

Declaration of James Bress in Support of Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
of U.S. Patent No. 9,179,005

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AT&T Services, Inc.
Petitioner

v.

Digifonica (International) Limited
Patent Owner

Patent No. 9,179,005

Inter Partes Review No. (To Be Assigned)

**DECLARATION OF JAMES BRESS IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR
INTER PARTES REVIEW**

UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *et seq.*

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Declaration of James Bress in Support of Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
of U.S. Patent No. 9,179,005

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction.....	7
II.	Summary of Opinions.....	8
III.	Background and Qualifications.....	9
IV.	Materials Considered	20
V.	Understanding of the Law.....	21
A.	Legal Standard for Prior Art	21
B.	Legal Standard for Obviousness	23
C.	Legal Standard for Claim Construction	27
VI.	Level of Skill of One of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	28
VII.	Brief Overview of the '005 Patent.....	30
A.	Admitted Prior Art in the Background.....	30
B.	The Purported Invention of the '005 Patent.....	31
C.	The Challenged Claims.....	41
VIII.	State of the Art.....	45
IX.	Analysis of the Prior Art.....	120
A.	Nadeau	121
B.	Fisher.....	129
C.	Kelly.....	132
D.	Vu.....	134
X.	Summary of the Grounds for Unpatentability of the Challenged Claims.....	135
XI.	<i>Fisher-Vu</i> Renders obvious Claims 74–79, 83–84, 88–89, 92, 94–96, and 98–99	136
A.	Claim 74.....	137
1.	Preamble: “A method of routing communications in a packet switched network in which a first participant identifier is associated with a first participant and a second participant identifier is associated with a second participant in a communication”	137
2.	Limitation 74a: “after the first participant has accessed the packet switched network to initiate the communication, using the first participant identifier to locate a first participant profile comprising a plurality of attributes associated with the first participant”	146
3.	Limitation 74b: “when at least one of the first participant attributes and at least a portion of the second participant identifier meet a first network classification criterion, producing a first network routing message for receipt by a controller, the first network routing message identifying an address in a first portion of the packet switched network, the address being associated with the second participant, the first portion being controlled by an entity”	151

Declaration of James Bress in Support of Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
of U.S. Patent No. 9,179,005

4.	Limitation 74c: “when at least one of the first participant attributes and at least a portion of the second participant identifier meet a second network classification criterion, producing a second network routing message for receipt by the controller, the second network routing message identifying an address in a second portion of the packet switched network, the second portion not controlled by the entity”	160
B.	Claim 75: “The method of claim 74, wherein the packet switched network comprises the Internet”	164
C.	Claim 76: “The method of claim 74, wherein the first participant identifier comprises a first participant telephone number or username”	165
D.	Claim 77: “The method of claim 74, wherein the second participant identifier comprises a second participant telephone number or username”	165
E.	Claim 78: “The method of claim 74, wherein the communication comprises a voice-over-IP communication”	165
F.	Claim 79: “The method of claim 74, wherein the packet switched network is accessed via an Internet service provider”	166
G.	Claim 83: “The method of claim 74, wherein the first network classification criterion is satisfied when an address associated with the first participant and the address associated with the second participant are both in the first portion of the packet switched network”	167
H.	Claim 84: “The method of claim 74, wherein the address in the first portion is accessible through the first participant's Internet service provider”	168
I.	Claim 88: “The method of claim 74, wherein the entity is an entity supplying communication services for the first portion”	169
J.	Claim 89: “The method of claim 74, wherein the second network classification criterion is satisfied when access to the second participant requires routing through a portion of the packet switched network operated by a communication service supplier”	170
K.	Claim 92: “The method of claim 74, wherein the address in the second portion of the packet switched network comprises an address accessed by a communication service supplier”	171
L.	Claim 94.....	172
1.	Preamble: “A system for routing communications in a packet switched network in which a first participant in a communication has an associated first participant identifier and a second participant in the communication has an associated second participant identifier”	172
2.	Limitation 94a: “a controller”	173
3.	Limitation 94b: “a processor operably configured to access a memory”	173
4.	Limitation 94c: “after the first participant has accessed the packet switched network to initiate the communication locate a first participant profile in the	

Declaration of James Bress in Support of Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
of U.S. Patent No. 9,179,005

memory using the first participant identifier, the first participant profile comprising a plurality of attributes associated with the first participant” 174

5. Limitation 94d: “produce a first network routing message when at least one of the first participant attributes and at least a portion of the second participant identifier meet a first network classification criterion, the first network routing message identifying an address in a first portion of the packet switched network, the address being associated with the second participant, the first portion being controlled by an entity” 175

6. Limitation 94e: “produce a second network routing message when at least one of the first participant attributes and at least a portion of the second participant identifier meet a second network classification criterion, the second network routing message identifying an address in a second portion of the packet switched network, the second portion not controlled by the entity” 175

M. Claim 95 176

N. Claim 96 176

O. Claim 98 176

P. Claim 99 176

XII. *Nadeau-Kelly* Renders obvious Claims 74–79, 83–84, 88–89, 92, 94–96, and 98–99 177

A. It was obvious to modify the service logic controller (“SLC”) of *Nadeau* to perform the gateway selection process taught in *Kelly* 177

B. Claim 74 181

1. Preamble: “A method of routing communications in a packet switched network in which a first participant identifier is associated with a first participant and a second participant identifier is associated with a second participant in a communication” 181

2. Limitation 74a: “after the first participant has accessed the packet switched network to initiate the communication, using the first participant identifier to locate a first participant profile comprising a plurality of attributes associated with the first participant” 184

3. Limitation 74b: “when at least one of the first participant attributes and at least a portion of the second participant identifier meet a first network classification criterion, producing a first network routing message for receipt by a controller, the first network routing message identifying an address in a first portion of the packet switched network, the address being associated with the second participant, the first portion being controlled by an entity” 187

4. Limitation 74c: “when at least one of the first participant attributes and at least a portion of the second participant identifier meet a second network classification criterion, producing a second network routing message for receipt by the controller, the second network routing message identifying an address in a second portion of the packet switched network, the second portion not controlled by the entity” 196

Declaration of James Bress in Support of Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
of U.S. Patent No. 9,179,005

C.	Claim 75: “The method of claim 74, wherein the packet switched network comprises the Internet”	203
D.	Claim 76: “The method of claim 74, wherein the first participant identifier comprises a first participant telephone number or username”	203
E.	Claim 77: “The method of claim 74, wherein the second participant identifier comprises a second participant telephone number or username”	203
F.	Claim 78: “The method of claim 74, wherein the communication comprises a voice-over-IP communication”	204
G.	Claim 79: “The method of claim 74, wherein the packet switched network is accessed via an Internet service provider”	205
H.	Claim 83: “The method of claim 74, wherein the first network classification criterion is satisfied when an address associated with the first participant and the address associated with the second participant are both in the first portion of the packet switched network”	205
I.	Claim 84: “The method of claim 74, wherein the address in the first portion is accessible through the first participant's Internet service provider”	207
J.	Claim 88: “The method of claim 74, wherein the entity is an entity supplying communication services for the first portion”	208
K.	Claim 89: “The method of claim 74, wherein the second network classification criterion is satisfied when access to the second participant requires routing through a portion of the packet switched network operated by a communication service supplier”	209
L.	Claim 92: “The method of claim 74, wherein the address in the second portion of the packet switched network comprises an address accessed by a communication service supplier”	210
M.	Claim 94.....	210
	1. Preamble: “A system for routing communications in a packet switched network in which a first participant in a communication has an associated first participant identifier and a second participant in the communication has an associated second participant identifier”	211
	2. Limitation 94a: “a controller”	212
	3. Limitation 94b: “a processor operably configured to access a memory”	212
	4. Limitation 94c: “after the first participant has accessed the packet switched network to initiate the communication locate a first participant profile in the memory using the first participant identifier, the first participant profile comprising a plurality of attributes associated with the first participant”	213
	5. Limitation 94d: “produce a first network routing message when at least one of the first participant attributes and at least a portion of the second participant identifier meet a first network classification criterion, the first network routing message identifying an address in a first portion of the packet switched network, the	

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.