UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AT&T Services, Inc.
Petitioner
v.

Digifonica (International) Limited
Patent Owner

Patent No. 9,179,005

Inter Partes Review No. (To Be Assigned)

DECLARATION OF JAMES BRESS IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 et seq.

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



	ble	of Contents			
I.		Introduction.			
II.		Summary of Opinions			
III.		Background and Qualifications.			
IV.		Materials Considered			
V.		Understanding of the Law 19			
	A.	Legal Standard for Prior Art			
	В.	Legal Standard for Obviousness			
	C.	Legal Standard for Claim Construction			
VI.		Level of Skill of One of Ordinary Skill in the Art			
VII	•	Brief Overview of the '005 Patent			
	A.	Admitted Prior Art in the Background			
	В.	The Purported Invention of the '005 Patent 29			
	C.	The Challenged Claims			
VII	I.	State of the Art			
IX.	Claim Construction				
	A.	"means for using a caller identifier associated with the caller to locate a caller dialing profile comprising a plurality of calling attributes associated with the caller"			
	B.	"means for, when at least one of said calling attributes and at least a portion of a calle identifier associated with the callee meet private network classification criteria producing a private network routing message for receipt by a call controller, said private network routing message identifying an address, on the private network associated with the callee"			
	C.	"means for, when at least one of said calling attributes and at least a portion of said callee identifier meet a public network classification criterion, producing a public network routing message for receipt by the call controller, said public network routing message identifying a gateway to the public network"			
	D.	"means for causing the private network routing message or the public network routing message to be communicated to a call controller to effect routing of the call"			
X.		Analysis of the Prior Art			
	A.	Nadeau 12			
	В.	Kelly			
	C.	Vaziri			
XI.		Summary of the Grounds for Unpatentability of the Challenged Claims			
VII		Nadagu Kally randers obvious Claims 1 24 26 and 40			



A.		It was obvious to modify the service logic controller ("SLC") of <i>Nadeau</i> to perform the gateway selection process taught in <i>Kelly</i>
B.		Claim 1
	1.	Preamble: "A process for producing a routing message for routing communications between a caller and a callee in a communication system" 137
	2.	Limitation 1a: "using a caller identifier associated with the caller to locate a caller dialing profile comprising a plurality of calling attributes associated with the caller"
	3.	Limitation 1b: "when at least one of said calling attributes and at least a portion of a callee identifier associated with the callee meet private network classification criteria, producing a private network routing message for receipt by a call controller, said private network routing message identifying an address, on the private network, associated with the callee"
	4.	Limitation 1c: "when at least one of said calling attributes and at least a portion of said callee identifier meet a public network classification criterion, producing a public network routing message for receipt by the call controller, said public network routing message identifying a gateway to the public network"
C.		Claim 24: "The process of claim 1, further comprising causing the private network routing message or the public network routing message to be communicated to a call controller to effect routing of the call"
D.		Claim 25: "A non-transitory computer readable medium encoded with codes for directing a processor to execute the method of claim 1"
E.		Claim 26
F.		Claim 49: "The apparatus of claim 26, wherein said at least one processor is further operably configured to cause the private network routing message or the public network routing message to be communicated to a call controller to effect routing of the call"
XIII.	No	adeau-Kelly-Vaziri renders obvious Claims 28, 34, 93, and 111
A.		It was obvious to modify the service logic controller ("SLC") of <i>Nadeau-Kelly</i> to perform the gateway selection process taught by <i>Kelly</i>
B.		Claim 50
	1.	Preamble: "A call routing controller apparatus for producing a routing message for routing communications between a caller and a callee in a communication system"
	2.	Limitation 50a: "means for using a caller identifier associated with the caller to locate a caller dialing profile comprising a plurality of calling attributes associated with the caller"
	3.	Limitation 50b: "means for, when at least one of said calling attributes and at least a portion of a callee identifier associated with the callee meet private network



	classification criteria, producing a private network routing message for receipt a call controller, said private network routing message identifying an address, the private network, associated with the callee"	, on
4.	Limitation 50c: "means for, when at least one of said calling attributes and at least one of said calling attributes at least one of said calling attributes and attributes at least one of said calling attributes at least one of said calling attributes at least one of said calling attri	ion, said
C.	Claim 73: "The apparatus of claim 50, further comprising means for causing private network routing message or the public network routing message to communicated to a call controller to effect routing of the call."	be
XIV. C	onclusion	205



I, James Bress, declares as follows:

I. Introduction

- 1. I have been retained as a technical expert on behalf of petitioner AT&T Services, Inc. in connection with the above-captioned inter partes review ("IPR") of U.S. Patent No. 9,179,005 ("the '005 Patent"). I understand that the '005 Patent is currently assigned to Digifonica (International) Limited.
- 2. I am familiar with the technology at issue in the period prior to November 2, 2006, which is the filing date of the provisional application to which the '005 Patent claims priority. I have not performed an analysis to determine whether any of the claims of the '005 Patent should be entitled to this earlier priority date. However, none of the opinions stated herein would change if any claim were entitled to this earlier priority date.
- 3. I have been asked to provide my technical opinion on concepts discussed in the '005 Patent and the reference documents, as well as my technical opinion on how these concepts relate to several '005 Patent claim limitations in the context of the specification.
- 4. I have been asked to consider how a person of ordinary skill in the art would understand the claims of the '005 Patent and the applied reference



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

