

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**  
**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

Ultratec, Inc.,

Petitioner

v.

Sorenson IP Holdings,

Patent Owner

---

Case IPR: Unassigned

Patent No. 9,336,689

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**  
**OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,336,689**

## CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES.....	1
A.	Real Parties In Interest .....	1
B.	Related Matters.....	1
C.	Lead And Back-Up Counsel And Service Information .....	1
II.	GROUNDS FOR STANDING AND IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE	2
A.	Standing.....	2
B.	Statutory Grounds For Each Claim .....	2
C.	Claim Construction.....	3
D.	How The Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable And Evidence Supporting Challenge .....	4
III.	THE PROSECUTION HISTORY OF THE '689 PATENT AND THE UNPATENTABILITY OF THE '689 PATENT'S PARENT.....	4
A.	Relevant History Of The '689 Patent's Predecessor Applications .....	4
B.	The '801 Patent IPR .....	6
IV.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE .....	6
A.	Description Of The Alleged Inventions .....	6
B.	The Level Of Skill In The Art.....	9
C.	Principles Of Law.....	9
D.	Ground 1: Claims 1–20 Are Rendered Obvious By Engelke 2 (Including Its Incorporation Of Engelke 1) And Cervantes .....	11
1.	The prior art.....	11
2.	The prior art is analogous art.....	19
3.	A person of ordinary skill in the art would have reason to combine Engelke 2–Engelke 1 with Cervantes.....	20

4.	The combination of Engelke 2–Engelke 1 and Cervantes discloses every element of Claims 1–20.....	22
E.	Ground 2: Claim 19 Is Rendered Obvious By Engelke 2 (Including Its Incorporation Of Engelke 1), Cervantes, And The Florida Policy .....	57
1.	The prior art.....	57
2.	The prior art is analogous art.....	60
3.	A person of ordinary skill in the art would have reason to combine Engelke 2–Engelke 1 with Cervantes and the Florida Policy .....	61
4.	The combination of Engelke 2–Engelke 1, Cervantes, and the Florida Policy discloses every element of Claim 19 .....	64
F.	Ground 3: Claim 19 Rendered Obvious By Engelke 2 (Including Its Incorporation Of Engelke 1), Cervantes, And Hutchins .....	65
1.	The prior art.....	65
2.	The prior art is analogous art.....	67
3.	A person of ordinary skill in the art would have reason to combine Engelke 2–Engelke 1 with Cervantes and Hutchins .....	69
4.	The combination of Engelke 2–Engelke 1, Cervantes, and Hutchins discloses every element of Claim 19 .....	70
V.	CONCLUSION .....	71

## Exhibit List

Exhibit	Description
1001	Zatkovich Declaration
1002	Hilley Declaration
1003	U.S. Patent No. 9,336,689 (“the ’689 Patent”)
1004	U.S. Patent No. 7,881,441 (“Engelke 2”)
1005	U.S. Patent No. 6,567,503 (“Engelke 1”)
1006	U.S. Patent No. 7,428,702 (“Cervantes”)
1007	Florida State Courts System, “Policy on Court Real-Time Transcription Services for Persons Who are Deaf or Hard of Hearing” within “Title II Guidelines for the State Courts System of Florida” (2009) (“Florida Policy”)
1008	Jeff Hutchins and Alan Lamshead, “Closed Captioning Systems” in National Association of Broadcasters Engineering Handbook (10th Ed., Taylor & Francis, 2007) (“Hutchins”)
1009	Settlement Agreement Between the United States of America and the Florida State Courts System (1996) (containing Florida Policy)
1010	Florida State Courts System, “Provision of Real-Time Court Reporting Services for Attorneys with Disabilities” (2007) (containing Florida Policy)
1011	Paper 63, Final Written Decision, IPR2013-00288 (October 30, 2014) (“the ’801 Patent IPR”)
1012	’801 Patent IPR Petition
1013	’918 Application terminal disclaimer
1014	’918 Application response to office action re terminal disclaimer

1015	'918 Application notice of allowance
1016	'918 Application petition
1017	'918 Application notice of abandonment
1018	'407 Application initial office action
1019	'407 Application response to office action
1020	'407 Application notice of allowance
1021	U.S. Patent No. 8,379,801 (“the ’801 Patent”)
1022	U.S. Patent No. 5,909,482
1023	Marcele M. Soviero, Captioning Could be a Boon to Many Viewers, Popular Science (Oct. 1993, Vol. 243 No. 4)
1024	Report, In the Matter of Closed Captioning and Video Description of Video Programming, FCC MM Docket No. 95-176 (July 29, 1996)
1025	Dorothy Smith, Communication in the Courtroom: Technology is helping to provide equal access to the law, Gallaudet Today (Spring 1989)
1026	U.S. Patent No. 6,260,011
1027	Excerpts from James Martin, Design of Man-Computer Dialogues (Jan. 1, 1973)
1028	Joseph Shapiro, Technology No Longer Distances Deaf Culture (May 1, 2006)
1029	Lloyd Vries, Pagers Become Lifeline For Deaf (Nov. 21, 2003)
1030	Susan Donaldson James and Grace Huang, Deaf and Proud to Use Sign Language (Dec. 12, 2006)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.