

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

Celltrion, Inc.  
Petitioner,

v.

Genentech, Inc.  
Patent Owner

Patent No. 6,407,213

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2017-01374

---

**CELLTRION'S AMENDED REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS  
MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**I. Exhibits 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009**

[Redacted text block containing multiple paragraphs of blacked-out content]

---

<sup>1</sup> [Redacted footnote text]

Petitioner's Reply in Support of its Motion to Exclude

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

---

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Petitioner's Reply in Support of its Motion to Exclude

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL**

**II. Exhibits 2014 and 2015 Should Be Excluded**

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**III. Portions of Exhibits 2016, 2017, and 2018 Should Be Excluded**

As explained in Petitioner's Motion to Exclude, Portions of Exhibits 2016-2018 should be excluded because they rely on otherwise inadmissible evidence, as described above regarding Exhibits 2001-2009. (Paper 62 at 6-7.)

Portions of Exhibit 2016 should also be excluded because they lack foundation under Federal Rule of Evidence 602. Simply because these scientists worked closely with one another and were in communication does not provide an evidentiary basis to establish Dr. Presta's personal knowledge of facts that Dr. Carter knew. Patent Owner could have offered testimony from Dr. Carter himself concerning his knowledge of the relevant facts but failed to do so.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.