

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION AND  
DENSO CORPORATION

Petitioners

v.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC

Patent Owner

---

Case No. IPR2017-01497

Patent No. 7,067,952

---

**DECLARATION OF DR. GERALD JOHN MICKLOW, Ph.D. IN SUPPORT  
OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 7,067,952**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I.</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II.</b>	<b>Summary of Opinions .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>III.</b>	<b>Background and Qualifications.....</b>	<b>2</b>
	A. Background .....	2
	B. Compensation.....	7
<b>IV.</b>	<b>Materials Reviewed .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>V.</b>	<b>Background of My Analysis.....</b>	<b>8</b>
	A. Legal Standards .....	8
	1. The Hypothetical “Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art” .....	9
	2. Anticipation.....	10
	3. Obviousness .....	10
	B. Background of the ’952 Patent and the Challenged Claims .....	14
	C. Overview of the Prior Art.....	17
	D. Claim Construction .....	18
	1. “phase change material” (Claim 10).....	19
	2. “a bridge between adjacent segments to link adjacent segments into a continuous strip” .....	20
	3. “the bridge is formed by interconnecting two mating sections formed from the phase change material” .....	23
<b>VI.</b>	<b>Analysis of the Priority Date of the ’952 Patent .....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>VII.</b>	<b>Prior-Art Analysis .....</b>	<b>25</b>
	A. Claims 10-12 would have been obvious to a POSITA based on the combined teachings of Nakahara, Ishihara, and/or Lieu.....	25

1.	Claim 10: Obvious based on Nakahara and Ishihara.....	26
2.	Claim 11: Obvious based on Nakahara in view of Ishihara and Lieu.....	37
3.	Claim 12: Obvious based on Nakahara and Ishihara.....	42
B.	Claims 10-12 would have been obvious to a POSITA based on the combined teachings of Iikuma, Nakahara, Lieu, and/or Stridsberg.....	44
1.	Claim 10: Obvious based on Iikuma and Nakahara .....	45
2.	Claim 11: Obvious based on Iikuma in view of Nakahara and Lieu.....	52
3.	Claim 12: Obvious based on Iikuma in view of Nakahara and Stridsberg .....	57
C.	Claims 10-12 would have been obvious to a POSITA based on the combined teachings of Sheeran, Nakahara, and/or Lieu.....	63
1.	Claim 10: Obvious based on Sheeran in view of Nakahara .....	64
2.	Claim 11: Obvious based on Sheeran in view of Nakahara and Lieu .....	71
3.	Claim 12: Obvious over Sheeran and Nakahara.....	73
4.	Prior-Art Date of Sheeran .....	74

I, Dr. Gerald John Micklow, declare as follows:

## **I. Introduction**

1. I have been asked to submit this declaration on behalf of Toyota Motor Corporation and Denso Corporation in connection with a petition for *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 7,067,952 (“the ’952 patent”),<sup>1</sup> which I understand is being submitted to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

2. Specifically, I have been retained as a technical expert to study and provide my opinions on the technology claimed in claims 10-12 of the ’952 patent (“the Challenged Claims”), including whether a POSITA would have found the claimed technology to be obvious. For purposes of this declaration, I was not asked to provide any opinions that are not expressed herein.

## **II. Summary of Opinions**

3. As set forth in this Declaration, it is my opinion that a person of ordinary skill in the art (“POSITA”) would have found it obvious to combine the teachings of JP7-245895 (Ex. 1006, “Nakahara”) with JP11-89128 (Ex. 1007,

---

<sup>1</sup> I understand that the ’952 patent is Exhibit 1001 to the petition for *Inter Partes* Review of the ’952 patent.

“Ishihara”) and WO 01/45233 (Ex. 1008, “Lieu”) to arrive at the invention recited in claims 10-12 of the ’952 patent.

4. It is also my opinion that a POSITA would have found those claims obvious over the combined teachings of JP1997-308163 (Ex. 1009, “Iikuma”) with Nakahara and Lieu.

5. It is also my opinion that a POSITA would have found those claims obvious over the combined teachings of U.S. Patent No. 7,471,025 (Ex. 1010, “Sheeran”) in view of Nakahara and Lieu.

### **III. Background and Qualifications**

#### **A. Background**

6. I am currently employed as a full Professor of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering at Florida Institute of Technology (“FIT”) in Melbourne, FL. I have been a Licensed Professional Engineer in the State of North Carolina since December of 2004 and active in the mechanical engineering field for decades, including through my membership in the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) since 1988. My mechanical engineering experience was developed through a variety of academic and industrial projects directed to automotive components, including electric motors. I have been involved in the design and evaluation of advanced power producing systems, such as electric motors, for over 40 years. I have worked within the areas of automotive

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.