

DOCKET NO.: 2211726-00145

Filed on behalf of Unified Patents Inc.

By: David L. Cavanaugh, Reg. No. 36,476
Daniel V. Williams, Reg. No. 45,221
Matthew J. Leary, Reg. No. 58,593
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20006
Tel: (202) 663-6000
Email: david.cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com

Roshan Mansinghani, Reg. No. 62,429
Jonathan Stroud, Reg. No. 72,518
Unified Patents Inc.
1875 Connecticut Ave. NW, Floor 10
Washington, DC, 20009
Tel: (202) 805-8931
Email: roshan@unifiedpatents.com
Email: jonathan@unifiedpatents.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS INC.
Petitioner

v.

PLECTRUM LLC
Patent Owner

IPR2017-01430
Patent 5,978,951

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to the Board's November 14, 2017 Scheduling Order (Paper 9), Petitioner respectfully requests oral argument for the trial currently scheduled on August 2, 2018. Petitioner requests the ability to use a computer, projector, and screen to display possible demonstratives and exhibits.

Pursuant to 37 § C.F.R. 42.70, Petitioner specifies the following issues to be argued:

- Issues related to the Board's Decision on Institution and the grounds instituted in the Decision.
- Issues related to the Board's Decision on Petitioner's Request for Rehearing.
- Whether claims 1, 2, and 21 are unpatentable for obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over *Cheriton*.
- Whether claims 3, 5, and 6 are unpatentable for obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over *Cheriton* and *Kessler*.
- Whether claims 4 and 22-24 are unpatentable for obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over *Cheriton*, *Kessler* and *Jain*.
- Whether claims 8 and 11-14 are unpatentable for obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over *Cheriton* and *Jain*.

- Reply to any arguments raised in the Patent Owner's Preliminary Response and Patent Owner's Response.
- Response to any issues specified by Patent Owner in its request for oral argument.
- Present on and respond to any issues raised in briefing pursuant to the schedule.
- Issues related to the Board's decision to refuse to allow Petitioner to enter additional evidence in Petitioner's Supplemental Reply after the decision in *SAS Inst., Inc. v. Iancu*, 138 S.Ct. 1348, 1358 (2018). Paper No. 17.

No fee is believed due with this request, however, the Director is hereby authorized to charge any shortfall or credit any overpayment to the Deposit Account 08-0219.

Dated: June 13, 2018

Respectfully Submitted,

/Matthew J. Leary/

David L. Cavanaugh
Registration No. 36,476

Roshan Mansinghani
Registration No. 62,429

Jonathan Stroud
Registration No. 72,518

Daniel V. Williams
Registration No. 45,221

Matthew J. Leary
Registration No. 58,593

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 13, 2018, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing materials:

- Petition's Request for Oral Argument

to be served via email on the following correspondent of record as listed on PAIR:

Antonelli, Harrington & Thompson LLP
4306 Yoakum Blvd., Ste. 450
Houston, TX 77006
(713) 581-3000
Emails: zac@ahtlawfirm.com
matt@ahtlawfirm.com
larry@ahtlawfirm.com

/Matthew J. Leary/
Matthew J. Leary