UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORP. and CAVIUM, INC., WISTRON CORPORATION, and DELL INC. Petitioner,

v.

ALACRITECH, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01392¹ U.S. Patent No. 7,337,241

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.70 (a)

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



¹ Cavium, Inc., which filed a Petition in Case IPR2017-01728, Wistron Corporation, which filed a Petition in Case IPR2018-00328, and Dell Inc., which filed a Petition in Case IPR2018-00372, have been joined as a petitioners in this proceeding.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), Petitioner respectfully requests oral argument on the issues set forth below. Oral argument is presently scheduled for March 23, 2017. (Revised Scheduling Order, Paper 27). Petitioner specifies the following issues to be argued:

- I. Whether claims 1–8 of the 241 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over Erickson in view of Tanenbaum96 and Alteon, under 35 U.S.C. § 103.
- II. Whether claims 9–24 of the 241 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over Erickson in view of Tanenbaum96, under 35 U.S.C. § 103.
- III. Whether Patent Owner failed to comply with 37 CFR § 42.121(b) in identifying sufficient support in the original disclosure and earlier-filed disclosure for substitute claims 25–48.
- IV. Whether substitute claims 25–48 lack written description support in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 316(d) and 37 CFR § 42.121.
- V. Whether substitute claims 25–32 of the 241 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over Erickson in view of Tanenbaum96 and Alteon, under 35 U.S.C. § 103.
- VI. Whether substitute claims 33–48 of the 241 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over Erickson in view of Tanenbaum96, under 35 U.S.C. § 103.



- VII. Respond to Patent Owner's presentation on all matters.
- VIII. Any issues specified by Patent Owner in a Request for Oral Argument.
- IX. Any other issues the Board deems necessary for issuing a final written decision.
- X. Petitioner requests 3.5 hours (7 hours in total if Patent Owner also is allotted 3.5 hours) for all 7 IPRs (IPR2017-01391, IPR2017-01392, IPR2017-01393, IPR2017-01405, IPR2017-01406, IPR2017-01409, IPR2017-01410) being heard at the Oral Argument.

Dated: June 8, 2018 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Garland T. Stephens

Garland T. Stephens, Reg. No. 37,242 Justin L. Constant, Reg. No. 66,883 Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 700 Louisiana, Suite 1700 Houston, TX 77002 Tel: (713) 546-5000 Fax: (713) 224-9511

garland.stephens@weil.com justin.constant@weil.com

Anne M. Cappella, Reg. No. 43,217 Adrian Percer, Reg. No. 46,986 Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 201 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood Shores, CA 94065

Tel: (650) 802-3141 Fax: (650) 802-3100 anne.cappella@weil.com



adrian.percer@weil.com

William S. Ansley, Reg. No. 67,828 Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 2001 M Street, N.W, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036

Tel: (202) 682-7000 Fax: (202) 857-0940 sutton.ansley@weil.com

Attorneys for Petitioner Intel Corporation



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 8, 2018, a copy of **PETITIONER'S**

REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a)

was served by filing this document through the PTAB's E2E Filing System as well as delivering a copy via electronic mail upon the following:

James M. Glass Registration No. 46,729 Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP 51 Madison Ave., 22nd Fl. New York, NY 10010 Tel.: (212) 849-7000

Joseph M. Paunovich Registration No. 59,033 Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP

Email: jimglass@quinnemanuel.com

865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Fl.

Los Angeles, CA 90017

Tel.: (213) 443-3000

Email: joepaunovich@quinnemanuel.com

Brian E. Mack Registration No. 57,189 Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP 50 California Street, 22nd Fl. San Francisco, CA 94111

Tel.: (415) 875-6600

Email: brianmack@quinnemanuel.com



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

