

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PFIZER, INC. and
SAMSUNG BIOEPIS CO., LTD.,¹
Petitioners,

v.

GENENTECH, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01488
Patent 6,407,213

PETITIONERS' REPLY TO PATENT OWNER RESPONSE

¹ Samsung Bioepis Co. Ltd.'s IPR2017-02139 has been joined with this proceeding. (IPR2017-02139, Paper 42.) Emphasis added unless otherwise noted.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)
I. BACKGROUND AND ARGUMENT SUMMARY	1
II. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	5
III. ARGUMENT.....	6
A. Claims 1-2, 25, 29, 80-81 Are Unpatentable	6
B. Grounds 1, 3-10: Kurrle And Queen-1990 Are Prior Art.....	6
1. No priority to the '272 application	6
2. No antedation in any event	8
C. Grounds 1 And 2: Claims 1-2, (25), 29, 63, 66-67, 71-72, 75- 76, and 80-81 Are Anticipated By Kurrle And Queen-1990	12
1. Kurrle and Queen-1990 disclose “bind[ing] an antigen”	12
2. Kurrle and Queen-1990 disclose the “lacks immunogenicity” limitation of claim 63.....	14
3. Queen-1990 discloses the “consensus human variable domain” limitation	15
D. Grounds 3-10: Claims 1-2, 4, 12, 25, 30-31, 33, 42, 60, 62-67, 69, 71-81 Are Obvious	17
1. Grounds 3-10: Choosing among the candidate FR substitutions taught by the prior art is “per se routine, and well within the ordinary skill in the art”	18
2. Ground 7: Claim 65’s “up to 3-fold more” binding affinity limitation would have been obvious	21
3. Grounds 8-10: It would have been obvious to make humanized antibodies with the recited FR substitutions that bind p185 ^{HER2} 23	
E. “Objective Indicia” Do Not Establish Non-Obviousness	25

IPR2017-01488

Petitioners' Reply to Patent Owner Response

1.	No unexpected results	25
2.	No commercial success.....	28
F.	These Proceedings Are Constitutional	29
IV.	CONCLUSION.....	29

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc. v. Abbott Labs.</i> , 636 F.3d 1341(Fed. Cir. 2011)	8
<i>Chiron Corp. v. Genentech Inc.</i> , 363 F.3d 1247(Fed. Cir. 2004)	8
<i>Endo Pharm. Inc. v. Depomed, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00652, Paper 68 (Sep. 16, 2015)	28, 29
<i>Ex Parte Takeshi</i> , Appeal 2013-003410, 2015 WL 1952506 (PTAB Apr. 29, 2015).....	28
<i>In re Kao</i> , 639 F.3d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	28
<i>In re Kubin</i> , 561 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	4, 14, 15, 21
<i>In re Omeprazole Patent Litig.</i> , 536 F.3d 1361(Fed. Cir. 2008)	9
<i>Los Angeles Biomedical Research Inst. Harbor-UCLA Med. Ctr. v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i> , 849 F.3d 1049(Fed. Cir. 2017)	6
<i>Medichem S.A. v. Rolabo, S.L.</i> , 437 F.3d 1157(Fed. Cir. 2006)	10
<i>Merck & Co. Inc. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.</i> , 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	27
<i>Nat'l Oilwell Varco, LP v. Tech. Indus. Inc.</i> , IPR2017-00860, Paper 34 (PTAB Apr. 23, 2018)	24
<i>Oil States Energy Servs. LLC v. Greene's Energy Grp.</i> , 138 S. Ct. 1365 (2018).....	29

IPR2017-01488
 Petitioners’ Reply to Patent Owner Response
Procter & Gamble Co. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.,
 566 F.3d 989(Fed. Cir. 2009)9, 10
Regents of Univ. of Cal. v. Eli Lilly & Co.,
 119 F.3d 1559(Fed. Cir. 1997)20, 23
Shire Dev., LLC v. Watson Pharm., Inc.,
 848 F.3d 981(Fed. Cir. 2017)7, 8
Torrent Pharmaceuticals Limited v. Novartis AG,
 IPR2014-00784, Paper 112 (Sep. 24, 2015).....27
TVIIM, LLC v. McAfee, Inc.,
 851 F.3d 1356(Fed. Cir. 2017)16
Tyco Healthcare Grp. LP v. Ethicon Endo-Surgery,
 514 F. Supp. 2d 351(D. Conn. 2007).....11
Zimmer Tech. Inc. v. Howmedica Osteonics Corp.,
 476 F. Supp. 2d 1024(N.D. Ind. 2007)11

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.