UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PFIZER, INC., and SAMSUNG BIOEPIS CO., LTD., Petitioners,

v.

GENENTECH, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01488¹ Patent 6,407,213

PETITIONER PFIZER'S OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE

¹ Case IPR2017-02139 has been joined with this proceeding.



Petitioner Pfizer's Objections to Admissibility of Evidence for IPR2017-01488

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioner objects to the admissibility of evidence as follows:

Exhibit(s)	Objections ²
2041	FRE 602: The declarant lacks sufficient personal
	knowledge to testify on the asserted subject matter. See,
	e.g., ¶¶ 45, 47, 57, 60, 64–87, 89–95, 98–100, 102, 111, 112,
	116, 122, 126, 127, 133, 135–38, 141, 144, 147, 149, 152,
	157, 160, 162, 163, 165–68, 171–85, 187–92, 194–97, 199–
	208, 210–14, 216–224, 226–38, 240–48, 250, 251, 253, 254,
	256–59, 261–68.
	FRE 702: The declarant is not qualified as an expert on the
	subject matter of his declaration, and thus cannot testify in
	the form of an opinion or otherwise in a manner that would
	assist the Board. The testimony is based on insufficient facts
	or data, the product of unreliable principles or methods,
	and/or an unreliable application of the principles or methods
	to the facts of this case.



² References to "FRE" are to the Federal Rules of Evidence.

Exhibit(s)	Objections ²
	FRE 703: Patent Owner has not established that an expert in
	the particular field would reasonably rely on the kinds of
	facts or data relied upon in forming an opinion on the
	subject. The probative value of the testimony is substantially
	outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice.
	FRE 705/37 C.F.R. § 42.65: The testimony lacks a
	disclosed basis of sufficient facts or data.
	FRE 801/802: The testimony relies on hearsay if offered to
	prove the truth of any matter asserted therein, and does not
	fall under any exceptions.
	FRE 901/902: The testimony relies on improper exhibits for
	which Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient to
	support a finding that the exhibits are what Patent Owner
	claims they are. Nor has Patent Owner presented any
	evidence that these exhibits are self-authenticating under
	FRE 902. See, e.g., ¶¶ 87, 122, 187.
	FRE 1002/1003: The testimony relies on evidence that
	Patent Owner has not shown is the original document or an
	authentic duplicate. See, e.g., $\P\P$ 87, 122, 187.



Exhibit(s)	Objections ²
	Lack of Foundation: The declarant does not provide
	sufficient explanation of what the evidence allegedly shows.
2042	FRE 401/402: This document is irrelevant. Patent Owner
	has failed to identify any fact of consequence in determining
	an issue in this proceeding that is made more or less
	probable by this exhibit.
	FRE 403: Any probative value of the exhibit is substantially
	outweighed by a danger of confusing the issues.
	FRE 801/802: The exhibit relies on hearsay if offered to
	prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein, and
	does not fall under any exceptions.
	Lack of Foundation: Patent Owner has not provided
	sufficient explanation of what the evidence allegedly shows.
2043	FRE 401/402: This document is irrelevant. Patent Owner
	has failed to identify any fact of consequence in determining
	an issue in this proceeding that is made more or less
	probable by this exhibit.
	FRE 403: Any probative value of the exhibit is substantially
	outweighed by a danger of confusing the issues.



Exhibit(s)	Objections ²
	FRE 801/802: The exhibit relies on hearsay if offered to
	prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein, and
	does not fall under any exceptions.
	Lack of Foundation: Patent Owner has not provided
	sufficient explanation of what the evidence allegedly shows.
2044	FRE 401/402: This document is irrelevant. Patent Owner
	has failed to identify any fact of consequence in determining
	an issue in this proceeding that is made more or less
	probable by this exhibit.
	FRE 403: Any probative value of the exhibit is substantially
	outweighed by a danger of confusing the issues.
	FRE 801/802: The exhibit relies on hearsay if offered to
	prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein, and
	does not fall under any exceptions.
	FRE 901/902: Patent Owner has not produced evidence
	sufficient to support a finding that the exhibit is what Patent
	Owner claims it is. Nor has Patent Owner presented any
	evidence that the exhibit is self-authenticating under FRE
	902.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

