## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TARO PHARMACEUTICALS U.S.A., INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

APOTEX TECHNOLOGIES INC.,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-01446 U.S. Patent No. 7,049,328

Title: USE OF DEFERIPRONE

NOTICE OF STIPULATION - MODIFICATION OF DUE DATE 2



## **NOTICE OF STIPULATION OF DUE DATE 2**

Apotex Technologies, Inc. ("Patent Owner") and Petitioner Taro

Pharmaceuticals U.S.A., Inc., ("Petitioner") by and through their respective counsel, have stipulated as follows:

- 1. On November 28, 2017, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board issued a Scheduling Order (Paper No. 8) in the *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,049,328 (Case No. IPR2017-01446) setting forth the dates "for the parties to take action after institution of the proceeding." Scheduling Order (Paper No. 8) at 2.
- 2. The Scheduling Order further states that "[t]he parties may stipulate different dates for DUE DATES 1 through 5 (earlier or later, but no later than DUE DATE 6)." *Id*.
- 3. The parties previously stipulated (Paper No. 13) to modify DUE DATES 1 and 2 as follows (without prejudice to requesting further changes to the schedule):

DUE DATE 1	Patent owner's response to the petition/Patent owner's motion to	February 22, 2018
	amend the patent	
DUE DATE 2	Petitioner's reply to patent owner's response to petition/Petitioner's	May 10, 2018
	opposition to motion to amend	

Notice Of Stipulation – Modification Of Due Dates 1 And 2 (Paper No. 13) at 2.

4. The parties have now stipulated to further modify DUE DATE 2 as follows (without prejudice to requesting further changes to the schedule):



DUE DATE 2	Petitioner's reply to patent owner's	June 13, 2018
	response to petition/Petitioner's	
	opposition to motion to amend	

- 5. Because Patent Owner did not move under 37 C.F.R. § 42.121 to amend the patent, the due dates for Petitioner's opposition to motion to amend and Patent Owner's reply to Petitioner's opposition to motion to amend are moot.
- 6. The stipulation does not affect or otherwise modify DUE DATE 3 through DUE DATE 7 in the Scheduling Order.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /W. Blake Coblentz/

W. Blake Coblentz (Reg. No. 57,104)

Cozen O'Connor

1200 19th Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20036

Tel: (202) 912-4800

Counsel for Patent Owner

By: /Huiya Wu/

Huiya Wu (Reg. No. 44,411)

Robert V. Cerwinski (to seek pro hac vice

admission)

Sarah Fink (Reg. No. 64,886)

Goodwin Procter LLP

The New York Times Building

620 Eighth Avenue

New York, NY 10018

Tel: (212) 813-8800

Counsel for Petitioner



Dated: April 18, 2018

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on April 18, 2018, I caused to be served a copy of this NOTICE OF STIPULATION - MODIFICATION OF DUE DATE 2 via electronic mail on the following counsel of record:

W. Blake Coblentz Aaron S. Lukas Barry Golob

Email: wcoblentz@cozen.com alukas@cozen.com bgolob@cozen.com

/Sarah Fink/ Sarah Fink

