Paper No. 24

Entered: November 15, 2017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PFIZER, INC., Petitioner,

v.

GENENTECH, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01488 Case IPR2017-01489 Patent 6,407,213 B2¹

Before SHERIDAN K. SNEDDEN, ZHENYU YANG, AND ROBERT A. POLLOCK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

POLLOCK, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION

Granting Petitioner's Unopposed Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Sarah K. Tsou, Benjamin A. Lasky, Mark C. McLennan, and Christopher J. Citro 37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ This Order addresses substantially identical motions filed in the identified cases. We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this style of heading.



Petitioner filed motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Sarah K. Tsou, Benjamin A. Lasky, Mark C. McLennan, and Christopher J. Citro. Papers 19–22, respectively. The motions are supported by declarations of Ms. Tsou, Mr. Lasky, Mr. McLennan, and Mr. Citro. IPR2017-01488, Exs. 1189–1192; IPR2017-01489, Exs. 1689–1692, respectively.

On page 4 of each motion, Petitioner avers that Patent Owner does not oppose the motions.

The Board has reviewed the submissions and determined that the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.10 have been met and there is good cause to admit Ms. Tsou, Mr. Lasky, Mr. McLennan, and Mr. Citro *pro hac vice*. Ms. Tsou, Mr. Lasky, Mr. McLennan, and Mr. Citro will be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

It is, therefore,

ORDERED that Patent Owner's motions for admission of Sarah K.

Tsou, Benjamin A. Lasky, Mark C. McLennan, and Christopher J. Citro *pro hac vice* are granted;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel;

FURTHER ORDERED that Sarah K. Tsou, Benjamin A. Lasky, Mark C. McLennan, and Christopher J. Citro comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Sarah K. Tsou, Benjamin A. Lasky, Mark C. McLennan, and Christopher J. Citro are subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq*.



IPR2017-01488, IPR2017-01489 Patent 6,407,213 B2

PETITIONER:

Amanda Hollis
Stefan M. Miller
Karen Younkins
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
amanda.hollis@kirkland.com
stefan.miller@kirkland.com
karen.younkins@kirkland.com

PATENT OWNER:

David L. Cavanaugh
Owen K. Allen
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
david.cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com
owen.allen@wilmerhale.com

Adam R. Brausa DURIE TANGRI LLP abrausa@durietangri.com

