UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TARO PHARMACEUTICALS U.S.A., INC., Petitioner,

v.

APOTEX TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01446 U.S. Patent No. 7,049,328 B2

Title: USE FOR DEFERIPRONE

PATENT OWNER'S OPPOSITION TO PETITIONER'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE



Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION		
	LEGAL STANDARDS		
III.	ARGUMENT2		
	A.	Exhibits 2006, 2015, and 2016 Are Not Inadmissible Hearsay	2
	В.	Exhibit 2008 Has Been Properly Authenticated, Is Relevant, and Is Not Inadmissible Hearsay	4
	C.	Exhibit 2010 Is Relevant	6
	D.	Exhibit 2014 Has Been Properly Authenticated And Is Not Inadmissible Hearsay	8
IV.	CON	CLUSION	10



Table of Authorities

Cases	Page(s)
Nestle Healthcare Nutrition, Inc. v. Steuben Foods, Inc., IPR2015-00249, Paper 77 (PTAB June 2, 2016)	3
Nichia Corp. v. Emcore Corp., IPR2012-00005, Paper 68 (PTAB Feb. 11, 2014)	1
In re Paoli RR Yard PCB Litigation, 35 F. 3d 717 (3d Cir. 1994)	10
Sipnet EU S.R.O. v. Straight Path IP Group, Inc., IPR2013-00246, Paper 63 (PTAB Oct. 9, 2014)	1, 6, 8
United States v. Turner, 718 F.3d 226 (3d Cir. 2013)	4
In re Wilson, 311 F.2d 266 (CCPA 1962)	2
Statutes & Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.20(c)	1, 6, 8
37 C.F.R. § 42.62	1, 3
77 Fed. Reg. 48,756-48,773 (Aug. 14, 2012)	8
83 Fed. Reg. 21,221-21,226 (May 9, 2018)	7
Rules	
FRE 401	6, 7
FRE 402	6, 7
FRF 403	7 &



FRE 702	9
FRE 703	3, 4, 10
FRE 801	5
FRE 802	6
FRE 803	6
FRE 901	4, 5, 9
Other Materials	
Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, § 2124	2



I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner Taro Pharmaceuticals U.S.A., Inc.'s ("Taro") attempt to exclude Patent Owner Apotex Technologies Inc.'s ("Apotex") relevant evidence of patentability should be denied. Specifically, the Board should reject Taro's attempts to exclude Exhibits 2006, 2008, 2010, 2014, 2015, and 2016 because each of these Exhibits is relevant to the proceeding at hand and because none of these Exhibits are inadmissible for lack of authentication or hearsay.

II. LEGAL STANDARDS

"The party moving to exclude evidence bears the burden of proof to establish that it is entitled to the relied requested—namely, that the material sought to be excluded is inadmissible under the Federal Rules of Evidence." *Sipnet EU S.R.O. v. Straight Path IP Group, Inc.*, IPR2013-00246, Paper 63 at 2 (PTAB Oct. 9, 2014) (*citing* 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.20(c), 42.62(a)). Motions to exclude are disfavored by the Board, since "[t]here is a strong public policy for making all information filed in a non-jury, quasi-judicial administrative proceeding available to the public, especially in an *inter partes* review which determines the patentability of claims in an issued patent. It is better to have a complete record of the evidence submitted by the parties than to exclude particular pieces." *Nichia Corp. v. Emcore Corp.*, IPR2012-00005, Paper 68 at 59 (PTAB Feb. 11, 2014).



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

