Filed: March 2, 2018

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD INTEL CORP., and CAVIUM, INC. Petitioner,

v.

ALACRITECH, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01392<sup>1</sup> U.S. Patent No. 7,337,241

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO DOCUMENTS SERVED WITH PATENT OWNER'S CORRECTED RESPONSE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cavium, Inc., who filed a Petition in Case IPR2017-01728, has been joined as a petitioner in this proceeding.



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b), Petitioner Intel Corporation, hereby makes the following objections to the admissibility of documents submitted with Patent Owner's Corrected Response.

Evidence	Objections
Exhibit 2004	FRE 801, 802: Patent Owner uses Ex. 2004 in support of its position regarding how a person of ordinary skill in the art would understand the term "kernel." The document's authors are not subject to cross-examination in this proceeding. Because Ex. 2004 is an out of court statement offered for its truth, and does not fall within any exception to the rule against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.
	<b>FRE 901:</b> This exhibit is an unauthenticated document and is not self-authenticating under FRE 902.
Corrected Exhibit 2026	FRE 701/702/703: Intel objects to Ex. 2026 as being improper expert testimony because paragraphs 83-90, 101-145 and 147-157 are not based on sufficient facts or data, are irrelevant, are not based on a reliable foundation, and constitute conclusory opinions without sufficient support. It includes include opinions that are not admissible under FRE 701, 702, or 703 or <i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharms., Inc.</i> , 509 U.S. 579 (1993).  For example, paragraphs 101-109 are not based on sufficient facts or data because they provide no basis or evidence that Alteon was not publicly available.  For example, paragraphs 147-157 are not based on sufficient facts or data because they provide no evidence of nexus to the invention.
Exhibit 2030	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because it does not reflect the broadest reasonable interpretation of the



	<del>,</del>
	claims. See In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech. Ctr., 367 F.3d 1359, 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2004).
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.
Exhibit 2031	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because the Patent Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged long-felt, yet unresolved need in the art.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.
Exhibit 2032	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because the Patent Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged long-felt, yet unresolved need in the art.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.
Exhibit 2033	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because the Patent Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged long-felt, yet unresolved need in the art.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.
Exhibit 2034	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because the Patent Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged long-felt, yet unresolved need in the art.



	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.  FRE 801, 802: This exhibit is an out of court statement offered for its truth, and because it does not fall within any exception to the rule against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.  FRE 901: This exhibit is an unauthenticated document and
	is not self-authenticating under FRE 902.
Exhibit 2035	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because the Patent Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged commercial success.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.
	FRE 801, 802: This exhibit is an out of court statement offered for its truth, and because it does not fall within any exception to the rule against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.
	<b>FRE 901:</b> This exhibit is an unauthenticated document and is not self-authenticating under FRE 902.
Exhibit 2036	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because the Patent Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged commercial success.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.
	FRE 801, 802: This exhibit is an out of court statement offered for its truth, and because it does not fall within any exception to the rule against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.



	<b>FRE 901:</b> This exhibit is an unauthenticated document and is not self-authenticating under FRE 902.
Exhibit 2037	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because the Patent Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged commercial success.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.
	FRE 801, 802: This exhibit is an out of court statement offered for its truth, and because it does not fall within any exception to the rule against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.
	FRE 901: This exhibit is an unauthenticated document and is not self-authenticating under FRE 902.
Exhibit 2038	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because the Patent Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the licenses in Exhibit 2038.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.
	FRE 801, 802: This exhibit is an out of court statement offered for its truth, and because it does not fall within any exception to the rule against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.
	FRE 901: This exhibit is an unauthenticated document and is not self-authenticating under FRE 902.
Exhibit 2039	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because the Patent Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged praise in the industry.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

