

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

FITBIT, INC.  
Petitioner

v.

VALENCELL, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Patent No. 8,923,941

Issued: December 30, 2014

Filed: February 19, 2014

Title: Methods and Apparatus for Generating Data Output  
Containing Physiological and Motion-Related Information

---

**MOTION FOR JOINDER UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 315(c),  
37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b) TO RELATED  
*INTER PARTES* REVIEW IPR2017-00319**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Statement of Precise Relief Requested.....	1
II. Statement of Material Facts and Related Proceedings .....	2
III. Statement of Reasons for Relief Requested .....	3
A. Legal Standard.....	3
B. Petitioner’s Motion for Joinder is Timely .....	4
C. Each Factor Weighs in Favor of Joinder.....	4
1. Joinder with the Apple IPR is Appropriate.....	4
2. Petitioner Proposes No New Grounds of Unpatentability .....	5
3. Joinder Will Not Unduly Burden or Negatively Impact the Apple IPR Trial Schedule .....	5
4. Petitioner Proposes Procedures to Simplify Briefing and Discovery .....	6
IV. Conclusion.....	8

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Page(s)**

**CASES**

*Kyocera Corp. v. Softview LLC*,  
Case No. IPR2013-00004, slip op. (PTAB Apr. 24, 2013) .....3

*Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Dr. Falk Pharma GmbH*,  
Case No. IPR2016-01386, slip op. (PTAB Nov. 30, 2016) .....8

*Mylan Pharms. Inc. v. Novartis AG*,  
Case No. IPR2015-00268, slip op. (PTAB Apr. 10, 2015).....7

*Samsung Elecs., Co., Ltd., et al. v. Raytheon Co.*,  
Case No. IPR2016-00962, slip op. (PTAB Aug. 24, 2016) .....3, 5

*Sony Corp. v. Memory Integrity, LLC*,  
Case No. IPR2015-01353, slip op. (PTAB Oct. 15, 2015) .....5, 8

**STATUTES**

35 U.S.C. § 315(b) .....3

35 U.S.C. § 315(c) .....1, 3

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

37 C.F.R. § 42.53 .....7

37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b) .....3, 4

## I. Statement of Precise Relief Requested

Fitbit, Inc. (“Petitioner”) respectfully submits this Motion for Joinder together with a Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,923,941 (“Fitbit Petition”) filed contemporaneously herewith. The Board instituted *inter partes* review of claims 1, 2, and 6–13 of the ’941 Patent in *Apple Inc. v. Valencell, Inc.*, Case No. IPR2017-00319 on June 6, 2017 (“Apple IPR”). Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b), Fitbit requests institution of *inter partes* review for claims 1, 2, and 6–13 of the ’941 Patent and requests joinder with IPR2017-00319.

Fitbit’s request for joinder is timely because it is made no later than one month after the June 6, 2017 institution date of the Apple IPR. The Fitbit Petition is substantively identical to the Apple Petition, and Fitbit only seeks institution on the same claims, prior art, and grounds for unpatentability that were instituted in the Apple IPR. Therefore, the Fitbit Petition warrants institution for at least the same reasons that the Board instituted the Apple IPR. In addition, Fitbit proposes to streamline discovery and briefing by taking an “understudy role.” Fitbit submits that joinder is appropriate because it will not unduly burden or prejudice the parties to the Apple IPR while efficiently determining the validity of claims 1, 2, and 6–13 of the ’941 Patent in a single proceeding.

## II. Statement of Material Facts and Related Proceedings

1. On January 4, 2016, Valencell, Inc. (“Patent Owner”) filed a civil action alleging that Apple Inc. infringes the ’941 Patent, as well as three other patents. *Valencell, Inc. v. Apple Inc.*, Case No. 5:16-cv-00001 (E.D.N.C.).

2. Apple filed a first petition for *inter partes* review of the ’941 Patent (“Apple Petition”) on November 23, 2016 that challenged claims 1–13. *Apple Inc. v. Valencell, Inc.*, Case No. IPR2017-00319 (Paper 2).

3. On June 6, 2017, the Board instituted a trial on claims 1, 2, and 6–13. *See* Case No. IPR2017-00319 (Paper 10).

4. Apple filed a second petition for *inter partes* review of the ’941 Patent on November 23, 2016 that challenged claims 14–21. *Apple Inc. v. Valencell, Inc.*, Case No. IPR2017-00321 (Paper 2).

5. On June 6, 2017, the Board instituted a trial on claims 14–21. Case No. IPR2017-00321 (Paper 11).

6. On January 4, 2016, Valencell filed a separate civil action in the same district court alleging that Fitbit infringes the ’941 Patent, and the other three patents asserted against Apple. *Valencell, Inc. v. Fitbit, Inc.*, Case No. 5:16-cv-00002 (E.D.N.C.).

7. On November 11, 2016, Valencell filed another civil action alleging that three other defendants infringe the ’941 Patent, as well as other patents.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.