UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICRO LABS LIMITED AND MICRO LABS USA INC. Petitioners,

v.

SANTEN PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD. AND ASAHI GLASS CO., LTD. Patent Owners.

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2017-01434 U.S. Patent No. 5,886,035

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF MITCHELL A. DELONG, PH.D.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	2
	A. Education and Experience	2
	B. Materials Reviewed	2
II.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART (POSA)	2
III	A POSA WOULD HAVE BEEN MOTIVATED TO SELECT COMPOUND AS A LEAD COMPOUND BASED ON KLIMKO'S DATA	
IV	. A POSA WOULD NOT HAVE VIEWED KLIMKO TO TEACH AWAY FROM SELECTION OF COMPOUND C AS A LEAD COMPOUND	12
	A. A POSA Would Not Have Been Discouraged by the Moderate Hyperemia Side Effect Reported with Compound C	12
	B. No Initial Increase in IOP Is Observed Following Klimko's Administration of Compound C.	
	C. A POSA Would Not Consider An Initial Increase in IOP As A Cause for Concern For Compound C	27
	D. Moderate Hyperemia and Potential Initial Increase in IOP Would Not Dissuade A POSA From Selecting Compound C As A Lead Compound, Brather Provide Strong Motivation for Modifying Compound C In Light of Kishi's Teachings	
V.	 An Initial Increase in IOP Would Not Dissuade A POSA from Selecting Compound C As A Lead Compound Moderate Hyperemia and Potential Initial Increase in IOP Would Have Motivated A POSA to Modify Compound Based on Kishi's Teachings THE PRIOR ART AS A WHOLE WOULD HAVE MOTIVATED A POSA TO MODIFY COMPOUND C WITH DIFLURORINATION AT C-15 POSITION WITH A REASONABLE EXPECTATION OF SUCCESS	30 33
	A. Substituting the C-15 Hydroxyl Group With Fluorine Atoms Would Have Been A Natural And Obvious Choice For A POSA	41
	B. A POSA Would Have Been Motivated to Modify Compound C With C-15 Difluorination In Light of Ueno Japan With A Reasonable Expectation of Success	45
VI	I. MY OPINIONS IN THIS MATTER ARE CONSISTENT WITH MY PRIOR	R 51



VIII. ALLEGED OBJECTIVE INDICIA OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS	55
A. Tafluoprost Is Not A Commercial Success	55
B. No Unexpected Results Exist	62
C. Purported Long-felt Need Is Unfounded	63
D. There Is No Evidence of Failure of Others	63
E. Any Alleged Evidence of Secondary Considerations Is Not Commer with the Claims of the '035 Patent	
IX. CONCLUSION	68



I, Mitchell A. deLong, Ph.D., declare as follows:

- 1. I have been retained by the law firm Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw
 Pittman LLP ("Pillsbury") on behalf of Petitioners Micro Labs Limited and Micro
 Labs USA Inc. ("Micro Labs" or "Petitioners"). I previously submitted a
 declaration in support of Petitioners' Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S.
 Patent No. 5,886,035 Under 35 U.S.C. § 311 and C.F.R. § 42.108 in the abovereferenced matter that was executed on May 12, 2017. (Ex. 1027, deLong Decl.)
- 2. I submit my present declaration in support of Petitioners' Reply and also in response to the Patent Owner Response (Paper 22), including the two declarations submitted by Dr. Timothy L. Macdonald in support of Patent Owner's arguments that are dated September 6, 2017 (Ex. 2001) and March 4, 2018 (Ex. 2028). Dr. Macdonald's declarations do not change my previous opinions and, as I also explain in further detail below, I disagree with the opinions offered by Dr. Macdonald in many respects.
- 3. For this matter, the compensation that I receive is not dependent on the substance of any of my testimony or opinions nor is it dependent on the outcome of this matter. However, I want to clarify how I am being compensated. I am being billed at a rate of \$420 per hour in connection with my work on this declaration, \$670 per hour for any deposition testimony and \$225 per hour for travel time but do not receiving all of my billings as compensation because a



portion goes to an expert retention service. For instance, I am only receiving \$250 per hour as I reported in my original declaration of the \$420 per hour billed rate in connection with my work on this declaration.

I. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

A. Education and Experience

4. My educational background and relevant experience is summarized in my prior declaration (Ex. 1027). A copy of my curriculum vitae is also attached to my prior declaration as Appendix B.

B. Materials Reviewed

5. In forming my opinions for this matter including my declarations, I rely on my educational background and relevant experience including the training and skills that I have accumulated during the course of my career. I have also reviewed Patent Owner Preliminary Response (Paper 10) and Patent Owner Response (Paper 22) including declarations submitted by both Drs. Macdonald and Fechtner in support of these papers inclusive of the exhibits and articles appended thereto. I have also reviewed the Supplemental Declaration of Aron Rose, M.D. (Ex. 1032) that I understand is also being submitted in support of Petitioner's Reply. An updated list of documents that I have considered while preparing this reply declaration is attached as Appendix A.

II. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART (POSA)

6. I understand that Dr. Macdonald has proposed that a POSA "would



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

