Case No. IPR2017-01488 Declaration of Daralyn J. Durie

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PFIZER, INC., Petitioner,

v.

GENENTECH, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01488 U.S. Patent 6,407,213

DECLARATION OF DARALYN J. DURIE IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER'S MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION

Comantaah 2025

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

I, Daralyn J. Durie, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California.

2. I am a partner at the law firm Durie Tangri LLP and have litigated cases relating to patents for the past seven years.

3. I am a member in good standing of the State Bar of California and the United States Court of Appeal for the Federal Circuit. I am also admitted to practice before the United States Court of Appeals for the 1st Circuit, the United States Court of Appeals for the 3rd Circuit, the United States Court of Appeals for the 8th Circuit, the United States Court of Appeals for the 9th Circuit, the United States District Courts in the Northern, Central, Eastern, and Southern Districts of California, and the Eastern District of Texas.

4. I am a member in good standing in all jurisdictions where I have been admitted to practice.

5. I have never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body.

6. I have never had an application denied for admission to practice before any court or administrative body.

7. I have not had any sanctions or contempt citations imposed against me by any court or administrative body.

8. I have read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice

Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.

9. I agree to be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*, and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

In the last three (3) years, I have appeared Pro Hac Vice before the 10. Patent Trial and Appeal Board in the following cases: Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC and Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc. and City of Hope, IPR2015-01624 (pro hac vice granted); Genzyme Corporation v. Genentech, Inc. and City of Hope, IPR2016-00460 (pro hac vice motion filed) (joined with IPR2015-01624); Genzyme Corporation v. Genentech, Inc. and City of Hope, IPR2016-00383 (pro hac vice motion filed) (not instituted); Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. v. Genentech, Inc. and City of Hope, IPR2016-01373 (pro hac vice granted) (not instituted); Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc. and City of Hope, IPR2016-00710 (pro hac vice granted) (joined with IPR2017-00047) (terminated); Mylan *Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Genentech*, IPR2016-01693 (pro hac vice motion filed) (challenging U.S. Patent No. 6,407,213, that patent at issue in this case; terminated); Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Genentech, IPR2016-01694 (pro hac vice motion filed) (challenging U.S. Patent No. 6,407,213, that patent at issue in this case; terminated); Hospira, Inc., v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2017-00731 (pro hac vice filed June 8, 2017; institution denied July 27, 2017, request for rehearing filed

August 25, 2017); Hospira, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2017-00737 (pro hac vice granted June 21, 2017; trial instituted July 27, 2017); Hospira, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2017-00739 (pro hac vice granted June 21, 2017; institution denied July 27, 2017); Hospira, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2017-00804 (pro hac vice filed June 8, 2017; trial instituted July 27, 2017); Hospira, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2017-00805 (pro hac vice filed June 8, 2017; trial instituted July 27, 2017); Celltrion, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2017-01121 (pro hac vice motion to be filed; pending); Celltrion, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2017-01122 (pro hac vice motion to be filed; pending); Celltrion, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2017-01139 (pro hac vice motion to be filed; pending); Celltrion, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2017-01140 (pro hac vice motion to be filed; pending); Celltrion, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2017-01373 (pro hac vice motion to be filed; pending); Celltrion, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2017-01374 (pro hac vice motion to be filed; pending); Pfizer, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2017-1489 (pro hac vice motion to be filed; pending); *Pfizer, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc.*, IPR2017-01726 (pro hac vice motion to be filed; pending); Pfizer, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2017-01727 (pro hac vice motion to be filed; pending); Samsung Bioepis, Co. Ltd. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2017-01958 (pro hac vice motion to be filed; pending); Samsung Bioepis, Co. Ltd. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2017-01959 (pro hac vice motion to be filed; pending); Samsung Bioepis, Co. Ltd. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2017-01960 (pro hac vice

R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

motion to be filed; pending).

11. I have been litigating patent cases for 23 years. I have an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. I have handled patent cases relating to recombinant antibodies for more than 16 years, and have represented Genentech in many of these patent cases. I have worked closely with Adam R. Brausa, counsel for Patent Owner in this matter.

12. Additionally, I am familiar with the matters involved in and implicated by these proceedings, including the '213 patent and its file history, the prior art presented in the petition, and the legal and factual issues raised by Petitioner in this proceeding. As a result, I have acquired substantial understanding of the underlying legal and technological issues at stake in this proceeding.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements are made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.