

Filed on behalf of Patent Owner Genentech, Inc. by:

David L. Cavanaugh (Reg. No. 36,476)
Lauren V. Blakely (Reg. No. 70,247)
Robert J. Gunther, Jr. (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Lisa J. Pirozzolo (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Kevin S. Prussia (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Andrew J. Danford (*Pro Hac Vice*)
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE & DORR LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20006

Adam R. Brausa (Reg. No. 60,287)
Daralyn J. Durie (*Pro Hac Vice*)
DURIE TANGRI LLP
217 Leidesdorff Street
San Francisco, CA 94111

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PFIZER, INC. AND
SAMSUNG BIOEPIS CO., LTD.;
Petitioners,
v.
GENENTECH, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01488¹
U.S. Patent 6,407,213

PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

¹ Case IPR2017-02139 has been joined with this proceeding.

Patent Owner Genentech, Inc. ("Genentech") respectfully requests oral argument in IPR2017-01488 under § 42.70(a). Pursuant to the Board's January 29, 2018 Order (Paper 39), oral argument is currently scheduled for July 16, 2018 together with oral argument in IPR2017-01489. The principal issues to be argued will include:

- The patentability of claims 63, 66, 67, 71, 72, 75, and 76 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 over Kurrle;
- The patentability of claims 4 and 62-64 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 over Queen 1990;
- The patentability of claims 4, 62-64, 66, 67, 69, 71, 72, 75, 76, and 78 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the combination of Queen 1990 and Kurrle;
- The patentability of claim 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the combination of Queen 1990, Kurrle, and Furey;
- The patentability of claims 65 and 79 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the combination of Queen 1990, Kurrle, Chothia & Lesk, and Chothia 1985;
- The patentability of claims 30, 31, and 33 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the combination of Queen 1990 and Hudziak;
- The patentability of claim 42 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the combination of Queen 1990, Kurrle, Hudziak, and Furey;

- The patentability of claim 60 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the combination of Queen 1990, Hudziak, and Chothia & Lesk; and
- Any additional issues raised by either party in a request for oral argument, motion to strike, motion to exclude (if any), or any other motion or paper filed by either party before oral argument.

Genentech requests the ability to use audio-visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits, including a projector and screen to display PowerPoint slides. If this request for oral hearing is granted, Genentech will direct its requests for audio-visual equipment to Trials@uspto.gov.

Genentech requests a total of one hour to present its arguments in connection with IPR2017-01488 and IPR2017-01489, i.e., two hours total for IPR2017-01373, IPR2017-01374, IPR2017-01488, and IPR2017-01489.

Genentech also informs the Board that it anticipates that more than five individuals, including counsel, will attend the argument on its behalf.

IPR2017-01488
Patent Owner's Request for Oral Argument

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 22, 2018

By: /David L. Cavanaugh/
David L. Cavanaugh
Registration No. 36,476

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
1875 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20006
TEL: 202-663-6025
FAX: 202-663-6363
EMAIL: david.cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on June 22, 2018, I caused a true and correct copy of the following materials:

- Patent Owner's Request for Oral Argument
- Patent Owner's Motion to Exclude Evidence
- Patent Owner's Motion for Observations On Cross-Examination of Reply

Witness Jefferson Foote, Ph.D.

- Patent Owner's Updated Exhibit List
- Exhibit 2059

to be served via electronic mail on the following attorneys of record:

Amanda Hollis
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
amanda.hollis@kirkland.com
300 North LaSalle, Chicago, IL 60654

Stefan M. Miller, Ph.D.
stefan.miller@kirkland.com
601 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10022

Karen Younkings
karen.younkings@kirkland.com
333 South Hope Street, Los Angeles, CA 90071

Benjamin A. Lasky
benjamin.lasky@kirkland.com
601 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10022

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.