

Volume 217

Number 1


5 January 1991

PERIODICAL ROOM

JAN 31 1991

HEALTH SCIENCES LIBRARY

Journal of
**MOLECULAR
BIOLOGY**



THE TRECINIAN DINUK I, INC. WASHINGTON, INDIANA



ACADEMIC PRESS

Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers

JMOBAK 217 (1) 1-215 ISSN 0022-2836

**DOCKET
ALARM**

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Journal of Molecular Biology

Editor-in-Chief

P. Wright

Department of Molecular Biology, Research Institute of Scripps Clinic
10666 N. Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037, U.S.A.

Assistant Editor

J. Karn

MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology
Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 2QH, U.K.

Founding Editor

Sir John Kendrew

Consulting Editor

Sydney Brenner

Editors

A. R. Fersht, University Chemical Laboratory, Cambridge University, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, U.K.

M. Gottesman, Institute of Cancer Research, College of Physicians & Surgeons of Columbia University,
701 W. 168th Street, New York, NY 10032, U.S.A.

P. von Hippel, Institute of Molecular Biology, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403-1229, U.S.A.

R. Huber, Max-Planck-Institut für Biochemie, 8033 Martinsried bei München, Germany.

A. Klug, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 2QH, U.K.

M. Yanir, Department of Biotechnology, Pasteur Institute, 25 rue de Dr Roux, 757 24 Paris Cedex 15, France.

Associate Editors

C. R. Cantor, Human Genome Center, Donner Laboratory, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California,
Berkeley, CA 94720, U.S.A.

N.-H. Chua, The Rockefeller University, 1230 York Avenue, New York, NY 10021, U.S.A.

F. E. Cohen, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, University of California, San Francisco,
CA 94143-0446, U.S.A.

D. J. DeRosier, Rosenstiel Basic Medical Sciences Research Center, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02254, U.S.A.

W. A. Hendrickson, Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biophysics, College of Physicians & Surgeons of
Columbia University, 630 West 168th Street, New York, NY 10032, U.S.A.

I.B. Holland, Institut de Génétique et Microbiologie, Bâtiment 409, Université de Paris XI, 91405 Orsay Cedex 05,
France.

B. Honig, Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biophysics, College of Physicians & Surgeons of Columbia
University, 630 West 168th Street, New York, NY 10032, U.S.A.

H. E. Huxley, Rosenstiel Basic Medical Sciences Research Center, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02254, U.S.A.

V. Luzzati, Centre de Génétique Moléculaire, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, 91 Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

J. L. Mandel, Laboratoire de Génétique Moléculaire des Eucaryotes du CNRS, Institut de Chimie Biologique,
Faculté de Médecine, 11 Rue Humann, 67085 Strasbourg Cedex, France.

B. Mathews, Institute of Molecular Biology, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403-1229, U.S.A.

J. H. Miller, Department of Microbiology, University of California, 405 Hilgard Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90024, U.S.A.

M. F. Moody, School of Pharmacy, University of London, 29/39 Brunswick Square, London WC1N 1AX, U.K.

T. Richmond, Institut für Molekularbiologie und Biophysik, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule, Hönggerberg,
CH 8093 Zurich, Switzerland.

R. Schleif, Biology Department, Johns Hopkins University, Charles & 34th Streets, Baltimore, MD 21218, U.S.A.

N. L. Sternberg, Central Research & Development Department, E. I. du Pont Nemours & Company, Wilmington,
DE 19898, U.S.A.

K. R. Yamamoto, Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, School of Medicine, University of California,
San Francisco, CA 94143-0448, U.S.A.

M. Yanagida, Department of Biophysics, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Sakyo-Ku, Kyoto 606, Japan.

Editorial Office

G. Harris, Journal of Molecular Biology, 10d St Edwards Passage, Cambridge CB2 3PJ, U.K.

JOURNAL OF MOLECULAR BIOLOGY: ISSN 0022-2836. Volumes 217-222, 1991, published twice a month on the 5th and 20th by Academic Press at 24-28 Oval Road, London NW1 7DX, England. Annual subscription price including postage: £852 U.K. and U.S.\$1596 overseas. Personal subscription rate: £233 U.K. and U.S. \$350 overseas. Subscription orders should be sent to Academic Press Limited, Foots Cray, Sidecup, Kent DA14 5HP, U.K. (Tel: 081-300 3322). Send notices of changes of address to the publisher at least 6-8 weeks in advance, including both old and new addresses.

Second class postage rate paid at Jamaica, NY 11431, U.S.A.

Air freight and mailing in the U.S.A. by Publications Expediting Inc., 200 Meacham Avenue, Elmont, NY 11003, U.S.A.

U.S.A. POSTMASTERS: send change of addresses to JOURNAL OF MOLECULAR BIOLOGY, c/o Publications Expediting, Inc., 200 Meacham Avenue, Elmont, NY 11003, U.S.A.

Printed in U.K.

Structure, Function and Properties of Antibody Binding Sites

I. Saira Mian^{1†}, Arthur R. Bradwell² and Arthur J. Olson^{1‡}

¹*Department of Molecular Biology
Research Institute of Scripps Clinic
10666 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037, U.S.A.*

²*Department of Immunology
Medical School, Birmingham University, B15 2TJ, U.K.*

(Received 16 May 1990; accepted 19 July 1990)

Do antibody combining sites possess general properties that enable them to bind different antigens with varying affinities and to bind novel antigens? Here, we address this question by examining the physical and chemical characteristics most favourable for residues involved in antigen accommodation and binding. Amphipathic amino acids could readily tolerate the change of environment from hydrophilic to hydrophobic that occurs upon antibody–antigen complex formation. Residues that are large and can participate in a wide variety of van der Waals' and electrostatic interactions would permit binding to a range of antigens. Amino acids with flexible side-chains could generate a structurally plastic region, i.e. a binding site possessing the ability to mould itself around the antigen to improve complementarity of the interacting surfaces. Hence, antibodies could bind to an array of novel antigens using a limited set of residues interspersed with more unique residues to which greater binding specificity can be attributed. An individual antibody molecule could thus be cross-reactive and have the capacity to bind structurally similar ligands. The accommodation of variations in antigenic structure by modest combining site flexibility could make an important contribution to immune defence by allowing antibody binding to distinct but closely related pathogens.

Tyr and Trp most readily fulfil these catholic physicochemical requirements and thus would be expected to be common in combining sites on theoretical grounds. Experimental support for this comes from three sources, (1) the high frequency of participation by these amino acids in the antigen binding observed in six crystallographically determined antibody–antigen complexes, (2) their frequent occurrence in the putative binding regions of antibodies as determined from structural and sequence data and (3) the potential for movement of their side-chains in known antibody binding sites and model systems. The six bound antigens comprise two small different haptens, non-overlapping regions of the same large protein and a 19 amino acid residue peptide. Out of a total of 85 complementarity determining region positions, only 37 locations (plus 3 framework) are directly involved in antigen interaction. Of these, light chain residue 91 is utilized by all the complexes examined, whilst light chain 32, light chain 96 and heavy chain 33 are employed by five out of the six. The binding sites in known antibody–antigen complexes as well as the postulated combining sites in free Fab fragments show similar characteristics with regard to the types of amino acids present. The possible role of other amino acids is also assessed. Potential implications for the combining regions of class I major histocompatibility molecules and the rational design of molecules are discussed.

† Present address: Sinsheimer Laboratory, Biology Department, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, U.S.A.

‡ Author to whom reprint requests should be addressed.

§ Abbreviations used: CDR, complementarity determining region; MHC, major histocompatibility complex; FR, framework.

1. Introduction

Antibodies are powerful recognition and binding molecules that the immune system employs to eliminate foreign molecules. Antibody binding sites are formed by six hypervariable loops or complementarity determining regions (CDRs§). The CDRs,

three from each of the heavy and light chain variable domains, are connected to a relatively invariant β -sheet framework (Alzari *et al.*, 1988; Davies & Metzger, 1983; Capra & Edmundson, 1977; Wu & Kabat, 1970). Early analysis of a data bank of complete and partial sequences of 415 light and 197 heavy chains demonstrated that CDRs are rich in aromatic residues (Kabat *et al.*, 1977). The combining region represents only a small part of the antibody molecule, whose overall three-dimensional structure is highly conserved. Although, the pairing of light and heavy chains can generate some antibody diversity, most of it is generated by the somatic recombination of variable region gene segments (Yancopoulos & Alt, 1986; Wysocki & Geffer, 1989). Such genetic mechanisms yield antibodies exhibiting extensive diversity in hypervariable loop sequences. This potential repertoire is estimated to be approximately 10^9 in mouse (Berek *et al.*, 1985). However, the initial repertoire that confronts an antigenic challenge is smaller than the potential repertoire, since it is restricted to the antibody specificities expressed on existing immunocompetent B cells at a point in time (Holmberg *et al.*, 1986). This available repertoire can yield an apparently unlimited repertoire of antigen binding specificities and affinities.

Although a single antibody has a unique three-dimensional structure, biophysical and biochemical evidence indicates that it is multispecific or cross-reactive (Richards *et al.*, 1975). This capacity to combine both with its inducing antigen and with antigens of similar or disparate structure augments the genetically determined antigen-binding capabilities of antibodies. The extent of molecular complementarity between determinants on the antigen molecule and amino acid residues in the combining site determines the degree of antibody specificity. Increased cross-reactivity, therefore, is at the expense of specificity and affinity.

An improved understanding of both antibody cross-reactivity and binding can be obtained by a study of antibody-antigen interactions at the atomic level. The role of residues in the definition of combining site structure and interaction with antigen can be assessed as a function of the chemical and structural properties of individual amino acids. First, we examine those characteristics that appear to be of general importance in antibody-antigen interactions. This is followed by a detailed study of the binding sites in six antibody-antigen complexes and four free Fab fragments of known three-dimensional structure, and the much larger database of antibody sequences. Padlan (1990) has performed a similar, though not identical, analysis of antibody combining sites in general, and three anti-lysozyme antibody-antigen complexes in particular. On the basis of their propensity to occur in the combining sites and their greater exposure relative to those in the framework regions, he has suggested that these amino acids determine specificity. Our results and their interpretation lead us to conclude that Tyr residues may play more generally important roles in

binding and non-specific antibody-antigen interactions.

2. Physical and Chemical Properties of Amino Acids

Since antibody binding sites are formed by six hypervariable loops supported on a highly conserved β -sheet framework, there is likely to be a bias towards amino acids that are generally found in non-helical regions of proteins. Figure 1 shows the normalized frequencies of occurrence of amino acids in α -helix, β -sheet and reverse turns in 66 globular proteins comprising 31 different conformations (Levitt, 1978). In these structures, the occurrence of Pro, Gly, Tyr, Ser, Thr, Asn, Val, Arg, Ile and Trp in α -helices is less frequent than random. Leu, His, Trp, Thr, Tyr, Phe, Ile and Val have a greater than random probability of occurring in β -sheets; the same is true for Thr, Tyr, Asn, Ser, Asp, Gly and Pro in reverse turns. Arg appears to be equally tolerated in all the secondary structures elements considered. In general structural terms, Tyr and Thr seem to be the most useful non-helix forming residues, since they could be positioned in either the strand or turn regions of the hypervariable loops.

The free energy of interaction between an antibody and its antigen is a function of both enthalpy and entropy. Non-bonded forces between the interacting molecules include hydrophobic, hydrogen bond, van der Waals' and electrostatic interactions (for a review, see Fersht, 1985). In general terms, antibody combining site residues need to be as multifaceted as possible to accommodate the varied stereochemical and electronic features of the antigen. Hence, amino acids with non-polar (for example Leu, Ile and Val) and charged (for example Asp, Glu, Lys and Arg) side-chains would be of more limited usefulness than, for example, His, which is known to be capable of cross-linking sequentially distant but spatially close regions of proteins (Baker & Hubbard, 1984; I.S.M. & A.J.O., unpublished results). Similarly, the amides Asn and Gln would be generally more preferable than Asp and Glu, since the former pair are both hydrogen bond donors and acceptors whereas their charged counterparts are only acceptors.

If a positive charge is required in the antibody combining site, Arg would be more suitable than Lys because of its greater functional versatility; for example, Arg can form a larger number of hydrogen bonds than Lys. As a consequence of its planar nature and π -electron system, the terminal guanidinium group of Arg often exhibits pseudo-aromatic behaviour by participating in most of the interactions previously catalogued for true aromatic-aromatic interactions (I.S.M. & A.J.O., unpublished results). These interactions occur at the intersubunit interfaces of a number of oligomeric proteins, including viral coat proteins and a membrane protein; the photosynthetic reaction centre of *Rhodospseudomonas viridis*. The ability to form hydrogen bonds, hydrophobic interactions and




Figure 1. Scatter diagrams showing the normalized frequencies of occurrence of amino acids in α -helix, β -sheet and reverse turns in 66 globular proteins comprising 31 different conformations (Levitt, 1978). (a) Probability of forming α -helix versus β -sheet. (b) Probability of forming α -helix versus reverse turn. (c) Probability of forming β -sheet versus reverse turn. The values represent the ratio of the fraction of residues of each amino acid that occurred in the secondary structure element to this fraction for all residues. To eliminate a bias towards structures that were determined more than once, the values were each weighted by a factor of $1/(\text{number of related proteins with same conformation})$. Normalized frequencies of 1 indicate random occurrence, whilst >1 indicate more frequent occurrence than random. The actual point is marked by the bottom left of the 1 letter amino acid code: A, Ala; R, Arg; N, Asn; D, Asp; C, Cys; Q, Gln; E, Glu; G, Gly; H, His; I, Ile; L, Leu; K, Lys; M, Met; F, Phe; S, Ser; T, Thr; W, Trp; Y, Tyr; and V, Val.

attractive electrostatic interactions between positively charged groups and aromatic rings permits Tyr and Trp to interact with structurally diverse antigens. Another functional advantage in locating Tyr and Trp in antibody combining sites is that, unlike amino acids having shorter side-chains, such as Asn and Ser, they lack the capacity to interact easily with other groups on the antibody surface but are ideally suited to interact with another molecule.

The accommodation of charged areas on the antigen need not necessitate an antibody combining site possessing amino acids of complementary charge. Analysis of Arg, Lys, Glu and Asp side-chains buried at the intermolecular interfaces of oligomeric systems indicates that oriented dipoles are usually preferred over countercharges in stabilizing these buried residues (I.S.M. & A.J.O., unpublished results). Thus, the peptide backbone and polar side-chains of hypervariable loop residues could be deployed to stabilize both negatively and positively charged regions. In some instances, this

may be as effective as employment of formally charged amino acids: in cases of charge-charge interaction, the steric effects of neighbouring regions may prevent the formation of geometrically optimal ion-pairs such that the potentially available energy is not fully realized.

The non-covalent association between antibody and antigen requires the removal of water from surfaces buried by the interacting molecules. Antibody regions involved in this process should be capable of tolerating both the polar and non-polar environments that exist before complex formation and upon antigen binding, respectively. Individual residues exposed on the surface of the free antibody can become completely or partially buried in the complex. In addition to residue amphiphaticity, residue size might be a factor. There is a good correlation between the surface area of amino acids and their free energies of transfer from water to an organic phase (Chothia, 1974, 1975; Gelles & Klapper, 1978). A value of 1 \AA^2 ($1 \text{ \AA} = 0.1 \text{ nm}$) of

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.