

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TOYOTA MOTOR CORP. AND DENSO CORPORATION,
Petitioners,

v.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01497
U.S. Patent No. 7,067,952

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107(a)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION	2
A. The '952 Patent	2
B. Claim Construction.....	5
1. “A Phase Change Material”	6
2. “A Bridge between Adjacent Segments to Link Adjacent Segments into a Continuous Strip”	8
3. “The Bridge is Formed by Interconnecting Two Mating Sections Formed from the Phase Change Material”	10
III. GROUNDS 1-3 FAIL TO DEMONSTRATE A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT ANY OF CLAIMS 10-12 WILL BE PROVED UNPATENTABLE.....	11
A. Ground 1 Fails for Claims 10-12	12
1. Nakahara Does Not Disclose Using the Same Phase Change Material to Partially Encase and Link the Stator Segments	12
2. Nakahara and Ishihara do not Disclose “Linked Stator Segments being Arranged and Secured Together to Form the Stator Assembly”	16
B. Ground 1 Fails for Claim 12: Nakahara and Ishihara do not Disclose Holding the Stator Segments in a Toroidal Shape	20
C. Grounds 2 and 3 Fail for the Same Reasons as Ground 1.....	21
IV. IF ANY GROUNDS ARE INSTITUTED, THE BOARD SHOULD DENY REDUNDANT GROUNDS.....	22
A. Congress Empowered the Board with Discretion to Manage Duplicative Proceedings.....	22
B. The Board’s Practice of Rejecting Redundant Grounds Supports Exercising its Discretion	24

1.	Redundancy Occurs Where, as Here, Petitioners Assert Multiple Alternative Grounds without Differentiating Them	25
2.	Petitioners' Assertions Against the '952 Patent Claims are Horizontally Redundant	26
3.	The Three Grounds Presented in this Petition are Horizontally Redundant	27
4.	Redundancy Exists Between the Instant Petition and the 1631 Petition	28
5.	Petitioners' Assertions across Two Petitions Effectively Circumvent the Word Limit of 37 C.F.R. § 42.24(a)	29
V.	CONCLUSION.....	31

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Memory Integrity, LLC</i> , IPR2015-00161 (PTAB May 8, 2015).....	15
<i>Jack Henry & Assocs. v. DataTreasury Corp.</i> , CBM2014-00056 (PTAB Jul. 10, 2014).....	5
<i>Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003 (PTAB Oct. 25, 2012)	<i>passim</i>
<i>NXP USA, Inc. v. Inside Secure</i> , IPR2016-00683 (PTAB Aug. 30, 2017).....	5, 11
<i>Oracle Corp. v. Clouding IP, LLC</i> , IPR2013-00075 (PTAB June 13, 2013)	25
<i>In re: Smith Int’l, Inc.</i> , No. 2016-2303 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 26, 2017)	5
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 315(d)	22
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)	22
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) (2017).....	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.107	1

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
2001	Webster's II New College Dictionary 377 (1999)
2002	H.R. Rep. No. 112-98 (2011)
2003	Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review, IPR2017-01631, Paper 1 (PTAB June 16, 2017)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.