

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS INC.
Petitioner

v.

PLECTRUM LLC.
Patent Owner

Case: IPR2017-01430
Patent 5,978,951

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.120

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----------|
| I. | Introduction | 1 |
| II. | Relevant Legal Standards | 3 |
| III. | The Petitioner Fails To Meet Its Burden Because It Provides, At Most, “Conclusory Statements” Regarding Multiple Claim Elements..... | 5 |
| | <i>A. Ground IV: The Petition Fails To Adequately Explain How Claims 8 And 11 Are Rendered Obvious By Cheriton In View Of Jain</i> | <i>5</i> |
| | 1. The Petition Fails To Adequately Explain A Rationale For Combining <i>Cheriton</i> And <i>Jain</i> | 5 |
| | 2. Input Packetizer/Output Packetizer Of Claim 8 | 7 |
| IV. | Additional Grounds Regarding Institution | 8 |
| | <i>A. The Petition Fails To Name All Real Parties-In-Interest, Contrary To 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(2) And 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)</i> | <i>8</i> |
| | <i>B. An Inter Partes Review Should Not Have Been Instituted Because Such Proceedings Are Unconstitutional.....</i> | <i>15</i> |
| V. | Conclusion..... | 15 |

..

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

| | |
|--|---------|
| <i>Corning Optical Communications RF, LLC v. PPC Broadband, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00440..... | 11 |
| <i>DirectTV, LLC v. Qurio Holdings, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-02006..... | 5, 8 |
| <i>General Foods Corp. v. Massachusetts Department of Public Health</i> , 648 F.2d 784, 788 (1st Cir. 1981)..... | 15 |
| <i>Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.</i> , 815 F.3d 1356, 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2016)..... | 3 |
| <i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int’l, Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364, 1380 (Fed. Cir. 2016)..... | 5, 6, 8 |
| <i>Jiawei Tech. Ltd. v. Simon Nicholas Richmond</i> , IPR2014-00935 | 10 |
| <i>Oil States Energy Services, LLC v. Greene’s Energy Group, LLC</i> , S. Ct. No. 16-712 (certiorari granted June 12, 2017)..... | 18 |
| <i>RPX and General Foods cases</i> | 16 |
| <i>RPX Corp.</i> IPR2014-00171 | 17 |
| <i>RPX Corp. v. VirnetX, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00171 | 14 |
| <i>Samsung Electronics Co. v. Image Processing Technologies LLC</i> , IPR2017-01189, Paper No. 9 at 18-19 (2017)..... | 3, 4, 5 |
| <i>Unified Patents Inc. v. Societa Italiana Per Lo Sviluppo Dell’Elettronica S.P.A.</i> , IPR2017-00565 | 4, 8, 9 |

...

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3).....3
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)3
35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(2).....17

Rules

37 C.F.R. § 42.1044
37 C.F.R. § 42.120(a).....1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....10

Other Authorities

Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 4875910

EXHIBIT LIST

| | |
|--------------|---|
| Exhibit 2002 | Unified Patents Press Release Dated 9/23/2013 |
| Exhibit 2003 | Unified Patents, Frequently Asked Questions |
| Exhibit 2004 | Unified Patents Press Release Dated 9/17/2013 |
| Exhibit 2005 | New Venture Enters Patent Fray, Wall Street Journal |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.