conditions
2003	Valencell website (http://valencell.com/customers/)
2004	CTA - It Is Innovation (i3) Magazine 2016 Innovation-
	Entrepreneur Awards

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.