

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of Patent No. 9,179,005

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

AT&T Services, Inc.  
Petitioner

v.

Digifonica (International) Limited  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR (To Be Assigned)  
Patent No. 9,179,005

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF**

**U.S. PATENT NO. 9,179,005**

**UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§311-319, AND 37 C.F.R. §42.100 *ET SEQ.***

Mail Stop: Patent Board  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. Mandatory Notices ..... 1

    A. Real parties in Interest under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1) ..... 1

    B. Related Matters under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)..... 2

    C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)..... 3

    D. Service Information under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)..... 3

II. Payment of Fees ..... 4

III. Requirements for IPR..... 4

    A. Grounds for Standing..... 4

    B. Identification of Challenge and Statement of Precise Relief  
        Requested..... 4

    C. IPR Threshold ..... 5

IV. '005 Patent Overview ..... 5

    A. Technical Background..... 5

    B. The Purported Invention ..... 5

    C. Prosecution History ..... 7

V. The Prior Art ..... 7

    A. *Nadeau's* system routes VoIP calls based on a caller profile..... 7

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of Patent No. 9,179,005

B.	<i>Kelly</i> and <i>Vaziri</i> also teach call routing systems. ....	10
VI.	Statements on the Art .....	10
A.	Level of ordinary skill in the art .....	10
B.	The references are analogous art .....	10
VII.	Claim Construction .....	11
A.	Claim 50: “means for using” .....	11
B.	Claim 50: “means for...producing a private network routing message” .....	11
C.	Claim 50: “means for...producing a public network routing message” .....	12
D.	Claim 73: “means for causing” .....	14
VIII.	The Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable.....	15
A.	Claims 1, 24–26, and 49 are obvious over <i>Nadeau</i> in view of <i>Kelly</i> . (Ground 1).....	15
B.	Claims 50 and 73 are obvious over <i>Nadeau</i> in view of <i>Kelly</i> and <i>Vaziri</i> (Ground 2).....	32
IX.	Conclusion.....	63

**PETITIONER'S LIST OF EXHIBITS**

<b>Ex.</b>	<b>Description</b>
1001	U.S. Patent No. 9,179,005 (“the ’005 Patent”)
1002	Prosecution History of the ’005 Patent
1003	Declaration of James Bress in Support of Petition for Inter Partes Review
1004	Curriculum Vitae of James Bress
1005	U.S. Patent No. 6,240,449 (“Nadeau”)
1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,594,254 (“Kelly”)
1007	U.S. Patent No. 7,715,413 (“Vaziri”)
1008	Decision of Institution of Inter Partes Review, Case IPR2016-01198, Paper 6 (November 21, 2016)
1009	“Telecommunications Essentials,” by Lillian Goleniewski, copyright 2002
1010	IETF RFC 791 (September 1981)
1011	ITU H.323 (July 2003)
1012	Telcordia SR-2275, Issue 4, October 2000

AT&T Services, Inc. (“Petitioner”) petitions for *inter partes* review of claims 1, 24–26, 49–50, and 73 (the “Challenged Claims”) of US Patent No. 9,179,005 (“the ’005 Patent”), assigned to Digifonica (International) Limited (“Patent Owner”).

**I. MANDATORY NOTICES**

**A. Real parties in Interest under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)**

The Petitioner is AT&T Services, Inc. AT&T Services, Inc. also identifies AT&T Mobility LLC; AT&T Corp.; Southwestern Bell Telephone Company; Pacific Bell Telephone Company; Illinois Bell Telephone Company; Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated; Michigan Bell Telephone Company; Nevada Bell Telephone Company; The Ohio Bell Telephone Company; Wisconsin Bell, Inc.; and BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC as real parties in interest. Out of an abundance of caution, AT&T Services, Inc. also identifies AT&T Inc. as a real party in interest only for the purpose of this proceeding based on recent decisions of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, and only to the extent that Patent Owner contends that this separate legal entity should be named a real party in interest in this IPR. AT&T Inc. is and always has been a holding company that is a legally and factually distinct entity from its subsidiaries. Each of AT&T Inc.’s subsidiaries, including AT&T Mobility LLC and AT&T Services, Inc., maintains its own independent status, identity, and structure. AT&T Inc. does not provide

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.