UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

.....

INTEL CORP., and CAVIUM, INC., Petitioners,

V.

ALACRITECH, INC., Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-01392¹ U.S. Patent No. 7,337,241

PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.64

¹ Cavium, Inc., which filed a Petition in Case IPR2017-01728, has been joined as a petitioner in this proceeding.



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b), Patent Owner, Alacritech, Inc. hereby makes the following objections to the admissibility of documents submitted with Petitioner's Opposition.

Evidence	Objections
Ex. 1201 (website: https://web.archive.org /web/19970622102719 /http://www.alteon.com /index.html "Archived version of the Alteon home page")	FRE 901: Patent Owner objects to this exhibit because Petitioner has failed to establish that this exhibit is what Petitioner claims it is, and has failed to authenticate this exhibit.
	FRE 801: Patent Owner also objects to this exhibit because it is hearsay under FRE 801 and does not fall within the hearsay exceptions under FRE 803. To the extent that Petitioner attempts to rely on any date that appears on this exhibit to establish public accessibility, the date is hearsay under FRE 801 and does not fall within the hearsay exceptions under FRE 803.
	Patent Owner also objects to this exhibit because Petitioner fails to establish that this exhibit was publicly available before the priority date of the patent at issue.
	FRE 401, 402, and 403: Patent Owner objects to this exhibit as it is irrelevant, as it is not used as a reference in any of the instituted grounds, and is not referenced in any of the briefs.
Ex. 1202 (website: https://web.archive.org /web/19970622102647 /http://www.alteon.com: 80/presintr.html)	FRE 901: Patent Owner objects to this exhibit because Petitioner has failed to establish that this exhibit is what Petitioner claims it is, and has failed to authenticate this exhibit.
	FRE 801 : Patent Owner also objects to this exhibit because it is hearsay under FRE 801 and does not



Evidence	Objections
	fall within the hearsay exceptions under FRE 803. To the extent that Petitioner attempts to rely on any date that appears on this exhibit to establish public accessibility, the date is hearsay under FRE 801 and does not fall within the hearsay exceptions under FRE 803.
	Patent Owner also objects to this exhibit because Petitioner fails to establish that this exhibit was publicly available before the priority date of the patent at issue.
	FRE 401, 402, and 403: Patent Owner objects to this exhibit as it is irrelevant, as it is not used as a reference in any of the instituted grounds, and is not referenced in any of the briefs.
Ex. 1203 (website: https://web.archive.org/ web/19970622102901/ http://www.alteon.com: 80/tachbr01.html)	FRE 901: Patent Owner objects to this exhibit because Petitioner has failed to establish that this exhibit is what Petitioner claims it is, and has failed to authenticate this exhibit.
80/techbr01.html)	FRE 801: Patent Owner also objects to this exhibit because it is hearsay under FRE 801 and does not fall within the hearsay exceptions under FRE 803. To the extent that Petitioner attempts to rely on any date that appears on this exhibit to establish public accessibility, the date is hearsay under FRE 801 and does not fall within the hearsay exceptions under FRE 803.
	Patent Owner also objects to this exhibit because Petitioner fails to establish that this exhibit was publicly available before the priority date of the patent at issue.
	FRE 401, 402, and 403: Patent Owner objects to



Evidence	Objections
	this exhibit as it is irrelevant, as it is not used as a reference in any of the instituted grounds, and is not referenced in any of the briefs.
Ex. 1204 (website: https://web.archive.org/ web/19970622103538/ http://www.alteon.com: 80/whitpapr.pdf)	FRE 901: Patent Owner objects to this exhibit because Petitioner has failed to establish that this exhibit is what Petitioner claims it is, and has failed to authenticate this exhibit.
	FRE 801: Patent Owner also objects to this exhibit because it is hearsay under FRE 801 and does not fall within the hearsay exceptions under FRE 803. To the extent that Petitioner attempts to rely on any date that appears on this exhibit to establish public accessibility, the date is hearsay under FRE 801 and does not fall within the hearsay exceptions under FRE 803.
	Patent Owner also objects to this exhibit because Petitioner fails to establish that this exhibit was publicly available before the priority date of the patent at issue.
	FRE 401, 402, and 403: Patent Owner objects to this exhibit as it is irrelevant, as it is not used as a reference in any of the instituted grounds, and is not referenced in any of the briefs.
Ex. 1205 (Request for Comments (RFC) 2026)	FRE 901: Patent Owner objects to this exhibit because Petitioner has failed to establish that this exhibit is what Petitioner claims it is, and has failed to authenticate this exhibit.
	FRE 801: Patent Owner also objects to this exhibit because it is hearsay under FRE 801 and does not fall within the hearsay exceptions under FRE 803. To the extent that Petitioner attempts to rely on any



Evidence	Objections
	date that appears on this exhibit to establish public accessibility, the date is hearsay under FRE 801 and does not fall within the hearsay exceptions under FRE 803.
	Patent Owner also objects to this exhibit because Petitioner fails to establish that this exhibit was publicly available before the priority date of the patent at issue.
	FRE 401, 402, and 403: Patent Owner objects to this exhibit as it is irrelevant, as it is not used as a reference in any of the instituted grounds, and is not referenced in any of the briefs.
Ex. 1206 (Website: https://www.rfc-editor.org/search/rfc search_detail.php?rfc -929&pubstatus%5B%5D	FRE 901: Patent Owner objects to this exhibit because Petitioner has failed to establish that this exhibit is what Petitioner claims it is, and has failed to authenticate this exhibit.
=929&pubstatus%5B%5D =Any&pub_date_type=any)	FRE 801: Patent Owner also objects to this exhibit because it is hearsay under FRE 801 and does not fall within the hearsay exceptions under FRE 803. To the extent that Petitioner attempts to rely on any date that appears on this exhibit to establish public accessibility, the date is hearsay under FRE 801 and does not fall within the hearsay exceptions under FRE 803.
	FRE 401, 402, and 403: Patent Owner objects to this exhibit as it is irrelevant, as it is not used as a reference in any of the instituted grounds, and is not referenced in any of the briefs.
Ex. 1207 (Website: https://www.rfc-editor.org/search/rfc	FRE 901: Patent Owner objects to this exhibit because Petitioner has failed to establish that this exhibit is what Petitioner claims it is, and has failed



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

